

Upon a final adverse determination on access to an ISFSI, the licensee shall provide the individual its documented basis for denial. Access to an ISFSI shall not be granted to an individual during the review process.

### G. Protection of Information

1. The licensee shall develop, implement, and maintain a system for personnel information management with appropriate procedures for the protection of personal, confidential information. This system shall be designed to prohibit unauthorized access to sensitive information and to prohibit modification of the information without authorization.

2. Each licensee who obtains a criminal history record on an individual pursuant to this Order shall establish and maintain a system of files and procedures, for protecting the record and the personal information from unauthorized disclosure.

3. The licensee may not disclose the record or personal information collected and maintained to persons other than the subject individual, his/her representative, or to those who have a need to access the information in performing assigned duties in the process of determining suitability for unescorted access to the protected area of an ISFSI. No individual authorized to have access to the information may re-disseminate the information to any other individual who does not have the appropriate need-to-know.

4. The personal information obtained on an individual from a criminal history record check may be transferred to another licensee if the gaining licensee receives the individual's written request to re-disseminate the information contained in his/her file, and the gaining licensee verifies information such as the individual's name, date of birth, social security number, sex, and other applicable physical characteristics for identification purposes.

5. The licensee shall make criminal history records, obtained under this section, available for examination by an authorized representative of the NRC to determine compliance with the regulations and laws.

[FR Doc. E9-13705 Filed 6-10-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

#### Federal Employees Health Benefits Program: Medically Underserved Areas for 2010

**AGENCY:** U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**ACTION:** Notice of Medically Underserved Areas for 2010.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has completed its annual determination of the States that qualify as Medically Underserved Areas under the Federal Employees Health Benefits (FEHB) Program for calendar year 2010. This is necessary to comply with a provision of the FEHB law that mandates special consideration for enrollees of certain FEHB plans who receive covered health services in States with critical shortages of primary care physicians. Accordingly, for calendar year 2010, the following states are Medically Underserved Areas under the FEHB Program: Alabama, Arizona, Idaho, Illinois, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, South Carolina, South Dakota, and Wyoming. For the 2010 calendar year, no new States have been added.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* January 1, 2010.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ingrid Burford, 202-606-0004.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FEHB law (5 U.S.C. 8902(m)(2)) requires special consideration for enrollees of certain FEHB plans who receive covered health services in States with critical shortages of primary care physicians. This section of the law requires that a State be designated as a Medically Underserved Area if 25 percent or more of the population lives in an area designated by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as a primary medical-care manpower shortage area. Such States are designated as Medically Underserved Areas for purposes of the FEHB Program, and the law requires non-HMO FEHB plans to reimburse beneficiaries, subject to their contract terms, for covered services obtained from any licensed provider in these States.

FEHB regulations (5 CFR 890.701) require OPM to make an annual determination of the States that qualify as Medically Underserved Areas for the next calendar year by comparing the latest HHS State-by-State population counts on primary medical-care manpower shortage areas with U.S. Census figures on State resident populations.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**John Berry,**  
*Director.*

[FR Doc. E9-13706 Filed 6-10-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

### POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2009-35; Order No. 221]

#### Global Expedited Package Services 1 Contract

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add an additional Global Expedited Package Services 1 negotiated service agreement to the Competitive Product List. This notice addresses procedural steps associated with this filing.

**DATES:** Comments are due June 11, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 and [stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

On June 1, 2009, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into an additional Global Expedited Package Services 1 (GEPS 1) contract.<sup>1</sup> GEPS 1 provides volume-based incentives for mailers that send large volumes of Express Mail International (EMI) and/or Priority Mail International (PMI). The Postal Service believes the instant contract is functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS contracts, and is supported by the Governors' Decision filed in Docket No. CP2008-5.<sup>2</sup> Notice at 1. It further notes that in Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, the Commission held that additional contracts may be included as part of the GEPS 1 product if they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633, and if they are functionally equivalent to the

<sup>1</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, June 1, 2009 (Notice).

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. CP2008-5, Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Global Expedited Package Services Contracts (Governors' Decision No. 08-7), May 6, 2008, and United States Postal Service Notice of Filing Redacted Copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-7, July 23, 2008.

initial GEPS 1 contract filed in Docket No. CP2008–5.<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 1.

*The instant contract.* The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. It submitted the contract and supporting material under seal, and attached a redacted copy of the contract and certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) to the Notice as Attachments 1 and 2, respectively.<sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 1–2. On June 3, 2009, the Post revised information under seal to replace information originally filed under seal and an updated Certification of Compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633.<sup>5</sup> The term of the contract is one year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received.

