

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

EXIGENT REQUEST, 2010

Docket No. R2010-4

**STATEMENT OF JAMES M. KIEFER  
ON BEHALF OF  
THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE**

**JULY 6, 2010**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1

2 **I. Introduction.....3**

3 **II. Overview.....4**

4 **III. Review of Pricing and Classification Changes by Class..... 12**

5 **A. First-Class Mail..... 12**

6 **B. Standard Mail.....28**

7 **C. Periodicals .....38**

8 **D. Package Services..... 42**

9 **E. Special Services.....50**

10 **IV. Objectives and Factors—Rule 3010.14(b)(7) .....53**

11 **A. First-Class Mail.....58**

12 **B. Standard Mail.....60**

13 **C. Periodicals .....62**

14 **D. Package Services.....63**

15 **E. Special Services.....64**

16 **V. Preferred Mail—Rule 3010.14(b)(8).....65**

17 **VI. Conclusion .....67**

18 **APPENDIX A .....70**

19 **APPENDIX B .....3**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

**I. Introduction**

My name is James M. Kiefer. I am a Pricing Economist for the U.S. Postal Service. I have worked for the Postal Service in Pricing since 1998, mostly on Package Services and Standard Mail.

I have testified on pricing and pricing policy issues before the Postal Regulatory Commission (formerly Postal Rate Commission) in Docket Nos. MC99-1, MC99-2, R2000-1, R2001-1, MC2002-1, MC2003-2, R2005-1 and R2006-1.

The purpose of my statement in this docket is to sponsor the Postal Service’s prices in this docket and to explain the policy reasons for the pricing decisions that are incorporated in those prices. I also explain how these prices are reasonable and equitable in light of the circumstances that the Postal Service and its customers find themselves in.

The first part of my statement presents an overview of the Postal Service’s pricing on a class and product basis. I then follow with a more detailed discussion of the pricing in each class, focusing on pertinent issues such as: pricing initiatives, statutory requirements regarding nonprofit and workshare prices,<sup>1</sup> issues raised by the Commission in its 2009 Annual Compliance Determination, and other policy issues that pertain to that class.

As with any price change request, the pricing factors and objectives guide postal pricing decisions. A discussion of how these prices promote the statutory objectives and take into account the statutory factors is included in Section IV.

---

<sup>1</sup> All citations of discounts or passthroughs in this statement can be found in Appendix B.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

**II. Overview**

As described in the statement of Chief Financial Officer Joseph Corbett, the Postal Service is facing extraordinary and exceptional circumstances that require a price increase under the provisions of section 3622(d)(1)(E)—the exigent price change provision of the Postal Act of 2006.

In summary:

- The U.S. economy has recently experienced the worst recession since the Great Depression of the 1930s. The recession and current economic conditions have seriously affected the mailing industry, and some large-volume segments of our customer base have been hit particularly hard.
- The effect on the Postal Service has been a reduction in mail volumes of over 20 percent since the beginning of FY 2007. To put this volume reduction in perspective, it is significantly greater than the volume declines following the September 11 and anthrax terrorist attacks, and far exceeds any other volume declines in recent postal history.
- In addition to these deep cyclical drops, certain important postal customer segments have been affected by factors unique to their particular industries (for example, banking and finance, retail sales and advertising).

- 1           • These additional factors have amplified mail volume decreases  
2 brought about by the economic downturn, particularly for First-  
3 Class Mail, catalogs, Periodicals, advertising and direct mail.  
4           • The volume drops have hit not only at the periphery but at the  
5 Postal Service’s core businesses. First-Class Mail and Standard  
6 Mail, both high-volume, high-contribution classes, have been  
7 severely hurt by the volume decline.

8  
9           As described in the statement of Joseph Corbett, the Postal Service has  
10 developed an Action Plan to address the financial problems confronting the  
11 Postal Service. The Plan includes efforts across a broad front (legislative,  
12 operational and pricing). My focus in this statement will be on the Postal  
13 Service’s use of pricing as one component of the solution to our financial  
14 difficulties.<sup>2</sup> I will also describe some product innovations that are designed to  
15 retain and grow mail volume.<sup>3</sup>

16  
17 *Pricing is Neither the Only Solution Nor a Quick Fix Solution*

18           In Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 the Postal Service expects to have a financial  
19 deficit of \$7.0 billion, absent any price changes.<sup>4</sup> Some parties have observed  
20 that it would require the Postal Service to raise prices by an average of 20  
21 percent if it were to attempt to close this entire gap by the end of FY 2011 using

---

<sup>2</sup> Other components include legislative action to revise the requirements for funding retiree health benefits and maintaining six-day delivery, as well as efficiency measures. The Plan is outlined in greater detail in the statement of Mr. Corbett.

<sup>3</sup> These include the Reply Rides Free initiative in First-Class Mail and growth incentives for Standard Mail High Density and Saturation letters and flats. See the individual class discussions, below, and Appendix A for more detailed descriptions of these initiatives.

<sup>4</sup> Statement of Mr. Masse, Table 3.

1 price increases alone.<sup>5</sup> An across-the-board price increase of this size would be  
2 devastating to a great many of our customers and could further weaken the  
3 already fragile mailing industry. In addition, a price increase alone does not  
4 address the structural burdens associated with prefunding retiree health benefits  
5 and mandated six-day-per-week delivery in an environment of declining mail  
6 volume. Pricing changes can only be one part of the solution to resolving the  
7 Postal Service's financial difficulties.

8 In developing the Postal Service's price proposal, we recognized that a  
9 long-term approach was the most reasonable option to address the current  
10 financial situation. As urgent as the Postal Service's financial needs are,  
11 increases that are too high could threaten the financial health and, possibly, even  
12 the survival of key customer segments and industries.

13 For this reason we are proposing a moderate price increase of about 5  
14 percent for products that, in FY 2009, covered their costs. Clearly, over the long  
15 run, any organization cannot afford to continually sell products at a loss.  
16 Therefore, prices for products that failed to cover their costs in FY 2009 are  
17 generally increased by a greater amount to move toward full cost coverage.

18 We are concerned about the potential effect of higher increases on our  
19 customers. So, in developing our proposed pricing for products that were not  
20 covering their costs, we balanced the reality that many customers of these  
21 products are in market segments that have been harmed by the recent  
22 recession, with the equally pressing need to address the cost coverage issues.

---

<sup>5</sup> See, for example, the comments of the Public Representative in Docket No. ACR2009, at 26.

1           We cannot afford to be short-sighted and take actions that will “fix” a  
2 coverage problem by permanently driving mail—mail that we believe will become  
3 profitable as the Postal Service and the mailing community adjust to operational  
4 and marketplace realities—or mail that is valued in the mailbox—out of the  
5 system. The only way the Postal Service will be able to price mail so that it  
6 makes a contribution is if it remains in the mail stream.

7           In response to the concerns expressed by the Commission in the 2009  
8 Annual Compliance Determination (ACD)—concerns that are also shared by the  
9 Postal Service—we are addressing each class and product that failed to cover its  
10 attributable costs in FY 2009. In some cases, the price changes proposed in this  
11 filing are sufficient to resolve the cost coverage issue. In others, operational  
12 programs designed to improve efficiency will also help to move the  
13 noncompensatory products and classes toward profitability. The annual price  
14 change schedule will also provide for further opportunities to gradually move to  
15 full coverage. More detailed information on these plans to address the cost  
16 coverage issues is available in the class-by-class discussions later in this  
17 statement.

18           In addressing cost coverage issues, we realize that at least some of the  
19 financial problems faced by noncompensatory products are attributable to recent  
20 economic conditions and so they need not be resolved entirely through price  
21 increases. Nevertheless, price adjustments are key components of the recovery  
22 plans for these products. Along with the price adjustments in this filing, expected  
23 efficiency improvements and improving economic conditions are expected to

1 have a positive effect relatively soon. Indeed, the Postal Service’s financial  
2 projections indicate that of the 14 market-dominant products that failed to cover  
3 their attributable costs in FY 2009, only four domestic products will fail to cover  
4 their attributable costs in 2011, assuming an annualized effect of the price  
5 change.<sup>6</sup> The reasons why the Postal Service is not requesting even higher  
6 prices for these four products are discussed in their respective class discussions,  
7 below.

8  
9 *Price Adjustments by Class*

10 This exigent price request is not structured as an across-the-board  
11 increase similar to the increase proposed in Docket No. R2005-1. In that docket,  
12 only one factor drove the price increase and that factor did not affect mail classes  
13 or customers differently. Moreover, customers were not as affected by non-  
14 postal factors (such as the economy as well as electronic diversion of mail) as  
15 much as they are today, and the Postal Service itself was not seeking an  
16 increase due to the impacts of economic and other trends but, rather, solely to  
17 cover the costs attributable to the then-required “escrow” fund.

18 In developing these prices, a wide range of considerations were  
19 evaluated. These include: the adverse impacts of prices on the demand for mail,  
20 particularly for vulnerable customer segments; the tradeoff between market  
21 impacts and postal finances; the need to reposition products or categories to  
22 meet future demand; promoting operational efficiency; restoring products or  
23 categories to full compensatory status; and meeting regulatory requirements.

---

<sup>6</sup> These are Outside County Periodicals, Within County Periodicals, Media Mail (including Library Mail), and Standard Mail Flats.

1           Therefore, the Postal Service has determined that the following price  
 2 changes for each mail class balance the many considerations that affect pricing  
 3 decisions, and the proposed price changes are reasonable and equitable among  
 4 the users of market dominant products, while allowing the Postal Service to make  
 5 progress towards long-run financial stability.

6  
 7                                   Table 1: Price Adjustments by Mail Class (Percent)  
 8

Class	Available CPI Cap <sup>7</sup>	Unused Authority	Exigent Adjustment	Total Price Adjustment <sup>8</sup>
First-Class Mail	0.578	0.044	4.811	5.433
Standard Mail	0.578	0.103	4.935	5.616
Periodicals	0.578	0.015	7.442	8.035
Package Services	0.578	0.025	6.097	6.700
Special Services	0.578	0.137	4.538	5.253

9  
 10  
 11           The following price changes by product are proposed and will be described in  
 12 more detail below.<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>7</sup> Because it has been more than 12 months since the last price adjustment filing, the Commission’s rule 3010.26(c) for calculating the price cap applies. See Attachment B to the Request. The Available CPI Cap figure includes all unused CPI-based price change authority that has accrued since the Docket No. R2009-2 filing.

<sup>8</sup> For ease of discussion and because it is the total price increase and not just the exigent or any other component that affects customers, references to price changes or adjustments from this point forward will refer to the total changes by product or category.

<sup>9</sup> The percentage changes by class and product follow the Commission’s methodology for calculating price changes using a fixed-weight index with historical billing determinants as weights.

1  
2  
3

Table 2: Price Changes by Mail Class and Product

Class / Product	Price Change (percent)
First-Class Mail	5.433
Single-Piece Letters / Postcards	4.652
Presorted Letters / Postcards	5.927
Flats	6.256
Parcels	5.415
Single-Piece First-Class Mail International	4.973
Standard Mail	5.616
Letters	5.011
Flats	5.134
Not Flat-Machinables / Parcels	23.222
High Density and Saturation Letters	4.806
High Density and Saturation Flats / Parcels	4.356
Carrier Route	4.919
Periodicals	8.035
Outside County	8.036
Within County	8.004
Package Services	6.700
Single-Piece Parcel Post	7.029
Bound Printed Matter Flats	5.040
Bound Printed Matter Parcels	7.024
Media Mail / Library Mail	7.010
Special Services	5.253

4  
5

6           Together, these changes produce an overall increase of 5.6 percent for all  
7 market dominant products. Section III provides a more detailed discussion of  
8 these pricing changes. The complete set of pricing and classification changes  
9 requested in this docket are presented in Attachment A to the Request.

1           The Postal Service is proposing two initiatives, in conjunction with these  
2 price adjustments, as part of its comprehensive efforts to grow, retain and regain  
3 mail volume. In addition, there are a few minor classification adjustments that  
4 reflect the evolution of the product line (such as the repositioning of its Standard  
5 Mail Non Flat-Machinables (NFM) and Parcels product) to better address market  
6 conditions, or necessary adjustments to conform the Mail Classification Schedule  
7 (MCS) to current operational realities. Classification changes are discussed in  
8 detail in each of the class sections below.

9           By statute, the exigent price change mechanism applies only to market  
10 dominant products. The rules for competitive products are different and different  
11 regulatory requirements apply. The Postal Service intends to file increased  
12 prices for its competitive products later this year with these changes to take effect  
13 on January 2, 2011 when the market dominant price changes proposed in this  
14 request are implemented. The exact sizes of the price adjustments for the  
15 various competitive products have not been finalized or approved by the  
16 Governors as of the filing of this request.

17           For purposes of developing prices for this request, we have used planning  
18 assumptions concerning the prices for certain competitive products that will take  
19 effect in January 2011. These planning assumptions should not be taken as  
20 having the weight or significance of price change announcements under the  
21 Commission's rule 3015.2. Actual competitive product price changes may differ  
22 somewhat from these planning assumptions.

1 **III. Review of Pricing and Classification Changes by Class**

2

3 **A. First-Class Mail**

4

5 First-Class Mail prices increase 5.4 percent on average in this price

6 adjustment. The first-ounce price for a single-piece letter—the stamp price—will

7 increase from 44 to 46 cents, with the price of single-piece additional ounces

8 increasing to 18 cents.<sup>10</sup> The following table summarizes the changes in First-

9 Class Mail prices.

10

11

Table 3: First-Class Mail Product Price Adjustments

Product	Percent Change
Single-Piece Letters / Postcards	4.7
Presort Letters / Postcards	5.9
Flats	6.2
Parcels	5.4
Single-Piece First-Class Mail International	5.0

12

13

14 *First-Class Mail Pricing Overview*

15

16 First-Class Mail, once the largest mail class by volume, is in the middle of

17 a long-run volume decline. It is unlikely that the volume decline will level off or

18 that volume growth will resume.

19 The effect of First-Class Mail volume declines on contribution cannot be

20 overemphasized. In FY 2009, First-Class Mail provided 78 percent of the total

21 contribution by market dominant products toward the Postal Service's institutional

22 costs. Of this, single piece First-Class Mail letters accounted for 24 percent and

---

<sup>10</sup> For First-Class Mail parcels the additional ounce price will remain at 17 cents.

1 presort First-Class Mail letters for 45 percent of total market dominant  
2 contribution. A loss of presort First-Class Mail volume, or even a relatively minor  
3 portion of it, would be devastating to the Postal Service's financial health.

4 The prospect of losing large volumes of presort First-Class Mail has  
5 elevated the importance of pricing decisions aimed at preventing potential  
6 volume loss. The decline in presort volumes is not just the continuation of a slow  
7 generalized move toward electronic communications alternatives but is driven by  
8 factors at work in the marketplace. These factors may potentially be addressed,  
9 at least in part, by pricing.<sup>11</sup>

10 The importance of First-Class Mail in the Postal Service's financial results  
11 means that the Postal Service cannot afford to drive mail out of the system by  
12 increasing prices too much. Large customers affect large volumes of mail, and if  
13 prices increase too much, these customers may decide not just to scale back  
14 their mailings, but to leave the mail altogether. Current econometric estimates of  
15 price elasticity may not adequately predict such "tipping point" movements.  
16 Deciding the appropriate prices for First-Class Mail is not just a regulatory  
17 exercise, it is a business decision based on an evaluation of what will be in the  
18 best long term interests of the Postal Service.

19 Pricing First-Class Mail therefore involves balancing three important  
20 considerations: 1) the impact of the price change on customers and the volumes

---

<sup>11</sup> For example, First-Class Mail that is sent to households and contains only advertising declined 37.4 percent from 2002 to 2009. Included in this loss of advertising mail was a 58.2 percent decrease in advertisements from financial institutions. This decline was associated in large part with an 85 percent reduction in advertising from credit card companies. First-Class Mail advertisements from merchants declined 37.1 percent.

