

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAIL PROCESSING NETWORK  
RATIONALIZATION SERVICE CHANGES, 2011

Docket No. N2012-1

REVISED RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
WITNESS SMITH TO GCA/USPS-T9-6 – ERRATA  
(March 16, 2012)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the revised response of witness Marc Smith to GCA/USPS-T9-6. The original response contained an incorrect reference for depreciation costs. The revised response corrects the reference. The revised response follows.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Pricing & Product Support

Nabeel R. Cheema

475 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-7178; Fax -5402  
March 16, 2012

REVISED RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS SMITH  
TO GREETING CARD ASSOCIATION INTERROGATORY

**GCA/USPS-T9-6**

On page 13 lines 8-11 of your testimony you state that DBCS “account for about one-third of these equipment related costs” ..... “\$119 million for depreciation” ..... “\$631 million for maintenance labor” .... “and \$58 million for parts and supplies.”

(a) What mail processing equipment other than DBCS is depreciated at \$793 million minus the \$119 million for DBCS? Please be specific, by type of equipment and depreciation cost for each type.

(b) What mail processing equipment other than DBCS has maintenance labor of \$1,371 million minus the \$631 million for DBCS? Please be specific, by type of equipment and maintenance labor cost for each type.

(c) What mail processing equipment other than DBCS has parts and supplies costs of \$194 million minus the \$58 million for DBCS? Please be specific, by type of equipment and parts and supplies cost for each type.

(d) What portion of the equipment that is not DBCS has been sold or discarded completely, and not put to any other use at the Postal Service?

(e) What portion of the equipment that is not DBCS is still in operation as of FY2010 mid-year, FY 2011 mid-year and FY 2012 mid-year (approximated)?

**RESPONSE:**

- a. See Docket No. ACR2010, USPS-LR-25, spreadsheet MPPGBY10PRC.xls, tab “I.”
- b.-c. See Docket No. ACR2010, USPS-LR-8, spreadsheet “fy10equip.xls,” tab “PAGE II-8.”
- d. I do not have this information.
- e. I do not have this information.