

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES
PARCEL SELECT & PARCEL RETURN SERVICE CONTRACT 5
(MC2014-1)
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2014-1

**NOTICE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF
CHANGE IN PRICES PURSUANT TO AMENDMENT TO
PARCEL SELECT AND PARCEL RETURN SERVICE CONTRACT 5**
(November 4, 2015)

The Postal Service hereby provides notice that prices under Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 5, in the above-captioned proceeding, have changed as contemplated by the contract's terms. A redacted version of the amendment to Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 5 is provided in Attachment A, and the unredacted amendment is being filed under seal. The amendment will become effective on the next weekday following the day that the Commission completes its review of this filing.

The supporting financial documentation and a certified statement, as required by 39 C.F.R. § 3015.5, are also included with this filing. The Postal Service's original application for non-public treatment in this docket is hereby incorporated by reference for the protection of these materials.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.

Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Elizabeth A. Reed

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20260-1137

(202) 268-3179, Fax -6187

elizabeth.a.reed@usps.gov

November 4, 2015

ATTACHMENT A
AMENDMENT TO PS-PRS CONTRACT 5

[REDACTED]

**AMENDMENT #2
OF
SHIPPING SERVICES CONTRACT
BETWEEN
THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
AND
[REDACTED]
REGARDING PARCEL SELECT SERVICE
AND PARCEL RETURN SERVICE**

This amendment amends the Shipping Services Contract dated October 15, 2013, regarding Parcel Select Service and Parcel Return Service, made by and between [REDACTED] (“Customer”) and the United States Postal Service (“the Postal Service” and such contract, the “Contract”). This Contract was reviewed by the Postal Regulatory Commission (the “Commission”) in its Docket No. CP2014-1 as Parcel Select and Parcel Return Service Contract 5, and became effective on October 30, 2013.

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to amend and restate Table 2 in Section I.I.3 of the Contract.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties agree that the Contract is hereby amended as detailed below. The existing Contract remains unchanged in all other respects. This amendment shall become effective on the next weekday (excluding federal holidays and days on which the Postal Service Headquarters is administratively closed) following the day on which the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval.

Table 2 in Section I.I.3 of the Contract is hereby amended and restated, as follows:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this amendment to be duly executed on the respective dates below:

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Signed by: ^{DocuSigned by:} Cliff Rucker
24469E9DA0B24B6

Printed Name: Cliff Rucker

Title: VP sales

Date: October 20, 2015

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

ATTACHMENT B
FINANCIAL CERTIFICATION

**Certification of Prices for Amendment to
Parcel Select/Parcel Return Service Contract 5**

I, Joseph G. Hurley, Acting Manager, Regulatory Reporting and Cost Analysis, Finance Department, am familiar with the prices and terms for the amendment to Parcel Select/Parcel Return Service Contract 5. The amended prices and terms contained in this Contract were established by the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Establishment of Prices and Classifications for Domestic Competitive Agreements, Inbound International Competitive Agreements, and Other Non-Published Competitive Rates (Governors' Decision No. 11-6).

I hereby certify, based on in the financial analysis provided herewith, that the amended prices are in compliance with 39 U.S.C § 3633 (a)(1), (2), and (3). They are expected to cover attributable costs. There should therefore be no subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products. The amended contract should not impair the ability of competitive products on the whole to cover an appropriate share of institutional costs.



Joseph G. Hurley