

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK
RATIONALIZATION SERVICE CHANGES, 2012

DOCKET No. N2012-1

**INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO
AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO INTERROGATORY,
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS MARTIN (APWU/USPS-T6-9)**

The United States Postal Service hereby provides an institutional response to the above-listed interrogatory of the American Postal Workers Union (APWU), AFL-CIO, dated February 23, 2012, redirected from Witness Martin (USPS-T-6). The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Matthew J. Connolly
Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-8582; Fax -5418
matthew.j.connolly@usps.gov
March 21, 2012

**INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO INTERROGATORY,
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS MARTIN**

APWU/USPS-T6-9. On February 23, 2012 it was reported that USPS has completed the AMP process at nearly all of the identified facilities. As a result, the USPS must now possess significantly more detailed information regarding cost savings estimates and the likely future network.

- a) What are the cost savings reported from the completed AMP process for each of the major parcel sub-categories for the plants planned to be closed?
- b) What are the cost increases projected for the remaining plants which will assume the processing of the mail volume, including the parcel sub-categories and the Priority and Express mail volumes?
- c) What are the planned changes to the CET and CT times for each class of mail, each parcel sub-category of mail, and for Priority and Express mail for the remaining plants in the system?
- d) How will those changes in the CET and CT times affect the planned service standards for the parcel sub-categories and Priority and Express mail for each of the remaining plants in the network? What percentage of volume in each parcel sub-category will be affected by the changes?
- e) What percentage of volume by each parcel sub-category, including Priority Mail and Express Mail, will experience a change in operating plan as a result of the AMP analyses completed? Specifically, what percentage of volume for each parcel sub-category will experience a change in processing locations based on current volume distributions?

RESPONSE:

- (a) The AMP process does not disaggregate cost savings for each major parcel sub-category for the plants reviewed.
- (b) The AMP process does not disaggregate cost increases for each major parcel sub-category and the Priority and Express mail volumes for the plants reviewed.
- (c) See response to APWU/USPS-T6-4. Also see response to APWU/USPS-T1-35.
- (d) See response to APWU/USPS-T6-4. Also see response to APWU/USPS-T1-34.
- (e) The Postal Service has estimated that for the Priority Mail volume

**INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO INTERROGATORY,
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS MARTIN**

RESPONSE TO APWU/USPS-T6-9 (CONT.):

processed within the plant network, approximately 22 percent is currently processed at a location approved as a consolidation opportunity.

Likewise, the Postal Service has estimated that for the Express Mail volume processed within the plant network, approximately 23 percent is currently processed at a location approved as a consolidation opportunity.