

and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

6. *Who will be required or asked to respond:* Applicants who apply for an early site permit (ESP), combined license (COL) or a construction permit (CP) or operating license (OL) on or after January 10, 1997.

7. *The estimated number of annual responses:* 0.66.

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 0.66.

9. *The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request:* 48,180 hours (73,000 hours per application × 0.66 applications).

10. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 100, “Reactor Site Criteria,” establishes approval requirements for proposed sites for the purpose of constructing and operating stationary power and testing reactors. Subpart B, “Evaluation Factors for Stationary Power Reactor Site Applications on or After January 10, 1997,” requirements apply to applicants who apply for an ESP, COL or a CP or OL on or after January 10, 1997. This clearance is necessary since the NRC is expecting approximately two COL applications over the next 3 years. The applicants must provide information regarding the physical characteristics of the site in addition to the potential for natural phenomena and man-made hazards. This includes information on meteorological hazards (such as hurricanes, tornadoes, snowfall, and extreme temperatures), hydrologic hazards (such as floods, tsunami, and seiches) geologic hazards (such as faulting, seismic hazards, and the maximum credible earthquake) and factors such as population density, the proximity of man-related hazards (*e.g.*, airports, dams, transportation routes, military and chemical facilities), and site hydrological and atmospheric dispersion characteristics. The NRC staff reviews the submitted information and, if necessary, generates a request for additional information. The staff meets with the applicant and conducts a site visit to resolve any open issues. When the open issues have been resolved, the staff writes the final safety evaluation report, which is published and used as a basis for the remainder of the NRC licensing process.

Dated: April 15, 2021.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**David C. Cullison,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2021-08097 Filed 4-19-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

**POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. MT2019-1; Order No. 5870]

**Market Test of Experimental Product**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is recognizing a recently filed Postal Service request for extension of the Market Test of Experimental Product—Plus One. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* May 14, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Background
- III. Notice of Filing
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

On September 20, 2019, the Commission authorized the Postal Service to proceed with a 2-year market test of an experimental product called Plus One, which is scheduled to expire on September 30, 2021.<sup>1</sup> Plus One is an advertising card that is mailed as an add-on mailpiece with a USPS Marketing Mail Letters marriage mail envelope containing multiple advertising mailpieces.<sup>2</sup> On April 13, 2021, the Postal Service filed a request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3641 and 39 CFR 3045.11 to extend the duration of the Plus One market test.<sup>3</sup>

**II. Background**

The Postal Service requests a 12-month extension of the Plus One market test, which if approved would set a new expiration date of September 30, 2022. Request at 2. It asserts that the Plus One market test meets the criteria for

<sup>1</sup> Order Authorizing Plus One Market Test, September 20, 2019, at 15 (Order No. 5239).

<sup>2</sup> United States Postal Service Notice of Market Test of Experimental Product—Plus One, August 13, 2019, at 1.

<sup>3</sup> United States Postal Service Request for Extension of Market Test, April 13, 2021 (Request).

granting an extension under 39 U.S.C. 3641(d)(2) and 39 CFR 3045.11. *Id.* at 1. It states that the extension is “necessary to determine the feasibility or desirability of the [Plus One] experimental product and inform any Postal Service decision to create a permanent product.” *Id.*

The Postal Service asserts that the extension is necessary for three reasons. First, the market test began right before the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States, which disrupted all mail generally and USPS Marketing Mail specifically. *Id.* at 2. It states that the sharp decline in USPS Marketing Mail affected Plus One volumes and limited the Postal Service’s ability to collect data for a full year. *Id.* It notes that it needs more time to collect meaningful data to compensate for the decline in mail volume that occurred during the pandemic. *Id.*

Second, the Postal Service asserts that if it decides to add Plus One to the Market Dominant product list as a permanent product, it would need more time to finalize the criteria for the permanent product and to program Information Technology solutions to manage the permanent product. *Id.* The Postal Service notes that mailers would also need time to adjust their own systems accordingly. *Id.* Third, the Postal Service states that it “would like to minimize any potential gap between the end of the market test’s operational run and the availability of a potential, new, permanent product.” *Id.* It notes that such a gap could limit small businesses from advertising with the product and impede the ability of current Plus One users to maintain their client base. *Id.*

In the Request, the Postal Service provides information required by 39 CFR 3045.11(b)(3) and (b)(4). It states the total revenue received by the Postal Service from the Plus One market test was \$4.87 million during FY 2020 and \$2.05 million during FY 2021, Quarter 1. *Id.* at 2–3. It estimates that it will collect \$7.70 million during FY 2021 if market trends and customer adoption metrics reflected in the FY 2021, Quarter 1 data collection report continue through FY 2021. *Id.* at 3. It estimates it will receive \$10.8 million through FY 2022. *Id.*

The Postal Service concludes that the Plus One market test meets the criteria for an extension and asks that the Commission grant the extension to allow the Postal Service to continue collecting Plus One market test data and determine the feasibility and desirability of the experimental product. *Id.*

### III. Notice of Filing

The Commission will continue to use Docket No. MT2019–1 to consider matters raised by the Postal Service's Request. The Commission invites comments on whether the Request complies with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, including 39 U.S.C. 3641, 39 CFR part 3045, and Order No. 5239. Comments are due by May 14, 2021. The public portions of filings in this docket can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>).

