

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES  
PARCEL SELECT  
PARCEL SELECT CONTRACT 1

Docket No. MC2011-16

COMPETITIVE PRODUCT PRICES  
PARCEL SELECT CONTRACT 1 (MC2011-16)  
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENT

Docket No. CP2011-53

**CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH 39 U.S.C. § 3633(A)(1) AND (3) IN  
SUPPORT OF REQUEST OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
TO ADD PARCEL SELECT CONTRACT 1  
TO COMPETITIVE PRODUCT LIST  
(December 29, 2010)**

Pursuant to Commission Order No. 627, the Postal Service hereby provides Attachment E to the Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Select Contract 1 to Competitive Product List, which is the certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. § 3633(a)(1) and (3).<sup>1</sup>

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Brandy Osimokun

---

<sup>1</sup> *Id.* § 3015.5(c)(2).

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-2982, Fax -6187  
[Brandy.A.Osimokun@usps.gov](mailto:Brandy.A.Osimokun@usps.gov)  
December 29, 2010

### **Certification of Prices for Parcel Select Contract 1**

I, Joseph D. Moeller, Manager, Regulatory Reporting and Cost Analysis, Finance Department, am familiar with the prices and terms for Parcel Select Contract 1. The prices and terms contained in this Contract were established by the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on Establishment of Rate and Class Not of General Applicability for Parcel Select Service, dated December 16, 2010 (Governors' Decision No. 10-8).

I hereby certify, based on the financial analysis provided herewith, that the prices are in compliance with 39 U.S.C § 3633 (a)(1), (2), and (3). They are expected to cover attributable costs. There should therefore be no subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products. The contract should not impair the ability of competitive products on the whole to cover an appropriate share of institutional costs.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph D. Moeller