

Postal Regulatory Commission  
Office of the Chief Admin. Officer

NOV 14 2011

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268

RECEIVED

2011 NOV 14 P 3: 36

In the Matter of:

Jenkinjones USPS, NY 24848  
Post Office State ZIP Code

POSTAL REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
Docket No: A 2011-15

Mrs Mrs. Thomas Vineyard Jr., Petitioner(s)

PARTICIPANT STATEMENT

1. Petitioner(s) are appealing the Postal Service's Final Determination concerning the Jenkinjones post office. The Final Determination was posted Sept. 09, 2011. (date)

2. In accordance with applicable law, 39 U.S.C. § 404(d)(5), the Petitioner(s) request the Postal Regulatory Commission to review the Postal Service's determination on the basis of the record before the Postal Service in the making of the determination.

3. Petitioners: Please set out below the reasons why you believe the Postal Service's Final Determination should be reversed and returned to the Postal Service for further consideration. (See pages of the Instructions for an outline of the kinds of reasons the law requires us to consider.) Please be as specific as possible. Please continue on additional paper if you need more space and attach the additional page(s) to this form.

The facts relied on by the Postal Service have not been established due to the figures in the file. They state that the Postmaster was promoted in the file but really the office became vacant when the Postmaster retired and the dates aren't even correct. The 'Postal service' choose to use a PMR to run the office instead of posting the job and getting a Postmaster so the office could be filled with a permanent Postmaster. Which furthermore

saved them probably \$20,000.00 or more a year. Another fact the post office claims there is a loss in revenue for the office, we the customers do not believe this to be so but it is hard to prove due to the fact they do not share this information with us. We feel that the financial parts claimed by the Post Office are not a clear picture and should be looked at more closely, such as the salary and the expected cost of it being turned into a rowe. They also state about 'Frindged Benefits' if a PMR is a part-time non salried employee how are they intitled to benefits?!? We really think someone needs to investigate this.