1 they choose to mail; 2) the need for additional revenue and contribution to  
2 replace what was lost by the volume decline; and 3) the extent to which mail  
3 volumes will continue to decline, regardless of pricing actions taken by the Postal  
4 Service.

5 Taking these and other factors into consideration, we have determined  
6 that a postage increase of 5.433 percent balances concerns about encouraging  
7 First-Class Mail customers to continue to use these products over the long run  
8 and gaining increased revenue and contribution from First-Class Mail in the short  
9 run.

10  
11 *Single-piece First-Class Mail*

12  
13 The single-piece, first-ounce price, commonly known as the stamp price,  
14 is the most visible price offered by the Postal Service. It is also the single most  
15 important price in terms of revenue generated. As always, the choice of the  
16 stamp price reflects a careful balance of public policy considerations against the  
17 need for revenue to operate the Postal Service. Yet, despite the attention it  
18 draws, both in the public and regulatory arenas, the typical household is little  
19 affected by changes in the stamp price. A change of two cents in the stamp price  
20 amounts to only about 41 cents per month for the typical household which pays  
21 about \$6.89 per month for First-Class Mail sent.<sup>12</sup>

22 In addition, since 2007, the Postal Service has offered the Forever Stamp,  
23 which allows single piece First-Class Mail customers to minimize the potential

---

<sup>12</sup> Based on calculations from the 2009 Household Diary Study and estimates of 2010 First-Class Mail average revenue.

1 inconveniences of stamp price changes. We will continue and expand the  
2 Forever Stamp program, and will offer new designs (including a holiday issue).

3       Because the actual expenditure on postage by the typical single-piece  
4 First-Class Mail customer is small, and because the additional expenditure  
5 caused by increases in the stamp price are even smaller and can be effectively  
6 mitigated through the Forever Stamp program, the Postal Service does not  
7 believe that the erosion of single-piece mail through electronic diversion can be  
8 materially affected by limiting the growth of the stamp price.

9       Factors other than the stamp price itself are the driving forces leading to  
10 electronic diversion. One is a matter of simple convenience. Many customers—  
11 especially those in the younger generations—are more familiar with, more adept  
12 at, and more comfortable with the broad range of electronic communications  
13 tools. To them, electronic mail and electronic bill presentment and payment are  
14 simply easier and more convenient—and, what may seem counterintuitive to the  
15 older generations—more “natural” than mailing a letter.<sup>13</sup>

16       Another powerful factor driving the diversion of hard copy to electronic  
17 communications is the incentives offered by large companies for switching to  
18 electronic statements and billing (these “incentives” sometimes are presented as  
19 an additional fee for receiving paper statements). In this case, the driving force  
20 behind the erosion of single piece mail originates, not with the individual single

---

<sup>13</sup> Some businesses, keen to tap into the “mobile” market are envisioning a day when, for example, “instant bank statements” will end the 30 day statement paradigm that is a key element of hard-copy mail. Source Boston Consulting Group, “Projecting U.S. Mail Volumes to 2020,” p13.

1 piece mail customer, but with the large business mailer that does not itself use  
2 single-piece mail to send its bills and statements.<sup>14</sup>

3 As long as these forces are in play, efforts to hold down the stamp price to  
4 “protect” the single piece customer will be unlikely to spur usage of single piece  
5 mail among users, for whom convenience trumps the small impact of the postage  
6 savings. Perversely, because both the stamp price *and* presort prices are such  
7 important revenue sources, efforts to hold down the stamp price would effectively  
8 require the Postal Service to push up presort prices simply to generate the  
9 needed revenue, thereby adding fuel to the diversion fire being led by businesses  
10 who use presort-priced mail.

11  
12 *Presorted Letters / Postcards*

13  
14 Automation Letters, the primary component of the Presorted Letters /  
15 Postcards product, is the most profitable (highest contribution) offering of the  
16 Postal Service. It is also at great risk of electronic diversion.

17 The Commission, in the 2009 ACD, as well as in previous  
18 recommendations and decisions, continues to apply a methodology that, if  
19 inflexibly followed, would reduce pricing of this key category to a largely  
20 mechanical exercise.<sup>15</sup> If the currently-accepted workshare methodology were

---

<sup>14</sup> Many statement mailers are eyeing the success of the airline industry in imposing fees for services (such as surcharges for luggage or for purchasing tickets by phone) that have always been seen as included in the ticket price. Many businesses would consider fees for non-electronic bill and statement presentation if consumer resistance does not prove too great an obstacle. Source: Boston Consulting Group, “Projecting U.S. Mail Volumes to 2020,” p. 13.

<sup>15</sup> In its 2009 ACD, the Commission instructed the Postal Service to follow the “accepted” methodology for evaluating workshare discounts. This methodology assumes that the price relationship between the First-Class Mail single-piece price and MAADC presorted letters price is a workshare discount. The Postal Service has expressed its disagreement with this interpretation.

1 followed blindly, once the Postal Service had determined the price for the single-  
2 piece stamp, the cost avoidance estimates (based on data from FY 2009) would  
3 effectively drive all automation letter prices. This strict methodology does not  
4 leave any room for judgment based on market conditions, economic climate or  
5 other factors that must be considered when pricing a flagship product. The  
6 Postal Service is seriously concerned that price increases exceeding the overall  
7 average proposed in this request would cause many presort mailers to decide to  
8 abandon hard copy mail permanently and damage the core business supporting  
9 the Postal Service.

10 Presorted letters is the Postal Service's most profitable mail category with  
11 a 291 percent cost coverage in FY 2009. Not only is this mail highly profitable, it  
12 also provides the single largest source of contribution to institutional costs.  
13 Almost 45 percent of our Market Dominant contribution comes from Presorted  
14 letters. Not only is this mail highly profitable and extremely important financially,  
15 it is highly vulnerable. Our customers are increasingly looking to nonmail  
16 alternatives to transact business with their customers, with the result that many  
17 presort First-Class Mail customers may respond to large price increases, not by  
18 simply sending fewer pieces (the traditional elasticity effect), but by abandoning  
19 hard copy mail altogether. Many presort First-Class Mail customers control large  
20 volumes of mail. If they respond by leaving the mail, large volumes of mail will  
21 disappear, most likely permanently threatening the ability of the Postal Service to  
22 offer cost-effective universal service.

---

The issue is one of those at issue in the Commission rulemaking proceeding, Docket No. RM2009-3.

1           Traditional workshare theory suggests that increasing presort First-Class  
2 Mail prices would simply cause customers to re-evaluate their decision to  
3 perform worksharing activities. Essentially, the theory argues that if it costs less  
4 for a customer to presort mail than the postal discount, then they will do the  
5 sortation. Conversely, if it costs more to presort mail than the postal discount,  
6 then the customer will choose to tender unsorted mail to the Postal Service.  
7 While this argument may have been valid at the inception of the automation  
8 program, it ignores the realities of decisions that customers are making today.  
9 Much of today's presort mail is generated not by physically sorting mail pieces  
10 but by using presorted mailing lists to produce the resulting mail in presort order.

11           Customers pay prices, not "discounts" and decide whether to mail or not to  
12 mail based on the total cost of mailing, including the postage paid (not just the  
13 price differential between single-piece and presort mail) and the costs of  
14 producing that mail. While at some level the size of the discount affects  
15 workshare decisions, the overall postage price affects the decision to mail or not  
16 to mail. If this price goes up substantially (because discounts are reduced) the  
17 decision to mail at all may be reconsidered.

18           For example, if a customer's mailing budget is relatively fixed, when the  
19 price of postage goes up the customer does not simply mail the same number of  
20 pieces at an increased cost. Our biggest transactions customers now have other  
21 choices. In response to higher presort prices, mailers may redouble their efforts  
22 to sign up their customers to electronic bill presentment and payment. At some  
23 price, some customers may decide to leave hard copy mail entirely.

1           Such decisions are being pondered in businesses throughout the country.  
2   As much as we in the Postal Service believe that mail is a valuable and important  
3   component of conducting any business, we recognize that even loyal customers  
4   of the Postal Service may be forced into hard decisions. Hard copy mail has  
5   costs, over and above the price of postage that its electronic counterparts do not  
6   have. Businesses that switch from hard copy to electronic alternatives save on  
7   the materials, printing, and transportation costs that go into the mail piece.  
8   Those receiving hard copy remittances must pay the costs of processing those  
9   remittances. All of these costs form part of a calculus that, at some point, may  
10  reach a tipping point. When a company decides to leave the mail, the effect is  
11  not a gradual erosion of mail volume: when this mail disappears, it will not return.

12           This kind of price effect—where the decision at hand is whether to mail or  
13  to abandon mail as the primary communication tool with customers or potential  
14  customers—is a relatively recent phenomenon for many First-Class Mail presort  
15  mailers. Responding to it is a relatively recent challenge for the Postal Service  
16  and the Commission as well.

17           Fundamentally, single piece and presort First-Class Mail are different  
18  products with different cost characteristics *and* different market characteristics.<sup>16</sup>  
19  This understanding was part of the basis for the Postal Service’s decision to  
20  separate single piece and presort First-Class Mail into distinct MCS products, a

---

<sup>16</sup> Under the Postal Reorganization Act, the Commission stated that subclasses, as opposed to products, are characterized by having distinct cost and market characteristics. The Commission has not insisted in treating cost differences across subclasses as worksharing for application of the provisions of section 3622(e). Recent demand data supplied to the Commission support the view that single-piece and presort First-Class Mail do have different market characteristics.

1 decision that was approved by the Commission.<sup>17</sup> In light of this fact, the Postal  
2 Service needs the ability to price these two products without inflexible  
3 requirements on what the “passthrough” between them should be. Tying presort  
4 pricing rigidly to single piece pricing forces presort prices to increase at a rate  
5 that may be beyond the tipping point for many large presort mailers. If that were  
6 to occur, the Postal Service might soon find its basic business model, which  
7 relies so heavily on the contribution from presort First-Class Mail, has been  
8 fundamentally and permanently changed for the worse.

9 In the pending rulemaking docket (Docket No. RM2009-3), the  
10 Commission heard the Postal Service and many of its customers express  
11 concerns about the potentially disastrous consequences of inflexibly pricing  
12 presort First-Class Mail as simply a workshared variant of single piece mail. The  
13 Commission has not yet issued its Order in that docket. It seems sensible to the  
14 Postal Service not to take actions that might irreversibly damage one of the most  
15 valuable segments of its business particularly if those actions would be solely  
16 predicated on a controversial and unresolved finding. For this reason, we are  
17 proposing prices that (consistent with the Commission’s direction in the 2009  
18 ACD) move to narrow the gap between presort and single piece pricing, but that  
19 do not, we hope, pass the tipping points for many of our customers. We believe  
20 that the worksharing provisions of the law were not intended to force the Postal  
21 Service into potentially dangerous and self-destructive pricing decisions solely to  
22 meet a rigid regulatory requirement.

---

<sup>17</sup> See Order No. 43 in Docket No. RM2007-1.

1 *Flats and Parcels*

2

3 In this price change First-Class Mail Flats have a 6.2 percent proposed  
4 increase. For single piece flats we are retaining the pricing practice of setting the  
5 first-ounce flats price at twice the stamp price. This practice makes it easier and  
6 more convenient for our customers to provide correct postage for First-Class Mail  
7 flats.

8 The flats increase is somewhat higher than the average First-Class Mail  
9 price increase. One contributing factor is the adjustment of presorted flats prices  
10 to respond to an error discovered in the flats cost model in 2008.<sup>18</sup> Correcting  
11 the error caused the Postal Service and the Commission to realize that presort  
12 flats were underpriced compared to single piece flats. Since that time, the Postal  
13 Service has been raising the presort flats prices at above average rates to  
14 restore the appropriate pricing relationship. This price adjustment continues that  
15 practice.

16 First-Class Mail parcels are being given a moderate increase, close to the  
17 class average. There has recently been some erosion of First-Class Mail parcel  
18 volumes to Standard Mail, and the Postal Service expects that a modest increase  
19 for First-Class Mail parcels, in line with the overall average, will keep First-Class  
20 Mail parcels as an attractive shipping option.

21 The Postal Service has made two changes to the pricing design for First-  
22 Class Mail Parcels. First, with this price adjustment it is splitting single-piece  
23 parcels into two categories: retail and commercial. Single-piece parcels that are  
24 the residual of a presorted parcel mailing, and nonpresorted parcels for which the

---

<sup>18</sup> This error was addressed and repaired in Docket No. RM2008-2.

1 postage is paid by permit imprint, IBI meter, or PC Postage would be eligible for  
2 the commercial single-piece prices. All other single-piece parcels would pay  
3 retail prices. This change recognizes that the “commercial” price-eligible parcels  
4 avoid entry through the more costly retail channel.

5 In this price adjustment the Postal Service is also treating the first three  
6 ounces in each parcel pricing category as a single price cell. For each price  
7 category, parcels weighing from 0 to 3 ounces will all pay a single price. The  
8 additional ounce price would then apply after the first three ounces. This change  
9 will improve contribution from a segment of the First-Class Mail parcel category  
10 that has not been providing an adequate contribution.<sup>19</sup>

11  
12 *Classification Change*

13  
14 For First-Class Mail that is presorted (Letters/Postcards, Flats, and  
15 Parcels) and therefore subject to the Move Update Standards, the threshold  
16 below which the Move Update Assessment Charge applies is being increased  
17 from 70 to 75 percent. (This can also be expressed as a reduction in the  
18 tolerance from 30 percent to 25 percent.) This change is in line with the plans  
19 that the Postal Service announced in Docket No. R2010-1, Notice of Market  
20 Dominant Price Adjustment and Classification Changes, at 3-4.<sup>20</sup> The Postal  
21 Service believes that this increase in the threshold is conservative, yet needed to

---

<sup>19</sup> Lightweight parcels are often not able to be processed on machines since they tend to fly off the trays or other conveyors due to their low weight thus increasing processing costs.

<sup>20</sup> "Initially, the Postal Service will use a tolerance of 30 percent. We intend to reduce this tolerance as necessary to ensure that addresses quality improves (after providing the appropriate public notice)." Notice of Market Dominant Price Adjustment and Classification Changes at 3-4. The Postal Service's planned reduction in the tolerance was also noted in Order No. 148 at 4, and in 74 Fed. Reg. 55141 (Oct. 27, 2009).

1 further encourage mailers' use of Move Update processes. Additional public  
2 notice in the Federal Register and elsewhere is planned in the near future.

3  
4 *First-Class Mail International*

5  
6 Outbound Single-Piece First-Class Mail International receives an overall  
7 increase of 6.7 percent in this price adjustment. The need to address cost  
8 coverage deficiencies for lightweight letters has led to letters being given an  
9 above-average increase of 6.9 percent. Offsetting this to a slight extent is the  
10 effect of simplifying the card prices to Canada and Mexico from two prices to  
11 one. This brings down the overall price increases for international postcards to  
12 2.8 percent.

13 First-Class Mail International has one classification change: Israel will be  
14 moved from First-Class Mail International price group 8 to price group 5. This  
15 change aligns Israel with other industrialized countries that are paid the  
16 maximum settlement costs for delivering U.S. origin mail under the UPU Terminal  
17 Dues Target System.

18  
19 *Worksharing*

20  
21 As discussed in the previous section, the Commission has indicated that it  
22 considers the price differential between presorted letters and single-piece letters  
23 to be a workshare discount. In the 2009 ACD, the Commission determined that  
24 the passthrough of the cost avoidance between automation mixed AADC letters  
25 and Bulk Metered Mail (BMM) letters was 126 percent. In this price adjustment  
26 this passthrough is 120 percent, reflecting a price increase for automation mixed

1 AADC letters of 2.3 cents. As required by the Commission's rules, the Postal  
2 Service justifies this passthrough as needed to mitigate rate shock, consistent  
3 with Section 3622(e)(2)(B). As shown in Table 3, presorted letters and cards are  
4 being given a average 5.9 percent increase. This increase is well above the  
5 current increase in the CPI. Given the importance to the Postal Service of this  
6 category in terms of revenue and contribution, and its fragility, especially its  
7 vulnerability to electronic diversion as discussed above, the Postal Service  
8 believes that any larger increase would be too large at this time, as it would raise  
9 unacceptable risks of damage to the automation letters mail category. As  
10 described above, the Postal Service seeks to avoid adjusting prices in a way that  
11 may seriously damage customers.

