websites, providing a platform on which to disseminate their data releases and policy-neutral analysis, and, in many cases, also have other ways on the internet, such as social media accounts, to disseminate their data releases and policy-neutral analysis. In short, modern forms of dissemination, being more speedy and comprehensive, may reduce the need for such a long time period between the release of PFEIs, and policy comment on them by employees of the Executive Branch.

Request for Comments: The full text of Directive No. 3, as issued in 1985, is available at https:// www.whitehouse.gov/sites/ whitehouse.gov/files/omb/assets/OMB/ inforeg/statpolicy/dir 3 fr 09251985.pdf. This notice requests comment on the continued relevance of the provision of Directive No. 3 that prohibits public comment by employees of the Executive Branch from speaking about the release until at least one hour following the release of PFEIs. In particular, OMB seeks comment on whether advances in information dissemination technology since Directive No. 3's issuance in 1985 could provide for meeting the goals of Directive No. 3 to ensure equitable, policy-neutral, and timely release and dissemination of PFEIs under a shorter time delay, including no time delay at

The text relevant to the specified provision for comment appears within the last paragraph of Section 5. For ease of review, the abbreviated text of Section 5 is provided below, and the text describing the current limitation on Executive Branch employees is provided in bolded text.

5. Release Procedure. \* \* \* Except for the authorized distribution described in this section, agencies shall ensure that no information or data estimates are released before the official release time.

The agency will provide prerelease information to the President, through the Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers, as soon as it is available. The agency may grant others prerelease access only under the following conditions:

(a) The agency head must establish whatever security arrangements are necessary and impose whatever conditions on the granting of access are necessary to ensure that there is no unauthorized dissemination or use.

(b) The agency head shall ensure that any person granted access has been fully informed of and agreed to these conditions.

(c) Any prerelease of information under an embargo shall not precede the official release time by more than 30 minutes.

(d) In all cases, prerelease access shall precede the official release time only to the extent necessary for an orderly review of the data.

All employees of the Executive Branch who receive prerelease distribution of information and data estimates as authorized above are responsible for assuring that there is no release prior to the official release time. Except for members of the staff of the agency issuing the principal economic indicator who have been designated by the agency head to provide technical explanations of the data, employees of the Executive Branch shall not comment publicly on the data until at least one hour after the official release time.

Any changes to the text from Section 5 would neither affect nor replace any of the other standards and guidelines articulated in Directive No. 3.

OMB seeks comments from all interested parties, including data users, businesses, and the media, on the continued relevance of the one-hour delay identified in the provision that "employees of the Executive Branch shall not comment publicly on the data until at least one hour after the official release time." In particular, OMB seeks comment about maintaining the one-hour delay, as well as reducing the time duration of the delay to some amount less than one hour, including consideration of the option of eliminating the delay entirely.

#### Dominic Mancini,

Deputy Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2019–07172 Filed 4–10–19; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3110–01–P

### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

# Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation (NSF) announces the following meeting:

Name and Committee Code: Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences (#1171).

Date and Time: May 2, 2019; 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., May 3, 2019; 9:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

Place: National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Room E2020, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Type of Meeting: Open. Contact Person: Dr. Deborah Olster, Office of the Assistant Director, Directorate for Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences, National Science Foundation, 2415 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22314; Telephone: 703–292–8700.

Summary of Minutes: Posted on SBE advisory committee website at: https://www.nsf.gov/sbe/advisory.jsp.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation on major goals and policies pertaining to Social, Behavioral and Economic Sciences Directorate (SBE) programs and activities.

## Agenda

- SBE Directorate Update
- To Secure Knowledge
- NSF Distinguished Lecture in the Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences: Science Comprehension Without Curiosity is No Virtue, and Curiosity Without Comprehension No Vice, Dr. Dan Kahan, Yale University
- SBE research to address Office of Management and Budget/Office of Scientific and Technology Policy Scientific Priorities
- Pursuing effective SBE partnerships
- Contributions of the SBE Sciences to National Security
- Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Engineering (CEOSE) Update
- Advisory Committee for Environmental Research and Education (AC–ERE) Update
- SBE Sciences and NSF's Big Ideas
- Wrap-up, Assignments, Planning for Next SBE AC Meeting

Dated: April 8, 2019.

# Crystal Robinson,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2019–07203 Filed 4–10–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

# POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2019–116 and CP2019–125; MC2019–117 and CP2019–126; MC2019–118 and CP2019–127]

# **New Postal Products**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** Comments are due: April 15, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <a href="http://www.prc.gov">http://www.prc.gov</a>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Table of Contents**

I. IntroductionII. Docketed Proceeding(s)

## I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3007 301 1

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3010, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

# II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s).:* MC2019–116 and CP2019–125; *Filing Title:* USPS Request

to Add Priority Mail Express & Priority Mail Contract 90 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* April 5, 2019; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., and 39 CFR 3015.5; *Public Representative:* Gregory Stanton; *Comments Due:* April 15, 2019.

2. Docket No(s).: MC2019–117 and CP2019–126; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 519 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: April 5, 2019; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., and 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Gregory Stanton; Comments Due: April 15, 2019.

3. Docket No(s).: MC2019–118 and CP2019–127; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 520 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: April 5, 2019; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., and 39 CFR 3015.5; Public Representative: Gregory Stanton; Comments Due: April 15, 2019.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Stacy L. Ruble,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2019–07206 Filed 4–10–19; 8:45 am]

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–2736

Extension:

Rule 19d–1, SEC File No. 270–242, OMB Control No. 3235–0206

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) ("PRA"), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the existing collection of information provided for in Rule 19d–1 (17 CFR 240.19d–1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.) ("Exchange Act"). The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget) ("OMB") for extension and approval.

Rule 19d–1 prescribes the form and content of notices to be filed with the Commission by self-regulatory

organizations ("SROs") for which the Commission is the appropriate regulatory agency concerning the following final SRO actions: (1) Disciplinary actions with respect to any person; (2) denial, bar, prohibition, or limitation of membership, participation or association with a member or of access to services offered by an SRO or member thereof; (3) summarily suspending a member, participant, or person associated with a member, or summarily limiting or prohibiting any persons with respect to access to or services offered by the SRO or a member thereof; and (4) delisting a security.

The Rule enables the Commission to obtain reports from the SROs containing information regarding SRO determinations to delist a security, discipline members or associated persons of members, deny membership or participation or association with a member, and similar adjudicated findings. The Rule requires that such actions be promptly reported to the Commission. The Rule also requires that the reports and notices supply sufficient information regarding the background, factual basis and issues involved in the proceeding to enable the Commission: (1) To determine whether the matter should be called up for review on the Commission's own motion; and (2) to ascertain generally whether the SRO has adequately carried out its responsibilities under the Exchange Act.

It is estimated that approximately eighteen respondents will utilize this application procedure annually, and will file approximately 1,350 submissions, based upon recent data. The Commission estimates that the average number of hours necessary to comply with the requirements of Rule 19d-1 for each submission is 1 hour. The total annual burden for all respondents is thus 1,350 hours. The Commission estimates that the internal compliance cost per respondent is approximately \$298 per response. The annual internal cost of compliance for all respondents is thus approximately \$402,300 (18 respondents × 75 responses  $\times$  \$298 per response).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).