

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners:

Robert G. Taub, Acting Chairman;
Nanci E. Langley, Vice Chairman;
Mark Acton; and
Tony Hammond

Competitive Product Prices
Global Reseller Expedited Package Services 2
(MC2013-51)
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2016-92

ORDER APPROVING ADDITIONAL GLOBAL RESELLER EXPEDITED PACKAGE
SERVICES 2 NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

(Issued January 12, 2016)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Postal Service seeks to include an additional Global Reseller Expedited Package Services 2 (GREPS 2) agreement (Agreement) within the GREPS 2 product.¹ For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the Postal Service's request.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service of Filing a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 Negotiated Service Agreement, December 31, 2015 (Notice). The Postal Service refers to this product as "Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 (GREP 2)." Notice at 1. This product is referred to as Global Reseller Expedited Package Services 2 or GREPS 2 in the Mail Classification Schedule. Mail Classification Schedule (with revisions through November 2, 2015), <http://www.prc.gov/mail-classification-schedule>, at 521. Therefore, the Commission will refer to this product as GREPS 2 in this Order.

II. BACKGROUND

Agreements included within the GREPS 2 product offer discounted prices for Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International, and Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Package International Service to U.S.-based consolidators, freight forwarders, and large shipping companies. These companies in turn serve as resellers that market shipping services at discounted prices to their customers, especially small- and medium-sized businesses.

On December 31, 2015, in accordance with 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5, the Postal Service filed its Notice, along with supporting documents. In the Notice, the Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement for the GREPS 2 product and requests that the Agreement be added to the existing GREPS 2 product. Notice at 4. Among the supporting documents, the Postal Service included a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the GREPS 2 product, and the Agreement proposed to be added to the product. In addition, the Postal Service submitted an application for non-public treatment of materials requesting that portions of the Agreement, customer-identifying information, and related financial information remain under seal. *Id.*, Attachment 4. On January 4, 2016, the Postal Service filed a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a) and financial workpapers under seal.²

The Agreement is intended to take effect January 17, 2016. Notice at 3. The Agreement is to remain in effect for three calendar years from the effective date in accordance with the terms of Article 11. *Id.* Based on the intended effective date, the Agreement is set to expire on January 31, 2019, unless terminated sooner. *See id.*

In Order No. 2975, the Commission provided public notice of the Postal Service's filing; established the instant docket for consideration of the filing's consistency with

² Notice of the United States Postal Service of Filing Financial Workpapers and Certified Statement, January 4, 2016.

applicable statutory policies and Commission regulations; appointed a Public Representative; and provided an opportunity to comment.³

III. COMMENTS

The Public Representative filed comments on January 11, 2016.⁴ No other comments were received.

Based upon a review of the Postal Service's filing, including the information filed under seal with the Commission, the Public Representative concludes the instant contract should be added to the existing GREPS 2 product. PR Comments at 2. He states that the financial workpapers filed by the Postal Service indicate that the prices in the instant contract are sufficient to generate revenue that cover costs and satisfy 39 U.S.C. § 3633. *Id.*

IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

The Commission's responsibilities in this case are to ensure that the Agreement: (1) is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement established for the GREPS 2 product; and (2) satisfies the requirements of 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and applicable Commission rules (39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7).

Functional equivalence. In Order No. 1746, the Commission approved the addition of the GREPS 2 product to the competitive product list and designated an agreement as the baseline agreement for assessing the functional equivalence of agreements proposed for inclusion within the GREPS 2 product.⁵

³ Notice and Order Concerning Additional Global Reseller Expedited Package Contracts 2 Negotiated Service Agreement, January 4, 2016 (Order No. 2975).

⁴ Public Representative Comments on Postal Service Notice Concerning Filing of a Functionally Equivalent Global Reseller Expedited Package 2 Negotiated Service Agreement, January 11, 2016 (PR Comments).

⁵ See Docket Nos. MC2013-51 and CP2013-64, Order Adding Global Reseller Expedited Package Contracts 2 to the Competitive Product List Negotiated Service Agreement, June 13, 2013, at 8-10 (Order No. 1746).