12 In the 2009 ACD, the Commission also noted that the passthrough for  
13 automation AADC presorted letters stood at 110 percent. In this price  
14 adjustment, the passthrough is 120 percent. Although this represents an  
15 increase in the passthrough, the Postal Service believes that this discount is  
16 justified under section 3622(e)(2)(B), as necessary to mitigate rate shock.

17 Evaluating whether the relative passthroughs for mixed AADC and AADC  
18 letters meet the statutory requirements cannot be done in isolation. Both in this  
19 proposal and in the current prices analyzed in the Commission's 2009 ACD,  
20 there is not an ostensible "problem" with the discounts for either 3-digit or 5-digit  
21 presorted automation First-Class Mail. The passthroughs of avoided costs are  
22 less than or equal to the estimated costs avoided. A simplistic analysis would  
23 suggest that, because relatively little mail is sent using the MAADC and AADC

1 prices (11.7 percent of Automation Letters volume in FY 2009), the actual rate  
2 shock resulting from a full adjustment of the discounts would be minimal. This  
3 misses an important point. To fully adjust the mixed AADC price to exactly equal  
4 the avoided costs would increase that price by 3.2 cents (8.4 percent), and if the  
5 rigid workshare logic were followed, that increase must flow down the First-Class  
6 Mail presort ladder increasing AADC, 3-digit and 5-digit presorted First-Class  
7 Mail prices by 3.4, 3.4 and 3.0 cents, (9.4 percent, 9.5 percent and 9.0 percent)  
8 respectively assuming a 2 cent increase in the single-piece price. The Postal  
9 Service believes that price increases of that magnitude would truly qualify as rate  
10 shock and would substantially damage customers in this product. As described  
11 above, if prices for presort mail increase too quickly, major mailers may be  
12 pushed past a tipping point and decide to leave the mail for electronic  
13 communication alternatives. Therefore, even modest increases could potentially  
14 trigger rate shock. Given the large size and importance of the contribution from  
15 these categories of mail to support and maintain the Postal Service, it is not  
16 prudent to impose price increases of this magnitude at this time.

17       The passthrough of the presort cost avoidance for automation Area  
18 Distribution Center (ADC) flats exceeded 270 percent in the 2009 ACD. This  
19 passthrough is large because recent corrections made to the flats cost model led  
20 to significant reductions in the cost avoidances. To avoid excessive price  
21 increases for the various presort levels, the Postal Service allowed the  
22 passthroughs to exceed 100 percent in Docket No. R2009-2 (based on cost  
23 estimates from FY2008). The cost avoidances reported in the 2009 ACD fell

1 even further, leading to the 270 percent passthrough. The Postal Service does  
2 not intend to keep passthroughs at this high level indefinitely and has reduced  
3 the passthrough to 220 percent in this price adjustment, but it is concerned that  
4 reducing the discounts to achieve a 100 percent passthrough all at once would  
5 cause inordinate harm for these presort categories. Consequently, the Postal  
6 Service justifies the automation flats ADC presort passthrough under Section  
7 3622(e)(2)(B), as needed to mitigate rate shock. Similar to the situation  
8 described for automation letters, one potential consequence of reducing the ADC  
9 passthrough by such a large percentage would have been unacceptably large  
10 ripple effects on 3-digit and 5-digit presort tiers. To maintain these presort tiers at  
11 or below 100 percent of avoided costs (as they were in the 2009 ACD) would  
12 have required the Postal Service to give these categories increases that were  
13 higher than what the Postal Service believes they could have sustained without  
14 rate shock. Therefore, we mitigated those increases and justify the passthroughs  
15 that resulted as necessary to mitigate rate shock under Section 3622(e)(2)(B).<sup>21</sup>  
16 In recognition of the fact that the high passthroughs are the result of a correction  
17 in the cost estimates, the Postal Service intends to reduce these passthroughs  
18 over time as conditions allow.

19

## 20 *Growth Initiatives*

21

22

23 To help retain transaction volume in the mail, the Postal Service is  
24 proposing an incentive program entitled “Reply Rides Free.” Under this incentive  
program, qualified customers would not have to pay postage on the weight of a

---

<sup>21</sup> Even after mitigation 3-digit and 5-digit automation flats receive increases of 16.1 percent and 16.3 percent, respectively.

1 typical reply envelope or card included in outgoing presorted letter mail. This  
2 incentive would be implemented by allowing an envelope containing a reply  
3 envelope or card to weigh up to 1.2 ounces and pay the 1 ounce price.<sup>22</sup> When  
4 not charged postage for the weight of the reply envelope, mailers can include  
5 other material<sup>23</sup> such as advertising which will increase the return from an  
6 individual mail piece to First-Class Mail mailers. That, in turn, may help to keep  
7 outbound transactions pieces in the mail. In addition, by removing the “cost” of  
8 mailing reply envelopes or cards, the Reply Rides Free program also encourages  
9 the convenience of using single-piece First-Class Mail for reply purposes and  
10 may slow electronic diversion of remittances or other responses.

11 This program may also encourage mail volume growth in a more subtle  
12 manner. There is no requirement that the reply envelope or card be associated  
13 with the primary content of the outgoing mail piece. For example, the reply  
14 envelope or card enclosed in a bill does not need to be designed for payment of  
15 that bill. In fact, some customers may find that a reply envelope or card  
16 associated with the additional advertising material, not the bill, may be more  
17 valuable. This would encourage mail recipients who may have already decided  
18 to use electronic payment options (the check won't be in the mail under any  
19 circumstances) to use the mail to respond to the newly-enclosed offer, thus  
20 generating mail that would not have otherwise been sent.

---

<sup>22</sup> The additional 0.2 ounce is the weight of a typical reply envelope.

<sup>23</sup> The First-Class Mail workpapers report the expected revenue impacts of this program as a separate line item, but owing to the non-prospective nature of the Commission's methodology for calculating price changes using historic billing determinants, these revenues are not directly incorporated into the price change calculation.

1 The Reply Rides Free program would require mailers to participate the  
 2 Full-Service Intelligent Mail® barcode program, maintain a specified threshold  
 3 volume, and show volume growth above their recent trend volumes. The Postal  
 4 Service would perform random verifications to ensure compliance with enclosure  
 5 requirements. Additional details on the Reply Rides Free program are contained  
 6 in Appendix A.

7  
 8

**B. Standard Mail**

9  
 10

The Postal Service is proposing price changes totaling 5.6 percent for  
 11 Standard Mail in this price adjustment. The changes by product are shown in the  
 12 following table.

13  
 14  
 15

Table 4: Standard Mail Product Price Adjustments

Product	Percent Change
Letters	5.0
Flats	5.1
Parcels	23.2
High Density and Saturation Letters	4.8
High Density and Saturation Flats / Parcels	4.4
Carrier Route	4.9

16  
 17

18 *Standard Mail Pricing Overview*

19  
 20

Standard Mail volume has suffered in recent years mainly due to the  
 21 difficult economic times. Particularly hard hit is the Flats product, which recently  
 22 experienced volume declines exceeding 20 percent, driven by reductions in  
 23 catalog mailings. These volume declines have helped to drive the Flats cost

1 coverage down to only 82 percent. The Not Flat-Machinables / Parcels product  
2 is also losing money, and has probably not covered its costs for a long time, if  
3 ever.<sup>24</sup>

4 Improving economic conditions should help the revenue and contribution  
5 picture for Standard Mail as a whole. With this in mind, Postal Service  
6 management has decided that increases averaging approximately 4.9 percent  
7 represent a reasonable and equitable pricing approach for the Standard Mail  
8 products that are currently covering their attributable costs. This is in line with  
9 the level of increases being requested for First-Class Mail and does not place an  
10 undue burden for resolving the Postal Service's financial difficulties either on  
11 Standard Mail or on First-Class Mail.

12 Two Standard Mail products failed to cover their attributable costs in  
13 FY2009—Standard Mail Flats and Standard Mail NFM's / Parcels. As can be  
14 seen in the table above, we are proposing a different pricing approach for each of  
15 these products.

16 For Standard Mail Flats, a cautious approach is warranted because the  
17 catalog industry, which depends heavily on Standard Mail Flats, is in a delicate  
18 financial position. Economic activity, particularly retail spending, helps drive  
19 catalog volume. Past postage price increases combined with weak retail sales  
20 driven by the current economy have placed both cost and market pressures on  
21 catalog mailers, resulting in a severe contraction in their business. Over the long  
22 run, the Postal Service sees the catalog industry as a growth segment in its  
23 business. Catalog shopping is convenient and the internet provides customers

---

<sup>24</sup> CRA level cost data for Standard Mail NFM's/parcels have only been available since FY 2008.

1 with an efficient way to order merchandise from a catalog.<sup>25</sup> A turnaround in  
2 economic conditions should help this ailing industry to grow once again;  
3 however, increasing postage prices too much at this vulnerable point could force  
4 catalog mailers to cut their customer lists. Once customers are lost, they are  
5 much more costly to reacquire.<sup>26</sup>

6 To fully close the coverage gap, Standard Mail Flats prices would need to  
7 increase by 16 percent. Because postage accounts for approximately half the  
8 cost of mailing a catalog, a postal price increase of this magnitude would put  
9 serious additional pressures on catalog mailers, thereby reducing postal volumes  
10 even further than they have already fallen.

11 Clearly, we cannot continue to price Standard Mail Flats below costs for  
12 an extended period of time; however, it is prudent to take a judicious step in this  
13 price increase and to move gradually towards the goal of full cost coverage.  
14 Consequently, the Postal Service is proposing that the Flats product be given a  
15 5.1 percent increase in this price adjustment. The Postal Service may have to  
16 adjust Standard Mail Flats prices at above average rates at some point, but now  
17 is not the time.

18 For Standard Mail NFM's and parcels, we are continuing the pricing  
19 approach that dates at least as far back as Docket No. R2006-1. Under that  
20 approach, Standard Mail parcel prices increase at above average rates to move  
21 them closer to covering their costs, but also to bring their prices more closely in

---

<sup>25</sup> Research from Comscore shows that twice as many online purchases are made by catalog recipients, 28 percent more money is spent online by catalog recipients, and websites supported by catalogs have a 163 percent lift in revenue compared to non-supported websites.

<sup>26</sup> Customers have told the Postal Service that it costs 6 times as much to acquire a new catalog customer as it does to retain an existing one.

1 line with the parcel product offerings of our competitors. All parcel-shaped  
2 pieces face competition in the market place regardless of how they are classified  
3 in the MCS. Shortly, the Postal Service will be proposing to transfer Standard  
4 Mail parcels to the competitive category to reflect the realities of market place  
5 competition. Consequently, the proposed prices are designed to ensure that this  
6 product covers its costs, consistent with the statutory requirements for  
7 competitive products.

8 We are concerned that parcel customers will face large increases.  
9 However, other parcel delivery companies charge much higher prices for one-  
10 pound parcels and, on that basis, the market valuation of Standard Mail parcel  
11 delivery service far exceeds what we are asking our customers to pay, even  
12 incorporating the requested increase.

13 By law, prices for nonprofit Standard Mail are set so that the average  
14 revenue per piece for nonprofit mail is 60 percent of the commercial Standard  
15 Mail revenue per piece. The proposed prices meet this requirement with a  
16 nonprofit to commercial revenue per piece ratio equal to 60.1 percent.

17

18 *Plan for Covering Cost*

19

20 Standard Mail has two products, Standard Mail Flats and Standard Mail  
21 NFM's / Parcels, which currently do not cover their attributable costs. In the 2009  
22 ACD, the Commission requested that the Postal Service describe its plans for  
23 restoring these products to full cost coverage.

24 In this price adjustment, Standard Mail NFM's / Parcels prices increase  
25 23.2 percent on average. Together with expected improvements in economic

1 conditions, and planned cost reduction and efficiency measures, this price  
2 increase should be sufficient to allow the NFM's / Parcels product to cover its  
3 attributable costs.<sup>27</sup>

4 For Flats, the Postal Service expects to achieve efficiency gains from the  
5 plans outlined in Operations Strategies for Capturing Flats Efficiencies (USPS-  
6 R2010-4/9). To the extent that these operational efficiencies do not fully address  
7 the cost coverage issue, in 2011 and beyond, we will carefully review the  
8 situation and consider whether additional price increases are necessary in future  
9 price adjustments. The Postal Service fully recognizes the importance and  
10 seriousness of ensuring that the Flats product fully covers its costs. At the same  
11 time, the Postal Service understands the difficult business conditions that the  
12 catalog industry (a major customer of the Flats product) is facing. It also  
13 recognizes the contribution that catalog advertising makes to the health of other  
14 Postal Service products.<sup>28</sup> The Postal Service fully intends to use pricing as a  
15 tool to help close the gap for Standard Mail Flats, but is seriously concerned that  
16 taking aggressive action to close the gap through pricing alone in the early days  
17 of the expected recovery period might endanger the long-run health of the  
18 catalog industry and ultimately destroy this important segment of its business.  
19 For these reasons, the Postal Service plans to start the recovery period with  
20 moderate increases for Standard Mail Flats and consider further increases above  
21 the general inflation rate as the industry and the economy recovers.

---

<sup>27</sup> See the statement of Stephen J. Masse, Attachment 12. Note that this analysis is based on a full-year implementation of the proposed prices.

<sup>28</sup> Successful catalog advertising often leads to sales of shipping services for fulfillment, as well as additional follow-on advertising using the Standard Mail Flats product as well as other Mailing Services products.

1 *Worksharing*

2

3 The 2009 ACD identified twelve Standard Mail workshare discounts that  
4 exceeded avoided costs or whose avoided costs could not be satisfactorily  
5 calculated.<sup>29</sup> Of these twelve discounts of concern, the Commission found five  
6 that were not justified under one of the exceptions of Section 3622(e).<sup>30</sup> In this  
7 price adjustment all of these five discounts have been adjusted to equal avoided  
8 costs.

9 In the ACD, the Commission identified several instances in which  
10 passthroughs could not be calculated because appropriate avoided costs were  
11 not available. The Commission asked the Postal Service to develop better cost  
12 data to permit appropriate analysis of these pricing relationships. As of the date  
13 of filing of this price adjustment, the Postal Service has not been able to resolve  
14 the issues that led to negative avoided costs for the Carrier Route-High Density  
15 parcels differential, the High Density-Saturation letters differential, or the  
16 prebarcoding avoided cost for automation letters. These avoided cost estimates  
17 remain anomalous.

18 The Postal Service is currently investigating whether a meaningful  
19 prebarcoding avoided cost can be developed for Standard Mail parcels, but as of  
20 this filing, no methodology for calculating a product-specific avoided cost has  
21 been finalized and approved by the Commission. The passthrough in the

---

<sup>29</sup> These were the presort discounts for 3-digit and 5-digit nonmachinable letters, for ADC and 3-digit automation flats, for 5-digit nonautomation flats, and for BMC and 5-digit machinable parcels; and the automation (prebarcoding) discount for automation flats. The Commission also found that inadequate avoided costs data were available to evaluate the discounts for prebarcoding letters and parcels, and the density differentials between High Density and Saturation letters and between Carrier Route and High Density parcels.

<sup>30</sup> These were the presort discounts for 3-digit and 5-digit nonmachinable letters, for ADC and 3-digit automation flats, and for 5-digit nonautomation flats.

1 workpapers remains a proxy calculated by reference to the BPM parcels avoided  
2 costs as in the 2009 ACD.

