If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Background

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC is requesting public comment on its intention to request the OMB’s approval for the information collection summarized below.


2. OMB approval number: OMB approval number 3150–0009.

3. Type of submission: Extension.

4. The form number, if applicable: Not applicable.

5. How often the collection is required or requested: Required reports are collected and evaluated on a continuing basis as events occur. Applications for new licenses and amendments may be submitted at any time. Generally, renewal applications are submitted every 10 years, although the Commission has allowed longer periods for major fuel cycle facilities; updates of the Integrated Safety Analysis are submitted annually.

6. Who will be required or asked to respond: Applicants for and holders of specific and general licenses to receive, own, acquire, deliver, receive, possess, use, or initially transfer special nuclear material.

7. The estimated number of annual responses: 1,214.

8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 200.

9. The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request: 37,050 hours (31,557 hours reporting + 5,459 hours recordkeeping + 34 hours third-party disclosure).

10. Abstract: 10 CFR part 70, establishes requirements for licensees to own, acquire, receive, possess, use, and transfer special nuclear material. The information in the applications, reports, and records is used by the NRC to make licensing and or regulatory determinations concerning the use of special nuclear material.

III. Specific Requests for Comments

The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?


For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David C. Cullison,
NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.
[FR Doc. 2020–22222 Filed 10–7–20; 8:45 am]
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AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission’s consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: October 14, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
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I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)


II. Description

The Exchange proposed certain amendments to the Parent Bylaws that, according to the Exchange, would “improve the governance processes” of the Parent and “make certain provisions more consistent with the Delaware General Corporation Law (“DGCL”).”4 According to the Exchange, many of the proposed changes reflect corporate governance best practices and, in some instances, provide clarity and flexibility to the Parent Bylaws.6

**Proposed Changes to Article 2—Stockholders**

The majority of the proposed changes amend Section 2.11 (Nomination of Directors) and Section 2.12 (Notice of Business at Annual Meetings). According to the Exchange, the changes are designed to reflect the most up-to-date practices under the DGCL and provide the Board with additional information and advance notice in connection with nominations and the conduct of business at annual and special meetings. In particular, the Exchange combines current Section 2.12 into Section 2.11 and amends provisions that govern notice requirements for annual and special meetings, as well as provisions that provide general procedures and practices in connection with notices. The proposed delineation does not alter the process or definition of either type of meeting, but instead provides for significantly more detailed written notice requirements as well as updates to the manner and timeliness of notices.

Additionally, as detailed further in the Notice, the proposed rule change relocates and expands text concerning nominees for directors and elections of directors, as well as amends provisions concerning the place of annual and special meetings and the adjournment of meetings.8

Further, the Exchange proposes to update provisions that govern the preparing of the voting list, the ability of the Board to appoint a director to preside over meetings in the absence of the Chairman of the Board, and provisions concerning the procedural authority of the presiding officer at any stockholder meeting.9

**Proposed Changes to Article 3—Directors**

The proposed rule change amends provisions concerning director vacancies, notice for special meetings of the Board, and the routine filing of consents following an action by the Board.10

The proposed change also adds new Section 3.15 (Emergency Bylaws). In particular, that new section provides certain temporary emergency provisions that would apply at the outset of an emergency, disaster, or catastrophe, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws, only for so long as a quorum of the Board cannot readily be convened for action. The Exchange notes that proposed Section 3.15 is meant to provide the Parent with short-term flexibility to continue operations during an emergency situation, and that proposed paragraph (f) makes clear that, as soon as it is practicable for a majority of the elected directors to reconvene, they would be expected to do so.12

**Proposed Changes to Article 4—Committees**

The proposed rule change to Section 4.1 (Designation of Committees) adds language to reflect that the Board may designate one or more committees of the Board, and also adds text to address the absence or disqualification of committee members and allow committee members

---

4 In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange provided additional detail and clarity on a few points without materially changing the proposal or the proposed rule text. Specifically, in Amendment No. 1, the Exchange: (i) Provided additional support for its proposed restrictions on the use of audio, video, and cell phones during stockholder meetings, including information on past practice by the Exchange, underlying authority for such restrictions in the current Parent Bylaws, and comparison to the practices of other Delaware-incorporated public companies; (ii) clarified that the provisions of proposed Section 3.15 are subject to existing Section 10.2, including a representation that emergency Bylaw amendments made pursuant to proposed Section 3.15(g) may need to be filed pursuant to Section 19 of the Exchange Act; (iii) clarified that proposed Section 3.15 is meant to provide shareholders flexibility to continue operations during the initial stage of an emergency situation, and that proposed paragraph (f) makes clear that, as soon as it is practicable for a majority of the elected directors to reconvene, they would be expected to do so; and (iv) added further explanation of the provision in proposed Section 4.1 regarding the limitation of the power and authority vested in a Board committee in the management of the business and affairs of the Parent. To promote transparency of its proposed amendment, when the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 with the Commission, it also submitted Amendment No. 1 as a comment letter to the filing, which then became publicly available on the Commission’s website.
5 See Notice, supra note 3, at 51117.
6 See Notice, supra note 3.
7 See Notice, supra note 3, for a discussion of the detailed proposed changes to Article 2 and the DGCL provisions and rules of other exchanges on which they are modeled.
8 See Notice, supra note 3, at 51119–51120. See also Section 2.10 (Action at Meeting), 2.11 (Notice of Business and Nomination of Directors at Meetings of Stockholders), 2.1 (Place of Meetings), 2.2 (Annual Meeting), 2.3 (Special Meeting), and 2.7 (Adjournments).
9 See also Amendment No. 1 (concerning restrictions on the use of audio, video, and cell phones during stockholder meetings).
10 See Notice, supra note 3, for a discussion of the detailed proposed changes to Article 3 and the DGCL provisions and rules of other exchanges on which they are modeled.
11 See Section 3.5 (Vacancies), Section 3.10 (Special Meetings), and Section 3.13 (Action by Consent). See also Notice, supra note 3, at 51121.
12 See Amendment No. 1.
13 See Notice, supra note 3, for a discussion of the detailed proposed changes to Article 4 and the DGCL provisions and rules of other exchanges on which they are modeled.