be, adversely affected. The inspection determined that the licensee conformed to the subject NRC regulatory requirements pertinent in this circumstance and applicable to assessing the cause and effect of the CRDM nozzle cracking conditions.

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Director's Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of February 2011.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Eric J. Leeds,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 2011–4147 Filed 2–23–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2011–0006]

Sunshine Federal Register Notice

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATES: Weeks of February 21, 28, March 7, 14, 21, 28, 2011.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

Week of February 21, 2011

Thursday, February 24, 2011

9 a.m. Briefing on Groundwater Task Force (Public Meeting) (Contact: Margie Kotzalas, 301–415–1727)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov,*

Week of February 28, 2011—Tentative

Tuesday, March 1, 2011

9 a.m. Briefing on Reactor Materials Aging Management Issues (Public Meeting) (Contact: Allen Hiser, 301–415–5650)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov,*

Week of March 7, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 7, 2011.

Week of March 14, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 14, 2011.

Week of March 21, 2011—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 21, 2011.

Week of March 28, 2011—Tentative

Tuesday, March 29, 2011

9 a.m. Briefing on Small Modular Reactors (Public Meeting) (Contact: Stephanie Coffin, 301–415–6877)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*http://www.nrc.gov,*

* * * * *

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Rochelle Bavol, (301) 415–1651.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: *http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policy-making/schedule.html,*

* * * * *

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g. braille, large print), please notify Bill Dosch, Chief, Work Life and Benefits Branch, at 301–415–6200, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at *william.dosch@nrc.gov.* Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

* * * * *

This notice is distributed electronically to subscribers. If you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969), or send an e-mail to *darlene.wright@nrc.gov.*

Dated: February 17, 2011.

Rochelle C. Bavol,

Policy Coordinator, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–4150 Filed 2–22–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. MC2011–21 and CP2011–59; Order No. 674]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently-filed Postal Service request to add International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 to the competitive product list. The Postal Service also states that it has entered into an additional IBRS contract, which is the successor to an IBRS 2 contract.

DATES: *Comments are Due:* February 22, 2011.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically by accessing the "Filing Online" link in the banner at the top of the Commission's Web site (*http://www.prc.gov*) or by directly accessing the Commission's Filing Online system at *https://www.prc.gov/prc-pages/filing-online/login.aspx.* Commenters who cannot submit their views electronically should contact the person identified in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section as the source for case-related information for advice on alternatives to electronic filing.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820 (case-related information) or *DocketAdmins@prc.gov* (electronic filing assistance).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Notice of Filing
III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On February 11, 2011, the Postal Service filed a request pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 *et seq.* to add International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 to the competitive product list and announcing that it has entered into an additional IBRS contract, which is the successor to an IBRS 2 contract.[1] The Postal Service asserts that the new IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product is a competitive product "not of general applicability" within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2011–21.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. *Id.* Attachment 2. The contract is assigned Docket No. CP2011–59.

[1] Request of the United States Postal Service to Add International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 to the Competitive Products List and Notice of Filing of Contract (Under Seal), February 11, 2011 (Request).

The Postal Service uses IBRS contracts for customers that sell lightweight articles to foreign consumers and desire to offer their customers a way to return the articles to the United States for recycling, refurbishment, repair, or value-added processing. *Id.* at 4–5.

The instant contract. The Postal Service filed the instant contract pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that the contract is in accordance with Order No. 290.[2] The term of the instant contract is 1 year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. The agreement expires 1 year after the effective date unless the parties agree to an earlier termination. The Postal Service states that the instant contract is the successor agreement to the IBRS Competitive Contract 2 contract in Docket No. CP2010–21 for the same mailer.[3] Request at 3. The Postal Service notes that the current contract expires on February 28, 2011 and its intent is to have the instant contract begin March 1, 2011. *Id.* at 4.

In support of its Request, the Postal Service filed the following attachments:

- Attachment 1—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
- Attachment 2—a redacted copy of the contract;
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 4—Governors' Decision No. 08–24 which establishes prices and classifications for the IBRS Contracts product; and includes Mail Classification Schedule (MCS) language for IBRS contracts, formulas for pricing along with an analysis, certification of the Governors vote, and certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633 (a); and
- Attachment 5—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain the redacted portions of the contract, customer identifying information and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Jo Ann Miller, former Director, Global Business Development, asserts that the services to be provided under the instant contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of total institutional costs charged to competitive products.[4] *Id.* Attachment 1. Thus, Ms. Miller contends there will be no issue of subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products as a result of these contracts. *Id.*

Baseline agreement. The Postal Service requests that the instant contract be deemed the new baseline agreement for functional equivalency analyses of the IBRS product.[5] *Id.* at 2–4. The Postal Service asserts that the instant contract is essentially the same as the IBRS contracts filed previously. *Id.* at 4. The Postal Service represents that prices and classifications "not of general applicability" for IBRS contracts were established by Governors' Decision No. 08–24 filed in Docket Nos. MC2009–14 and CP2009–20.[6] It also identifies the instant contract as fitting within the MCS language for IBRS contracts included as an attachment to Governors' Decision No. 08–24. *Id.* at 2.

The Request advances reasons why IBRS Competitive Contracts 3 should be added to the competitive product list and fits within the MCS language for IBRS contracts. *Id.* at 4–5. The Postal Service also explains that a redacted version of the supporting financial documentation is included with this filing as a separate Excel file. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service asserts that the instant contract is in compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633, is functionally equivalent to other IBRS agreements, fits within the MCS language for IBRS contracts, will serve as the new baseline contract for the proposed product, and should be added to the competitive product list included within the IBRS Competitive Contracts 3 product. *Id.* at 5–6. Accordingly, it urges the Commission to add the proposed IBRS Competitive Contract 3 to the competitive product list along with the instant contract as the baseline agreement within the product. *Id.* at 6.

II. Notice of Filing

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2011–21 and CP2011–59 for consideration of matters identified in the Postal Service's Request.

The Commission appoints Diane K. Monaco to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

Comments. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633 or 3642 and 39 CFR part 3015 and 39 CFR part 3020 subpart B. Comments are due no later than February 22, 2011. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (*http://www.prc.gov*).

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2011–21 and CP2011–59 for consideration of the matters raised in these dockets.
2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Diane K. Monaco is appointed to serve as officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.
3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than February 22, 2011.
4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–4055 Filed 2–23–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

[2] *See* Docket No. CP2009–50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).

[3] *See* Docket Nos. MC2010–18, CP2010–21 and CP2010–22, Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Two Functionally Equivalent IBRS Contracts and Request to Establish Successor Instruments as Baseline International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 2, February 9, 2010.

[4] The Postal Service states that the statement provided by Jo Ann Miller, originally filed in Docket No. MC2009–14 is applicable to the instant proceeding and supports the addition of IBRS Competitive Contract 3 to the competitive product list.

[5] The Postal Service states that it does not intend to remove the IBRS Competitive Contract 2 from the competitive product list at this time.

[6] *See* Order No. 178, Order Concerning International Business Reply Service Contract 1 Negotiated Service Agreement, Docket Nos. MC2009–14 and CP2009–14, February 5, 2009.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549–0213.

Extension:

Electronic Data Collection System; OMB Control No. 3235–0672; SEC File No. 270–621.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit an extension for this current collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for approval.