3         There remain three Standard Mail discounts in this request that exceed  
4 avoided costs: the presort discounts for Network Distribution Center (NDC)  
5 (formerly BMC) machinable parcels and 5-digit machinable parcels, and the  
6 automation (prebarcoding) discount for flats. In each case, the Commission  
7 found in its 2009 ACD that these discounts were justified under Section 3622(e).  
8 As discussed below, the Postal Service continues to justify these discounts using  
9 the same statutory exceptions for the same reasons explained in the 2009  
10 Annual Compliance Report (ACR).

11         The presort discount in the request for NDC machinable parcels produces  
12 a passthrough of 159.6 percent (down from 183.5 percent in the 2009 ACD), and  
13 the discount for 5-digit parcels produces a passthrough of 104.4 percent (down  
14 from 106.3 percent in the 2009 ACD). In the 2009 ACR, the Postal Service  
15 justified these passthroughs using Section 3622(e)(2)(D). The Postal Service  
16 continues to justify these passthroughs under Section 3622(e)(2)(D) for the same  
17 reasons stated in the 2009 ACR and Docket No. R2009-1. Machinable parcels in  
18 NDC-presorted containers can be inducted into the NDC secondary parcel  
19 sorting system and bypass the primary parcel sorters. The Postal Service  
20 believes that this is the most efficient path for Standard Mail machinable parcels  
21 and that a strong incentive is required to move as many machinable parcels into  
22 this processing path (or to an even finer presort level) as possible. The Postal  
23 Service is concerned that reducing the discount below what it proposes in this

1 price adjustment will slow the movement toward finer presort levels and impede  
2 the efficient operation of the Postal Service.

3         The automation discount for flats is currently 6.2 cents, compared to  
4 avoided costs of 3.1 cents; in the price request this discount increases to 7.7  
5 cents, a 248 percent passthrough. In the 2009 ACD, the Commission accepted  
6 the Postal Service's justification using Section 3622(e)(2)(D). The rationale for  
7 this passthrough, as explained in Docket No. R2009-2 and in the 2009 ACR, was  
8 to provide a strong incentive to mailers to barcode their flats to support the  
9 implementation of the FSS. The Postal Service believes that this justification is  
10 applicable.

11  
12 *Growth Initiatives*  
13

14         The Postal Service is introducing an initiative for growth within the highly  
15 profitable High Density and Saturation Mail products in this docket. For  
16 Saturation and High Density letters and flats, the incentive will provide a price  
17 reduction equal to a percentage of a customer's average revenue per piece for  
18 incremental volume above a threshold volume. For commercial Saturation letters  
19 and flats the percentage reduction will be 22 percent, for commercial High  
20 Density letters and flats the reduction will be 13 percent. For both nonprofit  
21 Saturation and nonprofit High Density letters and flats the reduction will be 8  
22 percent. Further details on this growth initiative are available in Appendix A.

23

1 *Classification Changes*

2

3 Since all Standard Mail is presorted and therefore subject to Move Update

4 Standards, the following change applies to all Standard Mail products. The

5 threshold below which the Move Update Assessment Charge applies is being

6 increased from 70 to 75 percent. (This can also be expressed as a reduction in

7 the tolerance from 30 percent to 25 percent.) This change is in line with the

8 plans that the Postal Service announced in Docket No. R2010- 1, Notice of

9 Market Dominant Price Adjustment and Classification Changes, at 3-4.<sup>31</sup> The

10 Postal Service believes that this increase in the threshold is conservative, yet

11 needed to further encourage mailers' use of Move Update processes. Additional

12 public notice in the Federal Register and elsewhere is planned in the near future.

13 There are two other classification changes for Standard Mail in this request, both

14 of which are relatively minor. As part of its plan to improve the cost coverage of

15 its Standard Mail NFM's / Parcels product and grow its parcels business overall,

16 the Postal Service is repositioning this product from a marketing perspective into

17 one of two broad categories: Fulfillment Parcels and Marketing Parcels.

18 Fulfillment Parcels will provide light-weight parcel services to mail order shippers.

19 Marketing Parcels will provide advertisers with a means to deliver product

20 samples and other advertising materials that do not meet the specifications for

21 mailing as letters or flats.

---

<sup>31</sup> "Initially, the Postal Service will use a tolerance of 30 percent. We intend to reduce this tolerance as necessary to ensure that addresses quality improves (after providing the appropriate public notice)." Notice of Market Dominant Price Adjustment and Classification Changes at 3 -4. The Postal Service's planned reduction in the tolerance was also noted in Order No. 148 at 4, and in 74 Fed. Reg. 55141 (Oct. 27, 2009).

1           Consequently, the current NFMs / Parcels product will be renamed  
2 Parcels. Within Parcels there will be categories for Regular Marketing parcels  
3 and Fulfillment parcels. The existing NFMs category will be eliminated and its  
4 place will be taken by the Regular Marketing Parcels category.<sup>32</sup> This  
5 redesignation is not merely a name change but will also incorporate two product  
6 definition changes. First, the current size limits for NFMs will be expanded to  
7 allow pieces up to 2 inches thick to be mailed as Regular Marketing Parcels.  
8 Second, Regular Marketing Parcels will be required to bear alternative  
9 addressing, such as including the qualifier “Or Current Resident” to be included  
10 in the address. This clearly distinguishes mail pieces sent for advertising  
11 purposes and those sent for fulfillment.

12           The current Machinable Parcels and Irregular Parcels categories within  
13 the newly named Parcels product will be renamed Machinable Fulfillment Parcels  
14 and Irregular Fulfillment Parcels, respectively, but have no other classification  
15 change. It is expected that a certain portion of existing NFM volume is currently  
16 being used for fulfillment, and will likely migrate to the Fulfillment Parcels  
17 category rather than use the alternative addressing required for Marketing  
18 Parcels. The price change calculations have been adjusted to take this expected  
19 migration into account.

20           The second set of classification changes affects the formula for calculating  
21 the prices for heavy automation letters. Currently automation letters weighing  
22 between 3.3 and 3.5 ounces pay automation flats prices with a discount based

---

<sup>32</sup> From its inception, the NFMs category was intended to be a transitional category as stated when the classification was first proposed in Docket No R2006-1. See USPS-T-36 at 11.

1 on the difference between the minimum-per-piece prices for automation letters  
2 and flats. Since the last price adjustment, the Commission has approved a new  
3 methodology for calculating dropship avoided costs for Standard Mail. This has  
4 resulted in separate dropship avoided costs by shape for the first time. The  
5 prices in this request now have dropship discounts that vary by shape between  
6 letters and flats. Applying the current heavy letter formula to these prices  
7 produces prices for heavy letters with dropship discounts that vary, not only by  
8 entry point, but by presort level. The Postal Service believes that this outcome is  
9 undesirable, and so has modified the heavy letter formula slightly to avoid this  
10 result.

11  
12

### **C. Periodicals**

13  
14

This price adjustment increases Periodicals prices by an average of 8.0  
15 percent overall. The breakdown of the price change by product and major  
16 category is shown in the following table:

17  
18  
19

Table 5: Periodicals Price Adjustments

Product / Category	Percent Change
Outside County	8.0
Classroom	8.3
Nonprofit	8.2
Regular	8.0
Within County	8.0

20

1 *Periodicals Pricing Overview*

2

3 Periodicals has been losing money as a class for years. The Postal Act of  
4 2006's inflation-based price cap limitation at the class level has made it difficult to  
5 make significant progress in restoring Periodicals to complete cost coverage.

6 This price change provides a unique opportunity to take some steps  
7 toward increasing Periodicals revenue and improving cost coverage. Yet this  
8 problem, long in the making, cannot be resolved with a single stroke. The  
9 publishing industry is facing unprecedented challenges, particularly from the  
10 recent economic downturn, and from longer-term pressures arising from falling  
11 advertising, generally reduced subscriber bases, and electronic publishing. All of  
12 these factors seriously threaten the health of the industry. In light of these  
13 considerations, the Postal Service believes that an above-average increase of 8  
14 percent together with a plan of gradual improvement of cost coverage represents  
15 a judicious balance between quickly resolving the Periodicals cost coverage  
16 problem and ensuring the best prospects for the long-term health of the  
17 Periodicals industry. This 8 percent increase will not be sufficient in itself to  
18 restore both Periodicals products to full cost coverage in FY 2011.<sup>33</sup>

19 Nevertheless this is, in our considered view, the highest increase we can ask  
20 Periodicals mailers to pay at this time without risking permanent damage to this  
21 industry. We are developing a set of operations strategies focused on flats  
22 designed to bridge the gap between what we can achieve with pricing and what  
23 is needed to reach full cost coverage. USPS-R2010-4/9 outlines the Flats  
24 Strategies that we expect to implement.

---

<sup>33</sup> See the statement of Stephen J. Masse, Attachment 12.

1           The 8 percent increase represents an average for the whole class. While  
2 in general price increases for individual price cells or pricing categories may differ  
3 significantly from the class average increase, in this price change, with few  
4 exceptions, the Postal Service limited the increases for Periodicals categories  
5 below the product level to less than 10 percent. Most categories received  
6 increases close to the class average.

7           Several deviations from this policy are noteworthy. First, Basic Carrier  
8 Route pieces were given a 9.0 percent increase while 5-digit automation pieces  
9 were given only a 5.8 percent increase. This reflects the Postal Service's intent  
10 to keep the price differential between the 5-digit automation and Basic Carrier  
11 Route pieces at its current 9.8 cent level. With the advent of the Flats  
12 Sequencing System (FSS), efficient mail preparation will require mail pieces to  
13 be presented where postal automated sorting equipment is deployed. While a  
14 carrier route sortation will continue to have value in non-FSS zones, expanding  
15 this discount would tend to encourage customers to undertake work that may not  
16 be necessary in an FSS-environment.

17           Second, Origin Pallets prices increase 21 percent. We are continuing to  
18 encourage mailers to enter mail closer to the destination and avoid postal  
19 transportation costs thus encouraging a more efficient Periodicals mail stream.

20

21 *Plan for Covering Costs*

22

23           Periodicals is unique among the classes of mail. For public policy  
24 reasons, Periodicals pricing has traditionally had preferred status because of the  
25 historical value in transmitting news and information that periodicals bring to

1 society and democracy. Despite price increases at or near the CPI cap in the  
2 2008 and 2009 price changes, it is also the only class that has not covered its  
3 attributable costs since the passage of the Postal Act of 2006. The FY2009 cost  
4 coverage for Periodicals was only 76 percent, and if the entire cost coverage gap  
5 were to be addressed with these price increases, the price increase needed to  
6 achieve full cost coverage would be 25 percent and would likely imperil the  
7 already fragile Periodicals industry. The Postal Service believes that given the  
8 Periodicals market conditions and their unique status and ECSI value, any  
9 increases approaching this magnitude would be risky and ill-advised.

10 This price change proposes moderate, but above-average increases, and  
11 has been developed in the context of the operational efficiency measures  
12 outlined in the Flats Strategies discussed in USPS-R2010-4/9. The Periodicals  
13 cost coverage problem is long-standing, and it may not be a problem that can be  
14 quickly resolved. However, the operational efficiencies outlined are expected to  
15 result in substantial improvements in flats cost coverage.

16  
17 *Worksharing*

18  
19 There are nine workshare discounts that exceed avoided costs in the  
20 proposed Periodicals prices. The presort discounts for Machinable Nonauto 5-  
21 digit flats, Nonmachinable Nonauto ADC flats, Nonmachinable Nonauto 3-  
22 digit/Sectional Center Facility (SCF) flats, Nonmachinable Automation 3-  
23 digit/SCF flats, Automation ADC letters, Automation 3-digit letters and

1 Automation 5-digit letters all exceed estimated avoided costs.<sup>34</sup> In addition the  
 2 prebarcoding (automation) discount for Machinable Automation Mixed ADC flats  
 3 exceeds the estimated avoided costs.

4 The Postal Service justifies all of these discounts using Section  
 5 3622(e)(2)(C). Periodicals as a class consists solely of mail that has ECSI value  
 6 so it qualifies for the exception to the requirement in 3622(e) that workshare  
 7 discounts not exceed avoided costs.

8  
 9 *Classification Changes*

10  
 11 There are no classification changes proposed for Periodicals in this price  
 12 adjustment.

13 **D. Package Services**

14 The Postal Services is requesting price changes for Package Services  
 15 products that yield an overall increase of 6.8 percent. This above-average  
 16 increase is consistent with Package Services' status as a class that failed to  
 17 cover its attributable costs in FY 2009. The following table shows the price  
 18 changes for each of Package Services' five products.

19 Table 6: Package Services Products Price Adjustments  
 20

Product	Percent Change
Single-Piece Parcel Post	7.0
Bound Printed Matter Flats	5.0
Bound Printed Matter Parcels	7.0
Media Mail / Library Mail	7.0
Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU Prices)*	0.0
* Prices for CY2011 not available yet.	

<sup>34</sup> There is no Periodicals letters cost model; the costs used to calculate the passthroughs for Periodicals letters are proxy costs from Standard Mail.

1

2 *Package Services Pricing Overview*

3           Except for Bound Printed Matter (BPM) Flats, which is an advertising  
4 product, Package Services mail pieces are largely ground fulfillment parcels, but  
5 also include some consumer parcels. Package Services as a class failed to cover  
6 its costs for the first time in 2009, because of the economic downturn. Among  
7 the domestic product components of Package Services, only BPM Flats covered  
8 its costs.

9           The Postal Service believes that a 5 percent increase for the BPM Flats  
10 product represents a reasonable and equitable price change. It parallels the  
11 increases proposed for other mail products that are covering their costs. The  
12 Postal Service proposes higher increases for other Package Services products  
13 that are not covering their costs.<sup>35</sup>

14           Single Piece Parcel Post, BPM Parcels and Media Mail did not cover their  
15 costs in FY 2009. The Postal Service proposes price increases averaging 7  
16 percent for these products. In the Postal Service's view, these increases  
17 represent reasonable balances between concern for the impacts that price  
18 increases will have on our customers and recognizing that these products must  
19 move toward compensatory pricing.

20

---

<sup>35</sup> One of the five Package Services products is Inbound Surface Parcel Post at UPU Rates. As implied by the product name, the prices for this product is set by the UPU and not by the Postal Service. These prices take effect at the beginning of the next calendar year. At the time of this filing, the UPU has not indicated what the price change for this product will be.

1 *Single-Piece Parcel Post*

2

3 Currently, Single-Piece Parcel Post does not cover its attributable costs.

4 For this reason, the Postal Service is proposing an above average increase of

5 7.0 percent for this product. In the 2009 ACD, the Commission requested the

6 Postal Service to describe its plans for restoring products that are not currently

7 covering their costs to full cost coverage. The Postal Service's plans for Single-

8 Piece Parcel Post, as well as for other noncompensatory Package Services

9 products, is described following the product by product overview.

10 In this price adjustment, the Postal Service is proposing to allow prices for

11 low-weight Parcel Post to vary by zone. Unzoned pricing for low-weight Parcel

12 Post was implemented to avoid having Parcel Post prices exceed Priority Mail

13 prices for the same weight and zone. With the zoning of low-weight Priority Mail

14 pricing, this is no longer a significant concern. The release of this pricing

15 constraint on low-weight Parcel Post has led to price differentiation by zone and

16 noticeably higher price increases for more distant zones in a few lower-weight

17 price cells. It is reasonable to expect long-haul parcels to pay higher postage

18 owing to the greater distances generally transported and higher transportation

19 costs.

20

21 *Bound Printed Matter Flats*

22

23 The BPM Flats product had a healthy cost coverage in FY 2009. It was

24 the only domestic product in Package Services that currently covers its costs.

25 Bearing this in mind, the Postal Service has decided that an average increase of

26 5 percent, in line with the general level of increases given to other compensatory

1 products, represents a reasonable and equitable increase. Given the net  
2 negative price change for BPM Flats resulting from the last two price  
3 adjustments, the 5 percent increase in this adjustment should not impose undue  
4 hardship on mailers.

