

containing more than  $10^5 A_2$  has not been demonstrated to meet the deep-water immersion requirements of 10 CFR 71.61, *i.e.*, the package's undamaged containment system has not been shown that it can withstand an external water pressure of 2 MegaPascal for a period of not less than 1 hour without collapse, buckling, or in-leakage of water.

Along with its exemption request, NWP also requests approval from the NRC for an increase in: (1) The  $A_2$  limit from less than  $10^5 A_2$  to  $2.1 \times 10^5 A_2$  and (2) the authorized decay heat limit from 80 watts (W) to 190 W. The  $A_2$  and decay heat limits are established in the TRUPACT-III Certificate of Compliance No. 9305, Revision No. 10 (ADAMS Package Accession No. ML18171A176).

The TRUPACT-III is a shipping container used to transport transuranic (TRU) waste within an SLB2. The TRUPACT-III packages are front loaded in a horizontal position on custom-designed trailers for truck transport. The two TRUPACT-III packages will be transported by truck from the SRS in South Carolina, to the U.S. Department of Energy WIPP, Carlsbad, New Mexico.

The SLB2 waste boxes have not yet been loaded into the TRUPACT-III packages and are currently sitting on a storage pad at SRS. The contents of each SLB2 waste box is primarily one-half of a decommissioned tank used to process Plutonium-238.

## II. Environmental Assessment

### *Description of the Proposed Action*

Under the requirements of 10 CFR 51.21 and 51.30(a), the NRC staff developed an EA (ADAMS Accession No. ML19317E461) to evaluate the proposed Federal action, which is for the NRC to grant an exemption to NWP from the deep-water immersion test requirements for the one-time transport of two TRUPACT-III packages from SRS to WIPP.

### *Need for the Proposed Action*

The EA defines the NRC's proposed action (*i.e.*, to grant NWP's exemption request from 10 CFR 71.61) and the purpose and need for the proposed action. Evaluations of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed action and alternatives to the proposed action were considered, followed by the NRC's conclusion.

### *Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action*

The EA evaluates the potential environmental impacts of granting the exemption of the two subject TRUPACT-III packages from the deep-

water immersion test. The only potential impacts from granting the exemption would be radiological impacts associated with an accident scenario. However, the analysis in the EA shows that there would be no radiological impacts as a result of exempting these two packages from the deep-water immersion test since the packages will not cross any body of water with a depth greater than 15 meters (m) (50 feet [ft]). Any nonradiological impacts would be no greater than those for the transport of any other TRUPACT-III package and would be bounded by previous environmental analyses (NUREG-0170, Vol. 1; ADAMS Accession No. ML12192A283). Therefore, the environmental impacts of transporting these two TRUPACT-III packages from SRS to WIPP are still bounded by those impacts documented in NUREG-0170.

### *Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action*

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative); further segmenting the waste; using a different type of package; and storing the waste until the activity decays below  $10^5 A_2$ . None of the alternatives is preferable to the proposed action because either the impacts are greater than the proposed action or they do not meet the purpose and need of the proposed action. Therefore, the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

### *Agencies and Persons Consulted*

In accordance with its stated policy, on September 23, 2019, the staff consulted with the States of South Carolina and New Mexico regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action (ADAMS Accession Package Nos. ML19269E263, and ML19269E169, respectively). The NRC did not receive any comments on the draft EA (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML19304B327, and ML19310F330, respectively).

## III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared an EA and FONSI in support of the proposed action. The EA is available at ADAMS Accession No. ML19317E461. The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action, for the NRC to grant an exemption to NWP from the deep-water immersion test for the transport of two SLB2 waste boxes in Model No. TRUPACT-III packages from SRS to WIPP, will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, and that the proposed action is the preferred alternative. The environmental impacts

of the two packages are bounded by previous NRC environmental analysis since the packages will not cross bodies of water greater than 15 m (50 ft) in depth.

The NRC staff has determined that the exemption from the deep-water immersion test for the two subject packages would have no impact on historic and cultural resources or ecological resources and, therefore, no consultations are necessary under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, respectively.

The NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted.

Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a FONSI is appropriate.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 14th of November, 2019.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Daniel I. Doyle,**

*Acting Chief, Storage and Transportation Licensing Branch Division of Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 2019-25121 Filed 11-19-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

---

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2020-25 and CP2020-24; MC2020-26 and CP2020-25]

### New Postal Products

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* November 21, 2019.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### Table of Contents

I. Introduction

## II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

**I. Introduction**

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007.301.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

**II. Docketed Proceeding(s)**

1. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–25 and CP2020–24; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 104 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: November 13, 2019; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3015.5;

*Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: November 21, 2019.

2. *Docket No(s)*: MC2020–26 and CP2020–25; *Filing Title*: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 560 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date*: November 13, 2019; *Filing Authority*: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.*, and 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative*: Christopher C. Mohr; *Comments Due*: November 21, 2019.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**Darcie S. Tokioka**,

*Acting Secretary*.

[FR Doc. 2019–25076 Filed 11–19–19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34–87501; File No. SR–ICEEU–2019–024]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; ICE Clear Europe Limited; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Amendments to the Business Continuity Procedures (the “Business Continuity Procedures”)**

November 12, 2019.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on October 28, 2019, ICE Clear Europe Limited (“ICE Clear Europe”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule changes described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by ICE Clear Europe. ICE Clear Europe filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)<sup>4</sup> thereunder, such that the proposed rule change was immediately effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

ICE Clear Europe proposes to make certain amendments to its Business Continuity Procedures.<sup>5</sup>

**II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, ICE Clear Europe included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. ICE Clear Europe has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) *Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

## (a) Purpose

ICE Clear Europe proposes to modify certain details of its Business Continuity Procedures. The amendments update remove reference to Atlanta and to provide further clarity to when the clearing house will inform Clearing Members of Business Continuity events.

## (b) Statutory Basis

ICE Clear Europe believes that the changes described herein are consistent with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act<sup>6</sup> and the regulations thereunder applicable to it. Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act<sup>7</sup> in particular requires, among other things, that the rules of the clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and, to the extent applicable, derivative agreements, contracts and transactions, to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible and the protection of investors, and, in general, protect investors and the public interest. The proposed amendments are designed to update details regarding contact information and notices relating to Business Continuity Events to ensure that Clearing Members are provided with clear and up to date information in the event of a Business Continuity Event. As a result, in ICE Clear Europe's view, the amendments are consistent

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6).

<sup>5</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings specified in the ICE Clear Europe Rules.

<sup>6</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q–1.

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q–1(b)(3)(F).