

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, visit <http://www.regulations.gov/privacyNotice>.

Documents mentioned in this NPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that website's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

- 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6 and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

- 2. Add § 165.T13–0380 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T13–0380 Safety Zone; Willamette River, Lake Oswego, OR.

(a) *Safety zone.* The following area is designated a safety zone: Waters of the Willamette River, within a 450-yard radius of the fireworks barge located at 45°24'37.46" N, 122°39'29.70" W in vicinity of George Rogers Park in Lake Oswego, OR.

(b) *Regulations.* In accordance with § 165.23, no person may enter or remain in this safety zone unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Columbia River or his designated representative. Also in accordance with § 165.23, no person may bring into, or allow to remain in this safety zone any vehicle, vessel, or object unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Columbia River or his designated representative.

(c) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced from 9 p.m. to 11:30 p.m. on July 4, 2018.

Dated: May 22, 2018.

**D.F. Berliner,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Sector Columbia River.*

[FR Doc. 2018–11370 Filed 5–25–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 39 CFR Part 3050

[Docket No. RM2018–4; Order No. 4610]

#### Periodic Reporting

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent filing requesting that the Commission initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to an analytical method for use in periodic reporting (Proposal One). This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:**

*Comments are due:* June 13, 2018.

*Reply Comments are due:* June 20, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Proposal One
- III. Notice and Comment
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

#### I. Introduction

On May 17, 2018, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports and compliance determinations.<sup>1</sup> The Petition identifies the proposed analytical method changes filed in this docket as Proposal One.

<sup>1</sup> Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal One), May 17, 2018 (Petition).

## II. Proposal One

**Background.** Proposal One relates to sampling procedures for the Delivery Point Sequenced (DPS) portion of the Rural Carrier Cost System (RCCS) data used for the distribution of rural carrier costs within the Cost and Revenue Analysis (CRA) Report. Petition at 1. The RCCS is a continuous, ongoing cross-sectional statistical study, or probability sample of rural carrier route-days. *Id.* Proposal One at 1. For each selected route-day, a sample of mail is selected, and for each selected mailpiece, characteristics are recorded directly into a portable microcomputer. *Id.* RCCS data are primarily used to distribute rural carrier costs among the products that rural carriers deliver. *Id.* at 2.

The Origin-Destination Information System—Revenue, Pieces, and Weight (ODIS–RPW) used to estimate volume and revenue is also a probability-based destinating mail sampling system in which data collectors also record mail characteristics from sampled mailpieces. *Id.* Data collectors record mail characteristics using digitally captured images of letter-shaped and card-shaped mail, thereby eliminating the need for manual sampling of DPS letters and cards. *Id.* Mailpiece information obtained from ODIS–RPW digital sampling is similar to RCCS DPS data elements, including the destinating carrier route number. *Id.*

**Proposal.** Proposal One involves a methodology change in RCCS data collection procedures and in the volume proportion estimation procedures used for cost distribution for certain DPS mail. *Id.* at 1. Proposal One is similar to Proposal Nine approved by the Commission in Docket No. RM2017–13, which proposed use of digital image samples for the City Carrier Cost System (CCCS).<sup>2</sup>

Proposal One would allow utilization of the same digital data (regarding DPS pieces destined for delivery by rural routes) currently employed by ODIS–RPW to simultaneously enhance the RCCS estimation of delivered DPS volumes and eliminate the need to manually sample a large portion of DPS mail. Petition, Proposal One at 2. Details about the mapping of products to mailcodes are set forth in the RCCS Digital Mailcode Flowchart Excel file electronically attached to the Petition. The RCCS Digital System documentation electronically attached to Proposal One as a PDF document provides more details on the proposed

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* Docket No. RM2017–13, Order on Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Nine), December 15, 2017 (Order No. 4278).

procedures. For rural routes in ZIP Codes that are not included in the ODIS–RPW digital sampling frame, the current methodology of manually sampling DPS mail would continue, and those estimates would be combined with the digital DPS estimates to produce the distribution key for DPS mail used to apportion street activity costs to categories of mail in Cost Segment 10. *Id.* at 3.

**Rationale and impact.** The Postal Service states that including ODIS–RPW digital data would greatly enhance RCCS DPS estimates and would substantially magnify the benefits of utilizing digital data already approved by the Commission. *Id.* RCCS data collectors on most RCCS tests would no longer have to take the time to pull sample mailpieces from DPS letter trays. *Id.* This would allow them more time to devote to sampling other mail types, like parcels and cased letters and flats. *Id.* at 3–4. This could also help avoid delays of carriers leaving the office to deliver mail. *Id.* at 4.

The automated, systematic method of collecting images of DPS letter and cards used to collect the sample would reduce the risk of undetected sampling errors, and the retention of the mailpiece images for 30 days would permit review and post-analysis by data collectors and supervisors. *Id.* Detailed information regarding the rational and impact of Proposal One, Rural Carrier Cost System—Digital DPS Statistical Documentation, is attached to the Petition as a PDF document. A table, Impact of Proposal One, included in the Petition also compares the FY 2017 DPS distribution key proportions and estimates the impact on unit costs from the proposal. *Id.* at 5. The Postal Service states that the table and an electronically attached Excel file demonstrate that the expected impact of Proposal One would be minimal. *Id.* at 4.

### III. Notice and Comment

The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2018–4 for consideration of matters raised by the Petition. More information on the Petition may be accessed via the Commission’s website at <http://www.prc.gov>. Interested persons may submit comments on the Petition and Proposal One no later than June 13, 2018. Reply comments are due no later than June 20, 2018. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Lawrence Fenster is designated as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

### IV. Ordering Paragraphs

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2018–4 for consideration of the matters raised by the Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal One), filed May 17, 2018.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than June 13, 2018.

3. Reply comments are due no later than June 20, 2018.

4. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Lawrence Fenster to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

5. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2018–11366 Filed 5–25–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R06–OAR–2017–0053; FRL–9978–46—Region 6]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Attainment Demonstration for the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria Ozone Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing approval of elements of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision for the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria 2008 8-hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) nonattainment area (HGB area). Specifically, EPA is proposing approval of the attainment demonstration, a reasonably available control measures (RACM) analysis, the contingency measures plan in the event of failure to attain the NAAQS by the applicable attainment date, and Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets (MVEBs) for 2017, which is the attainment year for the area. EPA is also notifying the public of the status of EPA’s adequacy

determination for these MVEBs for the HGB area.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 28, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket No. EPA–R06–OAR–2017–0053, at <http://www.regulations.gov> or via email to [young.carl@epa.gov](mailto:young.carl@epa.gov). Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact Carl Young, 214–665–6645, [young.carl@epa.gov](mailto:young.carl@epa.gov). For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**Docket:** The index to the docket for this action is available electronically at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and in hard copy at the EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (*e.g.*, copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available at either location (*e.g.*, CBI).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carl Young, 214–665–6645, [young.carl@epa.gov](mailto:young.carl@epa.gov). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment with Mr. Young or Mr. Bill Deese at 214–665–7253.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean the EPA.

### Table of Contents

- I. Background
  - A. The 2008 Ozone NAAQS and the HGB Area
  - B. CAA and Regulatory Requirements for Ozone Attainment Demonstration SIPs
  - C. State SIP Submittal
- II. The EPA’s Evaluation