5  
6 *Bound Printed Matter Parcels*

7  
8 FY 2009 was the first year that the BPM Parcels product failed to cover its  
9 attributable costs. The proposed 7 percent increase should therefore restore  
10 BPM Parcels to a contributing cost coverage, all else being equal.<sup>36</sup>

11 The BPM Parcels product is commonly used to ship books, as is the  
12 Postal Service's Media Mail product. The Postal Service is aware that the  
13 relative prices of Media Mail and BPM Parcels have recently given some BPM  
14 Parcels mailers an incentive to use Media Mail (which is not zoned) for some  
15 parcels travelling to more distant zones. In the current price structure for BPM  
16 Parcels, the prices for certain weights of basic presorted non-dropshipped  
17 parcels in zones 7 and 8 exceed the unzoned prices for basic presorted Media  
18 Mail. In this price adjustment, the Postal Service has moderated the increases in  
19 these zones to reduce the incentive to move these more costly long-distance  
20 parcels to Media Mail, which has suffered an erosion in cost coverage as a  
21 result.

22  
23 *Media Mail*

24  
25 Media Mail (including Library Mail) has not fully covered its costs for  
26 several years. Media Mail would require an approximately 12 percent increase to

---

<sup>36</sup> See the statement of Stephen J. Masse, Attachment 12.

1 cover its costs as a result of this price adjustment alone. The Postal Service does  
2 not believe it is advisable to impose an increase of this size on Media Mail at one  
3 time, particularly in the current economic environment. The Postal Service  
4 management is concerned that price increases for Media Mail may injure its  
5 ability to remain a viable product in the long run. For this reason, the Postal  
6 Service believes that the most reasonable approach is to take a more gradual  
7 path to achieving long run cost coverage and has proposed a 7.0 percent  
8 increase in this adjustment.

9 As discussed in the BPM Parcels section, the Postal Service believes that  
10 part of the Media Mail cost coverage problem is that it is experiencing the  
11 adverse consequences of an inward migration of long-distance parcels. This  
12 migration arises because Media Mail's prices for long-distance parcels have  
13 been lower than the prices in the BPM Parcels product. Media Mail prices reflect  
14 average transportation costs, so its pricing regime cannot readily handle an influx  
15 of parcels disproportionately weighted toward long-haul parcels, and still cover its  
16 costs adequately. To restore a reasonable pricing relationship, the Postal  
17 Service is giving relatively lower increases to more distant zoned BPM Presort  
18 Parcels and is giving relatively higher increases to lighter weight Media Mail  
19 Basic Presort pieces.<sup>37</sup> These changes should reduce the pricing incentive for  
20 mailers to use Media Mail disproportionately for long-haul parcels.

21 Since the implementation of the Postal Act of 2006, Library Mail has  
22 become the preferred price component of the Media Mail product. Library Mail

---

<sup>37</sup> The Postal Service believes that the most likely candidates for migration from BPM Parcels to Media Mail would be lower weight pieces (BPM cannot exceed 15 pounds in weight) that are presorted but not dropshipped.

1 prices are, by law, set at 95 percent of the prices for Media Mail. The prices  
2 proposed for Library Mail meet this statutory requirement.

3  
4 *Inbound Surface Parcel Post at Universal Postal Union (UPU) Rates*

5  
6 This product is not priced by the Postal Service. Instead, its prices are set  
7 for each calendar year by the UPU. At the time this price adjustment was filed,  
8 the Postal Service did not know what prices the UPU would set for Calendar  
9 Year 2011. Consequently, no increases for this product are specified by the  
10 Postal Service in this filing.<sup>38</sup> Nevertheless, the Postal Service considers the  
11 increases to be specified later this year by the UPU, and to take effect in January  
12 2011, to be part of its exigent price adjustment requests.

13  
14 *Plan for Covering Costs*

15  
16 As discussed, the Single-Piece Parcel Post, BPM Parcels and Media Mail  
17 products all failed to cover their attributable costs in FY 2009. As directed by the  
18 Commission in the 2009 ACD, the Postal Service is describing its plans for  
19 restoring these products to compensatory status.

20 The Postal Service anticipates that the proposed 7 percent overall  
21 increases, together with anticipated demand growth, will be sufficient to allow  
22 BPM Parcels and Single-Piece Parcel Post to cover their attributable costs.<sup>39</sup> In  
23 addition to this price change, the Postal Service will continue in its efforts to  
24 improve the efficiency of processing and handling the ground parcels mail  
25 stream. The Postal Service anticipates that, together, these pricing and

---

<sup>38</sup> For purposes of reference, the CY 2010 weighted average increase was 3.65 percent.

<sup>39</sup> See the statement of Stephen J. Masse, Attachment 12.

1 operational efforts should ensure that BPM Parcels and Single-Piece Parcel Post  
2 each make a positive contribution in the future.

3 For Media Mail, the Postal Service will rely on continuing improvements in  
4 the efficiency of the ground parcels mail stream together with price adjustments  
5 to close the negative contribution gap. Based on cost and revenue projections,  
6 we anticipate that it may take as little as one additional price change to ensure  
7 that Media Mail once again covers its attributable costs.<sup>40</sup> The Postal Service  
8 remains concerned that attempting to close the gap all at once in this price  
9 adjustment could seriously compromise the ability of this product to weather the  
10 current economic downturn and return to long-run health. Network efficiency  
11 improvements include anticipated benefits from the network realignment now  
12 taking place. Pricing actions include the above-average price adjustments  
13 proposed in this docket followed by continuing adjustments at above-average  
14 rates, designed to eliminate the cost coverage gap over the next several years.

15  
16 *Worksharing*

17  
18 In the 2009 ACD, the Commission found seven Package Services  
19 workshare discounts that exceeded avoided costs.<sup>41</sup> In this price adjustment the  
20 Postal Service has reduced the passthroughs for all of these discounts, except  
21 two, to be at or below 100 percent. The only discounts that exceed avoided  
22 costs in this price adjustment are the 5-digit presort discounts for Media Mail and  
23 Library Mail. In previous price adjustments the Postal Service has justified these

---

<sup>40</sup> See the statement of Stephen J. Masse, Attachment 12.

<sup>41</sup> These were the DBMC and DDU dropship discounts for BPM Flats and for BPM Parcels, the Basic Presort discount for Media Mail and the 5-digit Presort discount for Media Mail and Library Mail.

1 discounts using sections 3622(e)(2)(B) and 3622(e)(2)(C). The Postal Service  
2 has been reducing the passthrough for the 5-digit presort categories over the last  
3 several price changes in moderate steps to avoid rate shock. In this price  
4 change this practice continues, with the Media Mail 5-digit passthrough declining  
5 from 154 percent to 142 percent, and the Library Mail 5-digit passthrough  
6 declining from 146 percent to 138 percent. Therefore, the Postal Service  
7 believes that the section 3622(e)(2)(B) justification still applies. Also as noted in  
8 Docket No. R2009-2, Media Mail and Library Mail both consist exclusively of  
9 content with ECSI value so their passthroughs that exceed 100 percent also can  
10 be justified under the ECSI exception, section 3622(e)(2)(C).

11

#### 12 *Classification Changes*

13

14       There is only one minor classification change for Package Services.  
15 Nonpresorted (single piece) BPM Parcels is the only parcel category in Package  
16 Services with half-pound price cells. To make BPM Parcels more consistent with  
17 other Package Services parcels offerings, as well as the Postal Service's  
18 competitive parcels products, the Postal Service is eliminating half-pound pricing  
19 for nonpresorted parcels in this price adjustment.

1           **E. Special Services**

2  
3           *Special Services Pricing Overview*

4  
5           The overall percentage change for Special Services excluding the  
6 Address Management Services is 5.3 percent.<sup>42</sup> Prices for Post Office Box  
7 service, the largest component in Special Services will increase by about 6  
8 percent, with other services generally receiving increases in the 4.5 percent  
9 range. In general much of the variation among these services reflects the  
10 customary rounding constraints. In the case of Address Management Services  
11 some of the products have price increases of as much as 10 percent to reflect  
12 reasonable rounding constraints. Additionally, some products will receive no  
13 price increase, as the products are being phased out, or the products are  
14 certification processes, and the Postal Service does not wish to discourage  
15 mailers from obtaining certification because of a price increase. The following  
16 table gives the percent change for Special Services products and major  
17 categories.

---

<sup>42</sup> This calculation excludes Address Management System (AMS) products (other than its Address List Services components) since volumes and revenues for AMS products are not available to be used in the calculation of a comprehensive price change.

1 Table 7: Special Services Price Adjustments

2

Service	Percentage Increase
Caller Service	4.8
Certified Mail	5.4
COD	4.4
Insurance	4.6
Registered Mail	4.7
Stamped Envelopes	3.2
Stamped Cards	0.0
Other Ancillary Services	4.8
Total Ancillary Services	5.0
Int'l Ancillary Services	11.7
Address Management Services	5.6
Change of Address Credit Card Authentication	0.0
Confirm	12.9
Int'l Reply Coupon Service	4.8
Int'l Business Reply Mail Service	3.5
Money Orders	4.5
Post Office Box Service	5.9
Total Special Services Mail	5.3

3

4

5 In the Annual Compliance Determination the Commission identified four

6 Special Services as not covering their costs: Stamped Cards, Confirm, Address

7 List Services, and Registered Mail.

8 Stamped Cards in actuality did cover its costs. The FY 2009 ACR included

9 an error in the volume reported in the Revenue Pieces Weight (RPW) report. The

10 reported volume was 22 million, while the actual volume was 85 million.

11 Correcting this error raises the revenue to well above costs.

1 Confirm service is the only domestic Special Services product that  
2 significantly failed to cover its costs in FY 2009.<sup>43</sup> The Postal Service is  
3 addressing this contribution gap in this docket by raising Confirm's Bronze and  
4 Silver tiers by 4 percent, the Gold tier by 6 percent and the Platinum tier by 25.6  
5 percent. A primary cost driver for Confirm is data storage that has largely been  
6 driven by the scans provided to Platinum service tier customers. This increase of  
7 the Platinum subscription price will better align the costs of providing the service  
8 among the service tiers. It is believed that these increases will be sufficient to  
9 achieve full cost coverage for Confirm.

10 Since the 2009 ACR, Address List Services has been merged into a larger  
11 Address Management Services, added to the Mail Classification Schedule as  
12 part of the Commission's review of services that were not in the Domestic Mail  
13 Classification Schedule.<sup>44</sup> The Postal Service is also moving to eliminate some  
14 Address Management Services options that likely do not cover costs.<sup>45</sup> As a  
15 result we expect that Address Management Services (including Address List  
16 Services) will provide adequate revenue to exceed its costs.<sup>46</sup> .

17 Registered Mail had a cost coverage of 98 percent in the Annual  
18 Compliance Determination. An overall price increase of 4.7 percent will be  
19 adequate to make up for this shortfall.

20

---

<sup>43</sup> The 2009 ACD lists Confirm's FY 2009 cost coverage as 82 percent (Table VII-20, p. 103).

<sup>44</sup> See Order No. 391 (Jan. 13, 2010).

<sup>45</sup> For example, on July 2, 2010, the Postal Service filed notice with the Commission of classification changes that would eliminate Delivery Type service (Docket No. MC2010-25).

<sup>46</sup> This conclusion is somewhat speculative because the Postal Service is in the process of developing complete revenue and cost information for Address Management Services for the first time.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

*Classification Changes*

In this docket the offering of Stamped Envelopes bearing a Standard Mail stamp will be eliminated. The small volumes of envelopes that are sold make it impractical to continue offering this version of stamped envelopes. The Postal Service either has to produce the envelopes in quantities that are so small that the costs exceed the price charged, or produce excessive amounts of the envelopes which end up taking up excessive storage space and being damaged before they can be sold.

On June 17, 2010, the Commission approved the Postal Service’s request to create a new Post Office box service product on the competitive products list, and to transfer selected Post Office Box service locations to that competitive product. The price change cited for Post Office Boxes above does not apply to those locations that have been moved to the competitive Post Office box service product.

**IV. Objectives and Factors—Rule 3010.14(b)(7)**

In compliance with Rules 3010.14(b)(7) through (8), in this section the Postal Service discusses 1) how its planned prices “help achieve” the objectives of section 3622(b) and “properly take into account” the factors of section 3622(c); and 2) how its planned prices are consistent with sections 3626, 3627, and 3629.

The objectives of section 3622(b) are as follows:

- 1           “(b) Objectives—Such system shall be designed to achieve the following  
2 objectives, each of which shall be applied in conjunction with the others:  
3 (1) To maximize incentives to reduce costs and increase efficiency.  
4 (2) To create predictability and stability in rates.  
5 (3) To maintain high quality service standards established under section  
6 3691.  
7 (4) To allow the Postal Service pricing flexibility.  
8 (5) To assure adequate revenues, including retained earnings, to maintain  
9 financial stability.  
10 (6) To reduce the administrative burden and increase the transparency of  
11 the ratemaking process.  
12 (7) To enhance mail security and deter terrorism.  
13 (8) To establish and maintain a just and reasonable schedule for rates and  
14 classifications, however the objective under this paragraph shall not be  
15 construed to prohibit the Postal Service from making changes of unequal  
16 magnitude within, between, or among classes of mail.  
17 (9) To allocate the total institutional costs of the Postal Service  
18 appropriately between market-dominant and competitive products.”<sup>47</sup>

19  
20           These objectives underlie Congress’ mandate that there be a new,  
21 “modern system for regulating rates and classes for market-dominant products,”  
22 to replace the prior system of the Postal Reorganization Act (PRA). These

---

<sup>47</sup> For ease of reference, each objective is referred to according to its placement in section 3622(b). For example, section 3622(b)(1) is referred to as Objective 1. A similar convention is used with respect to the “factors” of section 3622(c) below.

1 principles are largely achieved through the design of the new regulatory system  
2 itself, rather than through the particulars of any one pricing change made  
3 pursuant to that system.

4 In this Request, the Postal Service is utilizing one of the provisions of the  
5 PAEA that grants additional pricing flexibility in extraordinary and exceptional  
6 circumstances to help ensure that it has the revenues to provide the kinds of high  
7 quality postal services envisioned in the Act (Objectives 3, 4, 5).

8 This filing follows the Commission's rules for exigent price changes and  
9 provides information beyond what is normally filed in a price change notice.  
10 Moreover the Postal Service is making officials available to respond under oath  
11 to questions from the Commission at a public hearing. In this Request, the Postal  
12 Service demonstrates how its planned price adjustments comply with the  
13 workshare standards of section 3622(e) and with the requirements of section  
14 3626. These actions clearly meet the objective that the pricing process be  
15 transparent (Objective 6).

16 While the Postal Service will be requesting prices that exceed the CPI  
17 change since the last adjustment, the Postal Service has restrained the size of  
18 the requested increases, rather than relying solely on pricing to close its financial  
19 gap. It has also restrained many of its price increases to a narrow range around  
20 the respective average class increases (Objective 2). At the same time the Postal  
21 Service did not pursue an across-the-board increase because it believed that  
22 more targeted, unequal increases could provide greater incentives for increasing

1 efficiency and moving underperforming products closer to covering their costs  
2 (Objectives 1 and 8).

