operator, to approve the security suspension at a permanently shutdown and defueled power plant does not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. The proposed exemption is unrelated to any operational restriction. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(i) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(ii) and (iii)

The proposed exemption would not change radioactive effluents or emissions that affect radiation exposures to plant workers and members of the public. Accordingly, there is no significant change in the types or significant increase in the amounts of any effluents that may be released of site and no significant increase in individual or cumulative public or occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(ii) and (iii) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(iv)

The proposed exemption is not associated with construction or major renovations of any buildings or structures. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(iv) are met because there is no significant construction impact.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(v)

The proposed exemption does not concern the source term (i.e., potential amount of radiation in an accident) or mitigation. Thus, there is no significant increase in the potential for or consequences from radiological accidents. Therefore, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(v) are met.

Requirements in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi)

The proposed exemption is from the requirement to have a licensed senior operator approve suspensions of security measures in an emergency or during severe weather. Therefore, the requirement from which the exemption is sought involves safeguard plans, materials control, and managerial and organizational matters and, thus, the requirements of 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25)(vi) are met.

Determination Regarding 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25) Requirements

Based on the above, the NRC staff determines that the proposed exemption meets the eligibility criteria for a categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(25). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the approval of the proposed exemption.

IV. Conclusion

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that, pursuant to 10 CFR 73.5, the proposed exemption is authorized by law, will not endanger life or property or the common defense and security, and is otherwise in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission hereby grants the licensee’s request for an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 73.55(p)(1)(i) and (ii) to allow either a licensed senior operator or a CFH to approve the suspension of security measures in an emergency or during severe weather at Byron once the certifications required under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1) have been submitted.

The exemption is effective upon receipt.


For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Caroline L. Carusone,
Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
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I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request’s acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 [Public Representative]. Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s) can be accessed via the Commission’s website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service’s request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service’s request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. Docket No(s): MC2021–129 and CP2021–134; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express, Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 76 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION


Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend General 9, Section 51, Research Analysts

August 24, 2021.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),\(^1\) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,\(^2\) notice is hereby given that on August 12, 2021, The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend General 9, Section 51, Research Analysts.

The Exchange also proposes to amend General 9, Section 10, Recommendations to Customers (Suitability).

By way of background, FINRA previously deleted in their entirety the NASD Rule 1000 Series relating to registration of Principals and Representatives and adopted rules relating to qualification and registration requirements in the Consolidated FINRA Rulebook.\(^3\) In that rule change, FINRA Rule 1250 was renumbered to FINRA Rule 1240.\(^4\) FINRA Rule 1240 describes continuing education requirements applicable to registered persons and consists of a Regulatory Element and a Firm Element.

Nasdaq subsequently filed a rule change\(^5\) to amend, reorganize and enhance certain of its corresponding membership, registration and qualification requirements rules in part in response to the FINRA Rule Changes,\(^6\) and also in order to facilitate the adoption of similar membership, registration and qualification rules by Nasdaq’s affiliated exchanges. In that rule change, Nasdaq amended its Rule 1050 (now General 9, Section 51) to remove references to NASD Rules 2711, 1050, 1022 and 1120 and it replaced those references with FINRA Rules 1120, 1250, and 2241.\(^7\) The reference to FINRA Rule 1120 was in error because, at that time, FINRA Rule 1120 did not exist. NASD Rule 1022 was adopted as FINRA Rule 1250.\(^8\) Also, the references to FINRA Rule 1250 were in error because FINRA Rule 1250 was renumbered as FINRA Rule 1240.\(^9\) Of note, NASD Rules 1050 (Registration of Research Analysts) and 1022 (Categories of Principal Registrations) were superseded by the FINRA Rule 1200 Series but this was not reflected within SR–FINRA–2018–078 [sic].\(^10\)

At this time, Nasdaq proposes to remove the incorrect references to FINRA Rules 1210 and 1250 as such rules do not exist. The Exchange proposes to update the reference to FINRA Rule 1250 with a reference to current FINRA Rule 1240. The Exchange also proposes to add references to FINRA Rules 1220(a)(6), (a)(14), and (b)(6), because they correspond to previous NASD Rules 1050 and 1022.

These changes would reflect the current FINRA rules relating to research analysts.

The Exchange proposes to amend General 9, Section 10, Recommendations to Customers.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend General 9, Section 51, Research Analysts. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to (1) remove references to FINRA Rules 1120 and 1250;\(^4\) and (2) add references to FINRA Rules 1240 and 1220(a)(6), (a)(14) and (b)(6).

The Exchange also proposes to amend General 9, Section 10, Recommendations to Customers (Suitability).

2. Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) (1) The Exchange proposes to adopt Consolidated Registration Rules, FINRA Rule 1250 was renumbered to FINRA Rule 1240.\(^5\) FINRA Rule 1240 describes continuing education requirements applicable to registered persons and consists of a Regulatory Element and a Firm Element.

(b) The Exchange also proposes to amend, reorganize and enhance certain of its corresponding membership, registration and qualification requirements rules in part in response to the FINRA Rule Changes,\(^6\) and also in order to facilitate the adoption of similar membership, registration and qualification rules by Nasdaq’s affiliated exchanges. In that rule change, Nasdaq amended its Rule 1050 (now General 9, Section 51) to remove references to NASD Rules 2711, 1050, 1022 and 1120 and it replaced those references with FINRA Rules 1120, 1250, and 2241.\(^7\) The reference to FINRA Rule 1120 was in error because, at that time, FINRA Rule 1120 did not exist. NASD Rule 1022 was adopted as FINRA Rule 1250.\(^8\) Also, the references to FINRA Rule 1250 were in error because FINRA Rule 1250 was renumbered as FINRA Rule 1240.\(^9\) Of note, NASD Rules 1050 (Registration of Research Analysts) and 1022 (Categories of Principal Registrations) were superseded by the FINRA Rule 1200 Series but this was not reflected within SR–FINRA–2018–078 [sic].\(^10\)

At this time, Nasdaq proposes to remove the incorrect references to FINRA Rules 1210 and 1250 as such rules do not exist. The Exchange proposes to update the reference to FINRA Rule 1250 with a reference to current FINRA Rule 1240. The Exchange also proposes to add references to FINRA Rules 1220(a)(6), (a)(14), and (b)(6), because they correspond to previous NASD Rules 1050 and 1022.

These changes would reflect the current FINRA rules relating to research analysts.

The Exchange proposes to amend General 9, Section 10, Recommendations to Customers.

Restructure the Representative-Level Qualification Examination Program, Allow Permissive Registration, Establish Exam Waiver Process for Persons Working for Financial Services Affiliate of Member, and Amend the Continuing Education Requirements).

Id.


\(^{10}\) See note 5 above.

\(^{11}\) See note 3 above.

\(^{12}\) See notes 4 and 5 above.