The Notice addresses reasons why the instant GEPS 1 contract fits within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GEPS 1. The Postal Service explains that the instant contract is functionally equivalent to the GEPS 1 contracts filed previously because it shares similar cost and market characteristics and, therefore, the contracts should be classified as a single product. *Id.* at 3. It states that in Governors' Decision No. 08–7, a pricing formula and classification system was established to ensure that each contract meets the statutory and regulatory requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. The Postal Service affirms that the instant contract demonstrates its functional equivalence with the previous GEPS 1 contracts because of several factors: The contract term of one year which applies to all GEPS 1 contracts, requirement of payment through permit imprint, and its total costs are volume variable as are the total costs associated with all GEPS 1 contracts. *Id.* at 4. It explains that even though prices may be different based on volume or postage commitments made by the customers, these differences do not affect the contracts' functional equivalency because the total costs associated with GEPS 1 contracts are volume variable.

The Postal Service also states the instant contract has minor differences reflected in the language of this agreement compared to other GEPS 1

contracts. *Id.* These differences include provisions which reflect differences between the mailers including: (1) Language which addresses the connection between completion of the regulatory review process and expiration of a previous or existing agreement, if applicable;<sup>6</sup> (2) terms which clarify that other Postal Service products are available to the customer subject to the same regulatory standards as other mailers in general; (3) exclusion of certain flat rate products from the mail qualifying for discounts; (4) requiring the mailer to provide notice of intent to mail and to conform with the acceptance times and scheduling procedures at the acceptance site; (5) specific liquidated damages terms negotiated with this mailer; (6) terms to explain the mailer's volume and revenue commitment calculation upon early termination of the contract; and (7) clarifying language for regulatory entity obligations related to the contract or revisions to update terms or references from a prior agreement. *Id.* at 4–6.

The Postal Service states that these differences related to particular mailers are “incidental differences” and do not change the conclusion that these agreements are functionally equivalent in a substantive aspect. *Id.* at 6.

The Postal Service requests that this contract be included within the GEPS 1 product. *Id.*

## II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2009–35 for consideration of matters related to the contract identified in the Postal Service's Notice.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's contract is consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3622 or 3642. Comments are due no later than June 11, 2009. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Paul L. Harrington to serve as Public Representative in the captioned filings.

### *It is Ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2009–35 for consideration of the matters raised in this docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Paul L. Harrington is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the

interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than June 11, 2009.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Judith M. Grady,**  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. E9–13745 Filed 6–10–09; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. R2009–4; Order No. 220]

### Postal Service Price Changes

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is conducting a review of the Postal Service's planned price adjustment for Standard Mail High Density flat pieces. This document invites public comment.  
**DATES:** Comments are due June 22, 2009.  
**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel,  
202–789–6820 and  
[stephen.sharfman@prc.gov](mailto:stephen.sharfman@prc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Overview

On June 1, 2009, the Postal Service filed with the Commission a notice announcing its intention to adjust prices for Standard Mail High Density flat pieces pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3622 and 39 CFR Part 3010.<sup>1</sup> The proposed adjustment has a planned implementation date of July 19, 2009. The Postal Service submits that this proposal represents a way that it can take advantage of its greater pricing flexibility for market dominant products under the Postal Accountability and Enhancement Act (PAEA), Public Law 109–435, 120 Stat. 3218 (2006), to “respond quickly and flexibly to perceived needs in the mailing community.” *Id.* at 3.

#### II. Postal Service Filing

*Price adjustment.* The proposed price adjustment reduces prices for the Standard Mail High Density flats price categories for both commercial and nonprofit mailpieces. *Id.* at 2. The

<sup>1</sup> United States Postal Service Notice of Market-Dominant Price Adjustment, June 1, 2009 (Notice).

<sup>3</sup> See PRC Order No. 86, Order Concerning Global Expedited Package Services Contracts, June 27, 2008, at 7 (Order No. 86).

<sup>4</sup> Attachment 1 to the Notice consists of a redacted version of the contract. Attachment 2 is a redacted Certification of Compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633.

<sup>5</sup> Notice of United States Postal Service Filing (Under Seal) of Revised Information and Revised Certification, June 3, 2009.

<sup>6</sup> The Postal Service states that some of the contracts generally provide that if all applicable reviews have not been completed at the time an older contract expires, the mailer must pay published prices until some alternative becomes available. Because in the instant contract the mailer is a new customer, this provision is not included.