3 In addition to the objectives specified and discussed above, section  
4 3622(c) enumerates fourteen factors, or considerations, that must be taken into  
5 account, which are as follows:

6 “(c) Factors—In establishing or revising such system, the Postal  
7 Regulatory Commission shall take into account—

8 (1) the value of the mail service actually provided each class or type of  
9 mail service to both the sender and the recipient, including but not limited  
10 to the collection, mode of transportation, and priority of delivery;

11 (2) the requirement that each class of mail or type of mail service bear the  
12 direct and indirect postal costs attributable to each class or type of mail  
13 service through reliably identified causal relationships plus that portion of  
14 all other costs of the Postal Service reasonably assignable to such class  
15 or type;

16 (3) the effect of rate increases upon the general public, business mail  
17 users, and enterprises in the private sector of the economy engaged in the  
18 delivery of mail matter other than letters;

19 (4) the available alternative means of sending and receiving letters and  
20 other mail matter at reasonable costs;

21 (5) the degree of preparation of mail for delivery into the postal system  
22 performed by the mailer and its effect upon reducing costs to the Postal  
23 Service;

- 1 (6) simplicity of structure for the entire schedule and simple, identifiable  
2 relationships between the rates or fees charged the various classes of  
3 mail for postal services;
- 4 (7) the importance of pricing flexibility to encourage increased mail volume  
5 and operational efficiency;
- 6 (8) the relative value to the people of the kinds of mail matter entered into  
7 the postal system and the desirability and justification for special  
8 classifications and services of mail;
- 9 (9) the importance of providing classifications with extremely high degrees  
10 of reliability and speed of delivery and of providing those that do not  
11 require high degrees of reliability and speed of delivery;
- 12 (10) the desirability of special classifications for both postal users and the  
13 Postal Service in accordance with the policies of this title, including  
14 agreements between the Postal Service and postal users, when available  
15 on public and reasonable terms to similarly situated mailers, that—
- 16 (A) either—
- 17 (i) improve the net financial position of the Postal Service  
18 through reducing Postal Service costs or increasing the overall  
19 contribution to the institutional costs of the Postal Service; or
- 20 (ii) enhance the performance of mail preparation, processing,  
21 transportation, or other functions; and
- 22 (B) do not cause unreasonable harm to the marketplace.

1 (11) the educational, cultural, scientific, and informational value to the  
2 recipient of mail matter;  
3 (12) the need for the Postal Service to increase its efficiency and reduce  
4 its costs, including infrastructure costs, to help maintain high quality,  
5 affordable postal services;  
6 (13) the value to the Postal Service and postal users of promoting  
7 intelligent mail and of secure, sender-identified mail; and  
8 (14) the policies of this title as well as such other factors as the  
9 Commission determines appropriate.”

10  
11 Below, the Postal Service discusses how the pricing adjustments for each  
12 class comply with the policy considerations set forth in section 3622.<sup>48</sup> When  
13 considering this price change, it is important to consider the long term, rather  
14 than simply focusing on this year’s prices in isolation. This was discussed by the  
15 Postal Service in its Docket No. R2008-1 Notice.<sup>49</sup>

16  
17

### **A. First-Class Mail**

18 The First-Class Mail first-ounce letter price is being increased by two cents  
19 (4.5 percent), which is slightly below the overall increase for First-Class Mail as a  
20 whole. For simplicity, prices used by the general public are set in whole cents  
21 (Factor 6). The integer constraint on the single-piece price generally results in  
22 some deviation from the average increase for the class.

---

<sup>48</sup> Workshare discounts, which implicate Factor 5 and Factor 12, are discussed extensively in each class’ pricing sections of this statement.

<sup>49</sup> Docket No. R2008-1, Notice of Market Dominant Price Adjustment, at 12.

1           The MCS establishes the shapes within First-Class Mail as distinct  
2 products. This price change widens the effective per-piece differential between  
3 letters and flats, and between letters and parcels (Factor 1, Factor 2). As in the  
4 previous price adjustment, the Postal Service has set the first-ounce price for a  
5 single piece flat at twice the stamp rate. This further enhances the convenience  
6 and simplicity of the pricing structure for customers (Factor 6).

7           As discussed in the First-Class Mail pricing section, the Postal Service is  
8 especially concerned that price increases for presort letters may have a  
9 deleterious impact on an already weakened mailing industry and lead some  
10 mailers to decide to leave the mail altogether. Therefore the Postal Service has  
11 mitigated the increases given to presort letters (Factor 3).

12           In this price adjustment, the Postal Service is changing the First-Class  
13 Mail parcels rate design to give the same price to all parcels weighing up to 3  
14 ounces. This change will improve the coverage for the lightest parcels (Factor 2).

15           The prices in Presort Letters / Postcards reflect the costs that the Postal  
16 Service avoids when customers presort and otherwise prepare their mail for  
17 automation processing (Factor 5). Most commercial customers are actively  
18 seeking cost reductions that may result in decisions to adopt electronic and other  
19 mail alternatives. While the proposed prices for this product reflect an above-  
20 average increase, the Postal Service mitigated the increase in automation prices  
21 to help forestall some of these decisions and maintain automation letter volume  
22 (Factor 4, Factor 7).

1           The desirability of Intelligent Mail is specified in Factor 13. Intelligent Mail  
2 barcoded pieces offer many benefits to customers and to the Postal Service  
3 itself, including serving as the basis for some service performance  
4 measurements. In this price adjustment the Postal Service continues the  
5 incentive program begun in Docket No. R2009-2 to encourage participation in the  
6 Full-Service IMb program. This program will add value to the mail and assist in  
7 service measurement (Factor 1, Factor 7, Objective 3).

8           Accompanying this price adjustment is a new First-Class Mail initiative, the  
9 Reply Rides Free program, in which participants who participate in the Full  
10 Service IMb program can include a reply card or envelope without paying extra  
11 postage. This initiative (which is described in more detail in the First-Class Mail  
12 section) is an incentive to maintain and increase transactions mail volumes  
13 (Factor 7).

14  
15

#### **B. Standard Mail**

16           The Standard Mail class receives an overall increase of 5.6 percent. Two  
17 of the six Standard Mail products failed to cover their attributable costs in FY  
18 2009: Standard Mail NFMs / Parcels and Standard Mail Flats. In this price  
19 adjustment, the Postal Service addresses both of these products differently,  
20 relying on its pricing flexibility (Objective 4, Factor 7) to craft responses that meet  
21 the needs of each respective situation. For Standard Mail NFMs / Parcels, the  
22 Postal Service is continuing its process announced several years ago of  
23 adjusting parcels prices to move toward full cost coverage for this product (Factor  
24 2), recognizing that these parcels are underpriced in the marketplace compared

1 to competitors' offerings (Factor 4). The pricing for parcels is also a further step  
2 in the Postal Service's ongoing harmonization of all of its parcels offerings  
3 (Factor 6).

4 Although Standard Mail Flats also failed to cover its costs, the Postal  
5 Service believes that the catalog industry, which is a major user of this product, is  
6 in an especially vulnerable time right now. An above-average increase for Flats  
7 might damage this industry in a way that would make it difficult to recover when  
8 general economic conditions improve. For this reason, Flats were given an  
9 average increase in this price adjustment (Factor 3).

10 This price adjustment also revises the rate design for Irregular  
11 (nonmachinable) Parcels by setting the price for 5-digit presorted parcels equal  
12 to the price for 5-digit Machinable Parcels. Parcels sorted to 5-digit ZIP Codes  
13 receive the same mail processing regardless of their classification as machinable  
14 or irregular. This change better aligns the pricing with cost causation and  
15 encourages mailers of irregular parcels to sort to 5 digits, reducing the amount of  
16 costly manual handling these parcels may receive (Factors 1, 5, 7 and 12).

17 In this price adjustment, the Postal Service is proposing a pricing initiative  
18 to expand the use of profitable High Density and Saturation mail. Participating  
19 mailers who grow their mail above a mailer-specific threshold volume will receive  
20 incentives in the form of postage rebates. This program is a revised and  
21 expanded version of the Saturation mail incentive that was implemented in FY  
22 2009, and continues the successful use of the Postal Service's pricing flexibility  
23 to expand profitable mail categories (Factors 7, 8, 10).

1 Standard Mail is a heavily workshared class and most mail pieces take  
2 advantage of one or more discounts. With the Commission's approval of shape-  
3 based dropship cost avoidances in Docket No. RM2009-10, the Postal Service  
4 has established fully shape-based dropship discounts. The new cost estimates  
5 will provide enhanced opportunities to better reflect the savings mailers provide  
6 by dropshipping flats and parcels deeper into the system (Factor 5).

7 The Postal Service is continuing to offer the incentive program begun in  
8 Docket No. R2009-2, to encourage participation in the Full-Service IMb program.  
9 This program will add value to the mail and assist in service measurement  
10 (Factor 1, 7 and 13, Objective 3).

11  
12

**C. Periodicals**

13 The Periodicals class includes magazines and newspapers, and consists  
14 of two products: Within County Periodicals and Outside County Periodicals.  
15 Periodicals will receive an increase of 8.0 percent in this price adjustment, well  
16 above the average for market dominant products as a whole. This above-  
17 average increase is in direct response to the fact that the Periodicals class has  
18 failed to cover its attributable costs for several years (Factor 2).

19 In implementing this increase, the Postal Service attempted to keep the  
20 price changes for most individual categories as close to the class average as  
21 possible to minimize the possibility that any group of publications would be  
22 affected much more severely than others (Factor 3).

23 As in other classes, the Postal Service is continuing the incentive to  
24 encourage customer participation in the Full Service IMb program. By

1 participating in the program, publications will qualify for no-fee ACS. This in itself  
2 is a substantial incentive for Periodicals mailers to use Full Service IMb (Factor  
3 1), while adding value to the mail and increasing operational efficiency (Factors  
4 1, 7, 13).

5 Factor 11 allows for the consideration of the educational, cultural, scientific  
6 and informational value of the mail matter. Periodicals consist entirely of such  
7 matter, and its pricing reflects this content (Factor 11).

8  
9

#### **D. Package Services**

10 The Package Services class consists of five products: Single-Piece Parcel  
11 Post, Bound Printed Matter Flats, Bound Printed Matter Parcels, Media Mail  
12 (including Library Mail), and Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates). In FY  
13 2009 Parcel Post, BPM Parcels and Media Mail failed to cover their attributable  
14 costs.

15 In this price adjustment, all of the products that failed to cover their costs  
16 were given increases of 7.0 percent. These increases, together with expected  
17 operational efficiency gains and anticipated general improvements in the  
18 economy should be sufficient to allow Parcel Post and BPM Parcels to cover  
19 their costs. Media Mail may not reach full cost coverage in this pricing round, but  
20 is expected to be within reach by the next annual price change (Factor 2,  
21 Objective 8).

22 Factor 11 allows for the consideration of the educational, cultural, scientific  
23 and informational value of the mail matter. Media Mail, including Library Mail,  
24 consists entirely of such matter, and their pricing reflects this content (Factor 11).

1           This price adjustment continues the shape-based differentiation of BPM  
2 Flats and BPM Parcels. Because of their favorable cost characteristics, BPM  
3 Flats have lower unit costs than BPM Parcels. In recent price changes the Postal  
4 Service has begun to recognize these cost characteristics by giving BPM Flats  
5 lower overall increases. By continuing to restrain the increase for BPM Flats the  
6 Postal Service hopes to spur additional volume growth for this profitable product  
7 (Factor 7).

8           Nonpresorted (single-piece) BPM Parcels have a pricing design that  
9 includes half-pound weight steps for the first five pounds. This feature is a  
10 holdover from the days when BPM was a unified subclass that consisted  
11 primarily of catalogs and directories. BPM Parcels is the only parcel product that  
12 has such a design. To harmonize BPM Parcels with other parcels products, the  
13 Postal Service is eliminating half-pound pricing for these parcels with this price  
14 change (Factor 6).

15           Parcel Post has a current price design that is unzoned in the first pound.  
16 This is a holdover from former years when Parcel Post prices were managed in  
17 the lower weight cells to keep Parcel Post prices below unzoned Priority Mail  
18 prices (which were unzoned in the first pound). This constraint is no longer  
19 relevant, so Parcel Post pricing is adjusted in this pricing change to reflect the  
20 higher cost of shipping to more distant zones (Factors 1, 2, 6).

21  
22

### **E. Special Services**

23           The overall fee increase for all Special Services is 5.2 percent. For many  
24 of the Special Services, fee increases were generally designed to be close to the

1 overall class percentage, while maintaining consistency with historical rounding  
2 constraints which can simplify transactions for customers (Factor 3, Factor 6).

3 In FY 2009, Confirm failed to cover its attributable costs. The 13.1 percent  
4 average increase in this price adjustment was given to allow the service to fully  
5 cover its costs (Factor 2).

6 The fees for Restricted Delivery, Collect on Delivery, Notice of Nondelivery  
7 and Alteration of Charges, and Money Order Inquiries reflect their high value of  
8 service (Factor 1). Finally, the Postal Service's general approach to international  
9 special services has been to set fees for those services that are similar to the  
10 fees for the equivalent domestic service. This approach has been followed for  
11 International Certificates of Mailing, International Return Receipts, and  
12 International Restricted Delivery (Factor 6).

13  
14 **V. Preferred Mail—Rule 3010.14(b)(8)**

15  
16 Section 3626 sets forth pricing requirements for certain preferred  
17 categories of mail. In this price change, the Postal Service implements these  
18 requirements in the same manner as it did in its Docket No. R2009-2 price  
19 change, which the Commission approved 3626 in Order No. 191.<sup>50</sup>

20 First, section 3626(a)(3) requires that the prices for Within County  
21 Periodicals “reflect[ ] its preferred status” as compared to the prices for regular  
22 rate Periodicals.<sup>51</sup> This price adjustment continues to recognize the preferential

---

<sup>50</sup> See Order No. 191, at 3.

<sup>51</sup> This general standard replaces the “50-percent mark-up rule” that previously governed the setting of prices for Within County Periodicals. See H.R. REP. NO. 109-66, pt. 1, at 67-68.

1 status of Within County Periodicals, whose prices are well below those of  
2 Outside County Periodicals.

3 Second, section 3626(a)(4)(A) requires that Nonprofit and Classroom  
4 Periodicals receive, as nearly as practicable, a 5 percent discount from regular  
5 rate postage, except for advertising pounds. Consistent with previous practice,  
6 the Postal Service maintains this rate preference by giving Nonprofit and  
7 Classroom pieces a 5 percent discount on all components of postage except  
8 advertising pounds and ride-along postage.

9 Third, section 3626(a)(5) requires that Science of Agriculture Periodicals  
10 be given preferential treatment for its advertising pounds. Consistent with past  
11 practice, the Postal Service continues to provide these publications with  
12 advertising pound rates for DDU, DSCF, DADC, and Zones 1 & 2 that are 75  
13 percent of the advertising pound rates applicable to regular Periodicals.

14 Fourth, section 3626(a)(6) requires that Nonprofit Standard Mail prices be  
15 set to achieve an average revenue per piece that is 60 percent of the commercial  
16 average revenue per piece. Consistent with the Postal Service's practice in  
17 Docket Nos. R2008-1 and R2009-2, the Postal Service has calculated this ratio  
18 at the class level. In the 2009 ACD, the Commission directed the Postal Service  
19 to include fees paid by nonprofit and commercial mailers in their respective  
20 average revenues when performing the ratio calculation. This methodology was  
21 followed. The prices set forth in this Notice achieve a revenue per piece ratio of  
22 60.1 percent. Details of the nonprofit-commercial revenue per piece ratio are

1 contained in USPS-R2010-4/2 Standard Mail Worksheets R2010-4.xls,  
2 worksheet "Price Change Summary."

3 Fifth, section 3626(a)(7) requires that the prices for Library Mail be equal,  
4 as nearly as practicable, to 95 percent of the prices for Media Mail. This is  
5 achieved by setting each Library Mail price element equal to 95 percent of the  
6 corresponding Media Mail price element. The Postal Service has followed this  
7 approach in setting its new prices.

8 Finally, section 3626(g)(4) requires that preferential treatment be accorded  
9 to the Outside County pieces of a Periodicals publication having fewer than 5,000  
10 Outside County pieces, and at least one Within County piece. In conformance  
11 with this requirement, the Postal Service implemented a "limited circulation"  
12 discount in 2008, which gives these mailers a discount equivalent to the  
13 Nonprofit and Classroom Periodicals discount.