39 U.S.C. 505 requires the Commission to designate an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in all public proceedings (Public Representative). The Commission previously appointed Gregory Stanton to serve as the Public Representative in this proceeding. He remains appointed to serve as the Public Representative.

### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Gregory Stanton remains appointed to serve as the Public Representative in this proceeding.

2. Comments are due by than May 14, 2021.

3. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Erica A. Barker,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2021–08031 Filed 4–19–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

### RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

#### Sunshine Act Meetings

**TIME AND DATE:** 1 p.m., April 19, 2021.

**PLACE:** Meeting will be held by teleconference.

**STATUS:** Closed.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** (1) Litigation Matter.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Stephanie Hillyard, Secretary to the Board, Phone No. 312–751–4920.

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552b.

Dated: April 16, 2021.

**Stephanie Hillyard,**  
*Secretary to the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2021–08277 Filed 4–16–21; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 7905–01–P**

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–91564; File No. SR–NYSEARCA–2021–21]

#### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 7.37–E

April 14, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”)<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on April 1, 2021, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca” or the “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.37–E to specify when the Exchange may adjust its calculation of the PBBO. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 7.37–E to specify when the

Exchange may adjust its calculation of the PBBO.<sup>4</sup>

Generally, the Exchange updates both the PBBO and NBBO based on quote updates received from data feeds from Away Markets, which are disclosed in Rule 7.37–E(d).<sup>5</sup> In 2015, the Exchange described in a rule filing that when it routes interest to a protected quotation, the Exchange adjusts the PBBO.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange proposes to amend its rules to include that description in Rule 7.37–E and provide additional specificity of when it may adjust its calculation of the PBBO.

As proposed, new paragraph (d)(2) of Rule 7.37–E would provide:

The Exchange may adjust its calculation of the PBBO based on information about orders it sends to Away Markets with protected quotations, execution reports received from those Away Markets, and certain orders received by the Exchange.

This proposed rule text is consistent with the Exchange's disclosure in the Datafeed Filing and adds specificity that the Exchange may adjust its calculation of the PBBO based on execution reports received from Away Markets and certain orders received by the Exchange.<sup>7</sup>

Proposed Rule 7.37–E(d)(2) is based on MEMX LLC (“MEMX”) Rule 13.4(b) with two non-substantive differences.<sup>8</sup> First, the Exchange proposes to use the term “PBBO,” which is the term used in the Exchange's rules for the best-priced

<sup>4</sup> The term “PBBO” is defined in Rule 1.1 to mean the Best Protected Bid and the Best Protected Offer, which in turn mean the highest Protected Bid and the lowest Protected Offer, which refer to quotations in an NMS stock that is (i) displayed by an Automated Trading Center; (ii) disseminated pursuant to an effective national market system plan; and (iii) an Automated Quotation that is the best bid or best offer of a national securities exchange or the best bid or best offer of a national securities association. The term NBBO is defined to mean the national best bid and offer. The Exchange notes that the NBBO may differ from the PBBO because the NBBO includes Manual Quotations, which are defined as any quotation other than an automated quotation. 17 CFR 242.600(b)(37).

<sup>5</sup> The Exchange proposes non-substantive amendments to Rule 7.37–E(d) to update the names of the exchanges listed in the table by replacing the term “Bats” with “Cboe,” adding reference to “BYX” for one of the Cboe exchanges, replacing the term “NASDAQ” with “Nasdaq,” and adding the word “The” before Nasdaq Stock Market LLC.

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74409 (March 2, 2015), 80 FR 12221 (March 6, 2015) (SR–NYSEArca–2015–11) (Notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of proposed rule change) (“Datafeed Filing”).

<sup>7</sup> The Exchange does not adjust its calculation of the NBBO based on information about orders sent to Away Markets, execution reports from Away Markets, or certain orders received by the Exchange.

<sup>8</sup> MEMX Rule 13.4(b) provides: “The Exchange may adjust its calculation of the NBBO based on information about orders sent to other venues with protected quotations, execution reports received from those venues, and certain orders received by the Exchange.”

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.