

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RETAIL ACCESS OPTIMIZATION INITIATIVE, 2011

Docket No. N2011-1

**RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS GRANHOLM
TO QUESTION POSED BY CHAIRMAN GOLDWAY
DURING CROSS-EXAMINATION ON SEPTEMBER 8, 2011
(Tr. Vol. 1, Page 613, Line 21)**

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness Dean Granholm to a question posed by Chairman Goldway during the evidentiary hearing on September 8, 2011. The question is paraphrased and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Anthony F. Alverno, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Global Business

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2998; Fax -5402
September 19, 2011

**RESPONSE OF WITNESS GRANHOLM TO QUESTION
POSED DURING CROSS-EXAMINATION**

Tr. Vol. 1, Page 613, Line 21:

CHAIRMAN GOLDWAY: Could you send us the list then of the . . . offices that you have identified as the archaic, the ones that you have already gone through the process, and the ones that you intend to, so that we have that record for our books?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I think we can to give you an update on suspended offices. We did include, I believe, 19 suspended offices into this process that were recently suspended, as well as the 101 process now has set criteria for an immediate review of suspended offices. So we don't have the situation that we have had in the past, with suspended offices staying on a suspension list for years.

RESPONSE

See the attached spreadsheet which contains the "archaic" suspension list.

On that spreadsheet:

Proposal Date = Date of posting of discontinuance notice

Final Date = Date of posting of final decision

Postal Bulletin Date = Date of closure published in *Postal Bulletin*.