14 In addition to a discussion of section 3626, Rule 3010.14(b)(8) also  
15 requires the Postal Service to discuss how its planned prices are consistent with  
16 sections 3627 and 3629. Neither section is implicated by this price change: in  
17 terms of section 3627, the Postal Service does not seek to alter the free rates; in  
18 terms of section 3629, the Postal Service does not change the eligibility  
19 requirements for nonprofit prices.

20  
21 **VI. Conclusion**

22  
23 The Postal Service finds itself in extraordinary and exceptional financial  
24 circumstances. Price increases that exceed what would otherwise be allowable

1 under the price cap regime are needed to restore the Postal Service to financial  
2 health. Despite this need, the Postal Service has determined that the way  
3 forward out of its financial difficulties must not rest solely on price increases.

4 In the Postal Service's Action Plan announced on March 2, judicious price  
5 adjustments play an important part, but are not the whole solution. Solving the  
6 Postal Service's problems through pricing alone would require increases so large  
7 that they would threaten the economic health of our customers, both small and  
8 large, across virtually every segment of our business. Many of our customers  
9 might simply decide to stop mailing altogether.

10 The Postal Service has determined that price increases averaging 5.6  
11 percent reasonably balance the impacts on our customers with our own need for  
12 additional revenues. At the same time, most products that are not covering their  
13 costs have been given above-average increases to help them move toward full  
14 cost coverage. We carefully evaluated these additional increases to ensure they  
15 would not overburden or fundamentally threaten ailing customer segments.  
16 These steps result in an equitable sharing of the burden of this above-CPI  
17 exigent price request.

18 The Postal Service's price changes take a long-term view and do not try to  
19 resolve all of its financial difficulties using pricing, and do not attempt to fix the  
20 problems quickly, heedless of the consequences of sharp price changes. The  
21 Postal Service's price changes are balanced: they balance the impacts on our  
22 customers with our need for revenue, and they balance the need for revenue  
23 among our products and services in a way that moves toward full contributory

- 1 status for all products as soon as feasible. The Postal Service's pricing changes
- 2 are both reasonable and equitable.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

## **APPENDIX A**

### **SUMMARY OF GROWTH AND RETENTION INITIATIVES**

## Reply Rides Free Program

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

The Postal Service proposes a pricing initiative (“Reply Rides Free Program”) for mailers of First-Class Mail<sup>®</sup> Presort Letters. This initiative allows First-Class Mail<sup>®</sup> Presort and Automation Letters over 1 ounce, up to 1.2 ounces to qualify for postage payment at the 1-ounce price when those letters include a reply card or envelope under specified conditions. The initiative is structured as an annual incentive program.

To qualify the mailer must consent to

- Meeting a volume threshold of First-Class Mail<sup>®</sup> Presort Letters
- Enclosing a reply card or envelope, either courtesy reply or business reply. The reply piece may be in the format of a reusable envelope
- Using Full-Service IMb
- Random verifications to ensure compliance with enclosure requirements

The purpose of the First-Class Mail<sup>®</sup> Reply Rides Free Program is to slow customers’ diversion efforts to electronic channels. Many mailers are currently “filling to the ounce;” that is, they have redesigned their bills and statements to reduce the weight and number of pages to ensure that they will not exceed one ounce in weight and thus incur the additional ounce charge. They will only add promotional inserts to their mailings if the mail piece stays under one ounce, and may remove the courtesy or business reply envelope to do so. The free weight increment encourages customers to keep the reply envelope in their mailing –

1 and to keep adding promotional inserts that generate revenues and offset a  
 2 portion of the mail preparation and mailing costs. In fact, the Reply Rides Free  
 3 Program would effectively increase the value of the mail, and provide an  
 4 incentive for customers to use their transactional mailings for direct marketing  
 5 purposes.

6 Companies who mailed First-Class Mail® Presort and Automation Letters  
 7 in FY 2010 qualify to take advantage of this initiative. The volume commitment  
 8 (threshold) is defined as the trend in a mailer's First-Class Mail Presort and  
 9 Automation Letter volumes in FY 2009 and FY 2010 plus 2.5%. Customers who  
 10 mailed only in FY 2010 will have their thresholds set to equal their FY 2010 First-  
 11 Class Mail® Presort Letters volumes.

12

13 Examples of Volume Threshold Calculations:

14 Scenario 1: Mailer shows negative volume trend:

15 Volumes in FY 2009: 1000 items

16 Volumes in FY 2010: 950 items ==> trend = -5% or - 50 items

17 Program year (volume threshold):  $950 \times (1 + (-5\%)) \times (1 + 2.5\%) =$   
 18  $925 \text{ items } (= \text{FY2010 volume} \times 0.95\% \text{ trend} + 2.5\%)$

1

2

Scenario 2: Mailer shows positive volume trend:

3

Volumes in FY 2009: 1000 items

4

Volumes in FY 2010: 1050 items ==&gt; trend = +5% or + 50 items

5

Program year (volume threshold):  $1050 \times (1 + (+5\%)) \times (1 + 2.5\%)$ 

6

= 1130 items (FY 2010 volume x 1.05% trend) + 2.5%

7

8

Participation in this initiative is contingent on the Postal Service and the

9

participating company being able to track all volume sent by the company during

10

specified time periods. The Postal Service will thus require each participating

11

company to provide monthly volumes for all First-Class Mail<sup>®</sup> Presort and

12

Automation Letters. In addition, customers who mail through mail service

13

providers (MSPs) must provide proof of those volumes and revenues to qualify.

14

MSPs can not participate directly in this program but will be expected to

15

support it by providing their mailing customers with their required volume data to

16

determine eligibility.

17

1                                   **Saturation and High Density Incentive Program**

2  
3           The Saturation and High Density Incentive Program proposes to provide  
4 an incentive in calendar year 2011 to current Standard Mail Saturation and High  
5 Density customers to increase their mail volume by rewarding them with a rebate  
6 on incremental mail pieces above a predetermined volume baseline. The volume  
7 baseline for each participant will be the aggregate total saturation and high  
8 density volume in calendar year 2010 plus 5.0 percent. The volume baseline is  
9 reflective of the forecasted growth projected to occur in FY2011 for these product  
10 categories. Incremental volumes above the customer baseline will be eligible for  
11 a rebate equal to 22 percent of the average revenue per piece for commercial  
12 Saturation Mail and 13 percent for commercial High Density mail, and 8 percent  
13 for Nonprofit categories. Similar to the existing Saturation Mail incentive that  
14 began in May 2009, this program is designed to encourage mailers to increase  
15 their frequency of mailing in existing markets and expand their reach into new  
16 markets.

17  
18           **Volume Threshold Definition:**

19           Participant threshold volume will be calculated based on the actual volume  
20 mailed during the CY 2010 plus an additional 5.0%. The additional 5.0% is equal  
21 to the aggregate forecasted growth for Saturation and High Density in FY 2011.  
22 In order to receive a rebate, the customer volume must exceed 105.0% of the  
23 threshold volume.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

Threshold Example Calculation:

$$\text{CY 2010 Volume} + \text{5.0 \% Growth} = \text{Calculated Saturation/HD Threshold}$$

$$100,000 + 5,000 = 105,000$$

**Customer Eligibility:**

All customers who apply and participate in the program must meet the following requirements:

1. The mailer must be a current Saturation or High Density customer with at least 6 combined Saturation or High Density mailings in FY 2010. The combined 6 or more mailing requirement is intended to identify current and frequent mailers of the product. The program is designed to reward loyalty and encourage additional mail. Additionally, the intent is to limit the ability of customers not currently using the products to enter markets with an unfair price advantage. This also helps maintain program administration efficiencies by limiting participation to only those customers with volumes significant enough to ensure positive contribution.
2. The mailer must be the permit holder (i.e. owner) of a permit imprint advance deposit account(s) at a Postal facility having PostalOne! capability or the owner of qualifying mail volume entered through the permit imprint advance deposit account of a mail service provider at a Postal facility having PostalOne! capability.

- 1           3. Only volume from mail owners will be eligible for inclusion in the  
2           program. Mail service providers and customers supplying inserts,  
3           enclosures or other components included in the Saturation or High  
4           Density mailings of another mailer are not eligible to participate in this  
5           program.
- 6           4. Each applicant must electronically submit postage statements and  
7           mailing documentation to the Postal One! system for the duration of  
8           the program period. Applicants choosing to participate within a defined  
9           market area(s) must electronically submit postage statements and  
10          mailing documentation to the Postal One! System using Mail.dat or  
11          Mail.XML. All other applicants may optionally submit postage  
12          statements through Postal Wizard.

13  
14       **Market Options:**

15           As in the existing Saturation Mail Incentive, participating customers will be  
16       given the option to participate under two different market models:

- 17           1. Total Market (or National) Volume – Customers are measured by their  
18           total national mail volume of Saturation and High Density mail.  
19           Customers must demonstrate increased volume of Saturation and High  
20           Density letters and flats over the base year for their total market.
- 21           2. Specific Geographic Markets - Customers participating in this market  
22           model will designate specific geographic target markets or specific  
23           USPS Sectional Center Facilities (SCFs) to demonstrate increased  
24

1 volume of their predetermined baseline. Customers can select up to 20  
2 individual SCF areas to participate and/or up to 5 target markets  
3 (consisting of multiple contiguous SCFs). These limitations on the  
4 number of SCF and markets which customers can participate are new  
5 based on experience gained from the current Saturation Mail incentive  
6 program. All geographic areas will be approved by the Postal Service  
7 during the application process.

8  
9 Customers will be required to have the qualifying 6 mailings during FY  
10 2010 in each market in which they plan to participate.

11 Participating customers will pay published prices throughout performance  
12 period (CY2011) for all Saturation and High Density volume. The incremental  
13 volume above the customer's baseline will be eligible for a rebate. The rebate  
14 amount for incremental volume will be based on a discount on the average  
15 revenue per piece during the performance period. The discount levels for each  
16 pricing category are:

17 Commercial Saturation Mail = 22%

18 Commercial High Density Mail = 13%

19 Non-Profit Saturation Mail = 8%

20 Non-Profit High Density Mail = 8%

21  
22 The program will have an application period, program year and rebate  
23 administration period as follows:

- 24 • The application period will run from October 2010 to December 2010

- 1       • Program years start in January 2011 and end after 12 months.
- 2       • Rebate administration and processing will commence 30 days
- 3       following the conclusion of the Program year and conclude by March
- 4       31, 2012.

1

2

3

4

5

6

## **APPENDIX B**

7

## **WORKSHARE DISCOUNTS TABLES**

8

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Single Piece Letters and Postcards**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount[1]	Avoided Cost[2]	Passthrough
<b>First-Class Mail Single Piece Letters</b>				
<b>Qualified Business Reply Mail Barcoding</b>				
QBRM	Handwritten Reply Mail	0.025	0.025	100.0%
<b>First-Class Mail Single Piece Postcards</b>				
<b>Qualified Business Reply Mail Barcoding</b>				
QBRM	Handwritten Reply Cards	0.025	0.025	100.0%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Discounts: Docket No. R2010-4, Exigent Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1105  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price
- [2] QBRM Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, Page 70

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--First-Class Mail Bulk Letters and Postcards 1**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount[1]	Avoided Cost[2]	Passthrough
<b>First-Class Mail Bulk Letters--Automation</b>				
<b>Barcoding &amp; Presorting (\$ / piece)</b>				
Automation Mixed AADC Letters	Bulk Metered Mail (BMM) Letters	0.055	0.046	119.6%
Automation AADC Letters	Automation Mixed AADC Letters	0.024	0.020	120.0%
Automation 3-digit Letters	Automation AADC Letters	0.003	0.003	100.0%
Automation 5-digit Letters	Automation 3-digit Letters	0.022	0.026	84.6%
<b>First-Class Mail Bulk Letters--Nonautomation</b>				
<b>Barcoding (\$ / piece)</b>				
Nonautomation Presort Letters	Bulk Metered Mail (BMM) Letters	0.027	0.052	51.9%
<b>First-Class Mail Bulk Cards--Automation</b>				
<b>Barcoding &amp; Presorting (\$ / piece)</b>				
Automation Mixed AADC Cards	Nonautomation Presort Cards	0.015	0.024	62.5%
Automation AADC Cards	Automation Mixed AADC Cards	0.010	0.010	100.0%
Automation 3-digit Cards	Automation AADC Cards	0.001	0.001	100.0%
Automation 5-digit Cards	Automation 3-digit Cards	0.012	0.012	100.0%

**Notes**

[1] Source of Discounts: Docket No. R2010-4, Exigent Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1105  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price

[2] Letters and Cards Presorting and Pre-barcoding Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, Pages 70 - 71

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--First-Class Mail Flats**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount[1]	Avoided Cost[2]	Passthrough
<b>First-Class Mail Flats--Automation</b>				
<b>Barcoding &amp; Presorting (\$ / piece)</b>				
Automation ADC Flats	Automation Mixed ADC Flats	<b>0.099</b>	<b>0.045</b>	220.0%
Automation 3-digit Flats	Automation ADC Flats	<b>0.072</b>	<b>0.064</b>	112.5%
Automation 5-digit Flats	Automation 3-digit Flats	<b>0.187</b>	<b>0.169</b>	110.7%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Discounts: Docket No. R2010-4, Exigent Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1115  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price
- [2] Flats Presorting and Pre-barcoding Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, Page 70

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--First-Class Mail Parcels**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount[1]	Avoided Cost[2]	Passthrough
<b>First-Class Mail Presorted/Business Parcels</b>				
<b>Barcoding &amp; Presorting (\$ / piece)</b>				
Presort 3-digit Parcels	Presort ADC Parcels	<b>0.086</b>	<b>0.592</b>	14.5%
Presort 5-digit Parcels	Presort 3-digit Parcels	<b>0.132</b>	<b>0.316</b>	41.8%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Discounts: Docket No. R2010-4, Exigent Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1120  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price
- [2] Parcels Presorting and Pre-barcoding Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, Page 70

Appendix B

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks using New Prices for Periodicals Outside County Mail**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount / (Surcharge) <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Periodicals Outside County Mail</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
Machinable Nonautomation ADC Flats	Machinable Nonautomation MADC Flats	\$ 0.038	\$ 0.038	100.0%
Machinable Nonautomation 3D/SCF Flats	Machinable Nonautomation ADC Flats	\$ 0.018	\$ 0.027	66.7%
Machinable Nonautomation 5D Flats	Machinable Nonautomation 3D/SCF Flats	\$ 0.105	\$ 0.101	104.0%
CR Basic	Machinable Nonautomation 5D Flats	\$ 0.116	\$ 0.151	76.8%
High Density	CR Basic	\$ 0.030	\$ 0.030	100.0%
Saturation	High Density	\$ 0.021	\$ 0.029	72.4%
Machinable Automation ADC Flats	Machinable Automation MADC Flats	\$ 0.029	\$ 0.029	100.0%
Machinable Automation 3D/SCF Flats	Machinable Automation ADC Flats	\$ 0.016	\$ 0.026	61.5%
Machinable Automation 5D Flats	Machinable Automation 3D/SCF Flats	\$ 0.100	\$ 0.089	112.4%
Nonmachinable Nonauto ADC Flats	Nonmachinable Nonauto MADC Flats	\$ 0.125	\$ 0.121	103.3%
Nonmachinable Nonauto 3D/SCF Flats	Nonmachinable Nonauto ADC Flats	\$ 0.080	\$ 0.045	177.8%
Nonmachinable Nonauto 5D Flats	Nonmachinable Nonauto 3D/SCF Flats	\$ 0.126	\$ 0.255	49.4%
Nonmachinable Automation ADC Flats	Nonmachinable Automation MADC Flats	\$ 0.102	\$ 0.119	85.7%
Nonmachinable Automation 3D/SCF Flats	Nonmachinable Automation ADC Flats	\$ 0.065	\$ 0.037	175.7%
Nonmachinable Automation 5D Flats	Nonmachinable Automation 3D/SCF Flats	\$ 0.116	\$ 0.216	53.7%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Machinable Automation MADC Flats	Machinable Nonautomation MADC Flats	\$ 0.034	\$ 0.033	103.0%
Nonmachinable Automation MADC Flats	Nonmachinable Nonauto MADC Flats	\$ 0.049	\$ 0.055	89.1%
<b>Presorting Automation Letters (dollars/piece)</b>				
ADC Automation Letter	Mixed ADC Automation Letter	\$ 0.043	\$ 0.017	252.9%
3-Digit Automation Letter	ADC Automation Letter	\$ 0.022	\$ 0.002	1100.0%
5-Digit Automation Letter	3-Digit Automation Letter	\$ 0.065	\$ 0.020	325.0%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Discounts: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1310  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price.
- [2] Presorting and Barcoding Cost Differences --Sources: ACD 2009