The Postal Service asserts that its filing demonstrates that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement, and requests that the Agreement be included within the GREPS 2 product. Notice at 4. It asserts that the Agreement fits within the draft Mail Classification Schedule language for the GREPS 2 product. *Id.* at 3. The Postal Service also asserts that the Agreement and the baseline agreement possess similar cost and market characteristics and the same functional terms, but states that prices may differ. *Id.* at 4-5. The Postal Service identifies numerous differences between the Agreement and the baseline agreement, but asserts that these differences do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.⁶

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's reasons for asserting that the Agreement shares similar cost and market characteristics with the baseline agreement; meets the pricing formula and falls within the classification established in the Governors' Decision authorizing this product; and comports with 39 U.S.C. § 3633 and applicable Commission rules. It also has considered the Public Representative's comments. The Commission concludes that the Agreement is substantially similar to the baseline agreement and that the differences between them do not fundamentally alter either the service the Postal Service will provide under the Agreement or the structure of the Agreement. The Commission therefore finds that the Agreement may be included within the GREPS 2 product.

Cost considerations. The Commission reviews each competitive product to ensure that it covers its attributable costs, does not cause market dominant products to subsidize competitive products as a whole, and contributes to the Postal Service's institutional costs. 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a); 39 C.F.R. §§ 3015.5 and 3015.7. As long as the revenue generated by a product exceeds its attributable costs, the product is unlikely to reduce the contribution of competitive products as a whole or to adversely

⁶ Differences include, among others, revisions to existing articles, the renumbering of several articles, and the inclusion of new articles. *Id.* at 5-8.

affect the ability of competitive products as a whole to contribute an appropriate share of institutional costs. In other words, a product that covers its attributable costs is likely to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

The Commission has reviewed the Postal Service's filing, including supporting financial analyses provided under seal, and the Public Representative's comments. Based on this review, the Commission finds that the Agreement should cover its attributable costs. The addition of the Agreement to the GREPS 2 product will not cause cost coverage for the product to fall below 100 percent because, as the Commission found in the FY 2014 Annual Compliance Determination (ACD), the product as a whole covers its attributable costs.⁷ Consequently, the Commission finds that the addition of the Agreement to the GREPS 2 product should allow the product to continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(2). Because it finds that the addition of the Agreement to the GREPS 2 product should allow the product to cover its attributable costs, the Commission finds that the addition of the Agreement to the product should not result in competitive products as a whole being subsidized by market dominant products, in accordance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1). Similarly, it finds the addition of the Agreement to the GREPS 2 product is unlikely to prevent competitive products as a whole from contributing an appropriate share of institutional costs, consistent with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(3). Accordingly, a preliminary review of the Agreement indicates it is consistent with section 3633(a). The Commission will review the Agreement's cost coverage, the cost coverage of the GREPS 2 product, and the contribution of competitive products as a whole to the Postal Service's institutional costs in the ACD to ensure that they continue to comply with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a).

Other considerations. The intended effective date of the Agreement is January 17, 2016. Notice at 3. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission should there be a change in the effective date of the Agreement. The contract is set to expire

⁷ Docket No. ACR2014, Fiscal Year 2014 Annual Compliance Determination Report, March 27, 2015, at 76-77.

three years after the effective date, in accordance with the terms of Article 11. *Id.* Based on the intended effective date, the Agreement is set to expire on January 31, 2019, unless terminated sooner. *See id.* If the Agreement is terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date, the Postal Service shall promptly file notice of such termination with the Commission in this docket.

V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

It is ordered:

1. The Agreement filed in Docket No. CP2016-92 is included within the Global Reseller Expedited Package Contracts 2 (MC2013-51) product. The revision to the Mail Classification Schedule appears below the signature of this Order and is effective immediately.
2. The Postal Service shall promptly notify the Commission should there be a change in the effective date of the Agreement.
3. The Postal Service shall promptly file notice of the Agreement's termination with the Commission in this docket if the Agreement is terminated prior to the scheduled expiration date.

By the Commission.

Stacy L. Ruble
Secretary

CHANGES TO THE MAIL CLASSIFICATION SCHEDULE

The following material represents a change to the Mail Classification Schedule. The Commission uses two main conventions when making changes to the Mail Classification Schedule. New text is underlined. Deleted text is struck through.

Part B—Competitive Products

2500 Negotiated Service Agreements

2510 Outbound International

2510.7 Global Reseller Expedited Package Contracts

2510.7.6 Products Included in Group (Agreements)

Each product is followed by a list of agreements included within that product.

- Global Reseller Expedited Package Services 2

Baseline Reference

Docket Nos. MC2013-51 and CP2013-64

PRC Order No. 1746, June 13, 2013

Included Agreements

CP2016-92, expires January 31, 2019