Periodicals Bundle and Container Pricing<sup>[1]</sup>

Bundle Pricing by Container Level

Container Level	Bundle Level	Price <sup>[2]</sup>	Bottom-up Cost <sup>[3]</sup>	Price as Percent of Cost
Mixed ADC	MADC	\$ 0.083	\$ 0.190	43.7%
	ADC	\$ 0.218	\$ 0.500	43.6%
	3-D/SCF	\$ 0.289	\$ 0.680	42.5%
	5-D	\$ 0.299	\$ 0.730	41.0%
	Firm Bundle	\$ 0.194	\$ 0.940	20.6%
ADC	ADC	\$ 0.120	\$ 0.280	42.9%
	3-D/SCF	\$ 0.198	\$ 0.460	43.0%
	5-D	\$ 0.216	\$ 0.510	42.4%
	CR	\$ 0.340	\$ 0.750	45.3%
	Firm Bundle	\$ 0.161	\$ 0.750	21.5%
3-D/SCF	3-D/SCF	\$ 0.135	\$ 0.280	48.2%
	5-D	\$ 0.157	\$ 0.330	47.6%
	CR	\$ 0.302	\$ 0.570	53.0%
	Firm Bundle	\$ 0.148	\$ 0.570	26.0%
5-D/CR	5-D	\$ 0.152	\$ 0.320	47.5%
	CR	\$ 0.159	\$ 0.320	49.7%
	Firm Bundle	\$ 0.083	\$ 0.320	25.9%

Pallet Pricing by Entry Point

Pallet Level	Entry Point	Price	Bottom-up Cost	Price as Percent of Cost
ADC	OSCF	\$ 33.947	\$ 67.920	50.0%
	OADC	\$ 33.947	\$ 60.860	55.8%
	OBMC	\$ 33.947	\$ 53.720	63.2%
	DBMC	\$ 24.270	\$ 45.150	53.8%
	DADC	\$ 13.435	\$ 25.090	53.5%
3-D/SCF	OSCF	\$ 40.237	\$ 80.500	50.0%
	OADC	\$ 40.237	\$ 74.830	53.8%
	OBMC	\$ 40.237	\$ 62.520	64.4%
	DBMC	\$ 25.787	\$ 48.770	52.9%
	DADC	\$ 22.428	\$ 42.850	52.3%
	DSCF	\$ 12.027	\$ 23.380	51.4%
5-D/CR	OSCF	\$ 50.853	\$ 101.740	50.0%
	OADC	\$ 50.853	\$ 89.310	56.9%
	OBMC	\$ 50.853	\$ 78.020	65.2%
	DBMC	\$ 34.564	\$ 65.300	52.9%
	DADC	\$ 32.722	\$ 61.980	52.8%
	DSCF	\$ 22.103	\$ 42.150	52.4%
	DDU	\$ 1.734	\$ 3.320	52.2%

Sack Pricing by Entry Point

Sack Level	Entry Point	Price	Bottom-up Cost	Price as Percent of Cost
Mixed ADC	OSCF	\$ 0.455	\$ 2.900	15.7%
	OADC	\$ 0.455	\$ 2.180	20.9%
ADC	OSCF	\$ 2.178	\$ 6.220	35.0%
	OADC	\$ 2.178	\$ 6.060	35.9%
	OBMC	\$ 2.178	\$ 5.360	40.6%
	DBMC	\$ 1.517	\$ 3.990	38.0%
	DADC	\$ 0.867	\$ 2.180	39.8%
3-D/SCF	OSCF	\$ 2.275	\$ 6.661	34.2%
	OADC	\$ 2.275	\$ 6.356	35.8%
	OBMC	\$ 2.275	\$ 5.566	40.9%
	DBMC	\$ 1.625	\$ 4.110	39.5%
	DADC	\$ 1.300	\$ 3.413	38.1%
	DSCF	\$ 0.867	\$ 2.180	39.8%
5-D/CR	OSCF	\$ 2.925	\$ 8.530	34.3%
	OADC	\$ 2.925	\$ 7.860	37.2%
	OBMC	\$ 2.925	\$ 7.120	41.1%
	DBMC	\$ 2.167	\$ 5.710	38.0%
	DADC	\$ 1.842	\$ 4.900	37.6%
	DSCF	\$ 1.409	\$ 3.750	37.6%
	DDU	\$ 0.975	\$ 2.580	37.8%

Notes

- [1] Based on Docket No. R2006-1, PRC-LR-14. Outside County Periodicals bundle and container rates were developed by passing through part of the respective costs, not cost differentials.
- [2] Source of Bundles & Container Prices: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1310
- [3] Bundle & Container Cost --Source: ACD 2009

## Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Periodicals Within County Mail

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount / (Surcharge) <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2][3]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Periodicals Within County Mail</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
3-Digit Presort	Basic Presort	\$ 0.013	\$ 0.054	24.1%
5-Digit Presort	3-Digit Presort	\$ 0.014	\$ 0.162	8.6%
CR Basic	5-Digit Presort	\$ 0.049	\$ 0.168	29.2%
High Density	CR Basic	\$ 0.016	\$ 0.030	53.3%
Saturation	High Density	\$ 0.016	\$ 0.029	55.2%
3-Digit Automation Letter	Basic Automation Letter	\$ 0.010	\$ 0.011	90.9%
5-Digit Automation Letter	3-Digit Automation Letter	\$ 0.002	\$ 0.020	10.0%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Basic Automation Flats	Basic Nonautomation	\$ 0.017	\$ 0.114	14.9%
3-Digit Automation Flats	3-Digit Nonautomation	\$ 0.013	\$ 0.091	14.3%
5-Digit Automation Flats	5-Digit Nonautomation Flats	\$ 0.006	\$ 0.027	22.2%
<b>Dropship (dollars/piece)</b>				
DDU Dropship	All other zones	\$ 0.009	\$ 0.029	31.0%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Discounts: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1305  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price.
- [2] Source of Avoided Cost: ACD 2009.
- [3] Basic Letters Mail Processing and Delivery Cost is the weighted average of MAADC and AADC costs utilized in the calculation of cost avoidance between Basic and 3-Digit Letters

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Standard Mail Letters (Commercial and Nonprofit)**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Standard Mail Letters--Automation</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
Automation AADC Letters	Automation Mixed AADC Letters	\$0.017	\$0.017	100.0%
Automation 3-digit Letters	Automation AADC Letters	\$0.002	\$0.002	100.0%
Automation 5-digit Letters	Automation 3-digit Letters	\$0.020	\$0.020	100.0%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Automation Mixed AADC Letters	Nonautomation Machinable Mixed ADC Letters	\$0.003	-\$0.005	See Note [3]
<b>Standard Mail Letters--Nonautomation</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Nonautomation AADC Machinable Letters	Nonautomation Mixed AADC Machinable Letters	\$0.017	\$0.000	See Note [3]
Nonautomation ADC Nonmachinable Letters	Nonautomation Mixed ADC Nonmachinable Letters	\$0.112	\$0.112	100.0%
Nonautomation 3-digit Nonmachinable Letters	Nonautomation ADC Nonmachinable Letters	\$0.026	\$0.026	100.0%
Nonautomation 5-digit Nonmachinable Letters	Nonautomation 3-digit Nonmachinable Letters	\$0.115	\$0.115	100.0%
<b>Standard Mail Letters</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Letters	Origin Letters	\$0.160	\$0.186	86.0%
DSCF Letters	Origin Letters	\$0.203	\$0.236	86.0%

**Notes**

[1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1220

[2] Drop Ship Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 91

Letters Presorting and Pre-barcoding Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 91

Delivery Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 91

[3] The Postal Service letters mail processing cost model only estimates costs for the combined nonautomation machinable AADC and Mixed AADC categories.

Appendix B

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Standard Mail Flats (Commercial and Nonprofit)**

<b>Type of Worksharing</b>	<b>Benchmark</b>	<b>Discount<sup>[1]</sup></b>	<b>Avoided Cost<sup>[2]</sup></b>	<b>Passthrough</b>
<b>Standard Mail Flats--Automation</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
Automation ADC Flats	Automation Mixed ADC Flats	<b>\$0.000</b>	<b>\$0.000</b>	
Automation 3-digit Flats	Automation ADC Flats	<b>\$0.063</b>	<b>\$0.063</b>	100.0%
Automation 5-digit Flats	Automation 3-digit Flats	<b>\$0.095</b>	<b>\$0.132</b>	72.0%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Automation Mixed ADC Flats	Nonautomation Mixed ADC Flats	<b>\$0.077</b>	<b>\$0.031</b>	248.4%
<b>Standard Mail Flats--Nonautomation</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
Nonautomation ADC Flats	Nonautomation Mixed ADC Flats	<b>\$0.058</b>	<b>\$0.058</b>	100.0%
Nonautomation 3-digit Flats	Nonautomation ADC Flats	<b>\$0.070</b>	<b>\$0.070</b>	100.0%
Nonautomation 5-digit Flats	Nonautomation 3-digit Flats	<b>\$0.085</b>	<b>\$0.085</b>	100.0%
<b>Standard Mail Flats</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Flats	Origin Flats	<b>\$0.169</b>	<b>\$0.188</b>	89.9%
DSCF Flats	Origin Flats	<b>\$0.212</b>	<b>\$0.221</b>	95.9%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1225  
 [2] Drop Ship Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 92  
 Flats Presorting and Pre-barcoding Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, pages 91-92  
 Delivery Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, pages 91-92

## Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Standard Mail Parcels and NFMs (Commercial and Nonprofit)

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Standard Mail Parcels</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
BMC Machinable Parcels	Mixed BMC Machinable Parcels	\$0.348	\$0.218	159.6%
5-digit Machinable Parcels	BMC Machinable Parcels	\$0.364	\$0.413	88.1%
BMC Irregular Parcels	Mixed BMC Irregular Parcels	\$0.708	\$0.984	72.0%
SCF Irregular Parcels	BMC Irregular Parcels	\$0.492	\$0.984	50.0%
5-digit Irregular Parcels	SCF Irregular Parcels	\$0.270	\$0.182	148.4%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Mixed BMC Machinable Barcoded Parcels	Mixed BMC Machinable Nonbarcoded Parcels	\$0.070	See Note [3]	
Mixed BMC Irregular Barcoded Parcels	Mixed BMC Irregular Nonbarcoded Parcels	\$0.070	See Note [3]	
<b>Standard Mail NFMs</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
BMC NFMs (Irregular Parcels)	Mixed BMC NFMs (Irregular Parcels)	\$0.920	\$0.984	93.5%
SCF NFMs (Irregular Parcels)	BMC NFMs (Irregular Parcels)	\$0.366	\$0.984	37.2%
5-digit NFMs (Irregular Parcels)	SCF NFMs (Irregular Parcels)	\$0.073	\$0.182	40.1%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Mixed BMC Barcoded NFMs	Mixed BMC Nonbarcoded NFMs	\$0.070	See Note [3]	
<b>Standard Mail Machinable Parcels</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Machinable Parcels	Origin Machinable Parcels	\$0.208	\$0.770	27.0%
DSCF Machinable Parcels	Origin Machinable Parcels	\$0.583	\$1.060	55.0%
DDU Machinable Parcels	Origin Machinable Parcels	\$0.834	\$1.159	72.0%
<b>Standard Mail Irregular Parcels, NFMs</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Irregular Parcels, NFMs	Origin Irregular Parcels, NFMs	\$0.208	\$0.770	27.0%
DSCF Irregular Parcels, NFMs	Origin Irregular Parcels, NFMs	\$0.583	\$1.060	55.0%
DDU Irregular Parcels, NFMs	Origin Irregular Parcels, NFMs	\$0.834	\$1.159	72.0%

## Notes

[1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1230

[2] Drop Ship Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 92  
 Parcels / NFMs Presorting Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 92  
 Delivery Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 92

[3] The Postal Service Standard Mail NFM / Parcel mail processing cost model does not estimate costs separately for pre-barcoded and non-barcoded pieces.  
 Based on the cost savings for BPM Parcels, the non-barcoded surcharge for Standard Mail parcels and NFMs implies a passthrough of 184%

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Standard Mail Carrier Route Letters, Flats, Parcels (Commercial and Nonprofit)**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Standard Mail CR Letters, Flats, Parcels</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Letters	Origin Letters	0.169	\$0.186	90.9%
DSCF Letters	Origin Letters	0.212	\$0.236	89.8%
DBMC Flats	Origin Flats	0.169	\$0.188	89.9%
DSCF Flats	Origin Flats	0.212	\$0.221	95.9%
DDU Flats	Origin Flats	0.256	\$0.261	98.1%
DBMC Parcels	Origin Parcels	0.208	\$0.770	27.0%
DSCF Parcels	Origin Parcels	0.583	\$1.060	55.0%
DDU Parcels	Origin Parcels	0.834	\$1.159	72.0%

**Notes**

[1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1215

[2] Drop Ship Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 90

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Standard Mail High Density and Saturation Letters (Commercial and Nonprofit)**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Standard Mail HD / Saturation Letters</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Letters	Origin Letters	0.160	\$0.186	86.0%
DSCF Letters	Origin Letters	0.203	\$0.236	86.0%

---

**Notes**

[1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1205

[2] Drop Ship Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 90

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Standard Mail High Density and Saturation Flats and Parcels (Commercial and Nonprofit)**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost[2]	Passthrough
<b>Standard Mail HD / Saturation Flats and Parcels</b>				
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / pound)</b>				
DBMC Flats	Origin Flats	0.169	\$0.188	89.9%
DSCF Flats	Origin Flats	0.212	\$0.221	95.9%
DDU Flats	Origin Flats	0.256	\$0.261	98.1%
DBMC Parcels	Origin Parcels	0.208	\$0.770	27.0%
DSCF Parcels	Origin Parcels	0.583	\$1.060	55.0%
DDU Parcels	Origin Parcels	0.834	\$1.159	72.0%

**Notes**

[1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1210

[2] Drop Ship Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 90

**Cost and Price Differences and Benchmarks--Standard Mail Carrier Route, HD and Saturation Letters, Flats and Parcels (Commercial and Nonprofit)**

Type of Mail	Benchmark	Price Difference <sup>[1]</sup>	Cost Difference <sup>[2]</sup>	Price Diff. / Cost Diff.
<b>Standard Mail Carrier Route (formerly Basic), High Density and Saturation Letters</b>				
High Density Letters	Carrier Route Letters	0.075	\$0.0890	84.3%
Saturation Letters	High Density Letters	0.011	-\$0.0020	-550.0%
<b>Standard Mail Carrier Route (formerly Basic), High Density and Saturation Flats</b>				
High Density Flats	Carrier Route Flats	0.047	\$0.0540	87.0%
Saturation Flats	High Density Flats	0.025	\$0.0390	64.1%
<b>Standard Mail Carrier Route (formerly Basic), High Density and Saturation Parcels</b>				
High Density Parcels	Carrier Route Parcels	0.122	-\$2.4940	-4.9%
Saturation Parcels	High Density Parcels	0.008	\$2.8510	0.3%

**Notes**

[1] Source of Price Differences: Request, Attachment A, Schedules 1205, 1210, 1215

[2] Mail Processing Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 89

Delivery Cost Differences--Source: ACD 2009, Chapter 7, page 89

## Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Media Mail and Library Mail

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>Media Mail</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
Basic	Single Piece	<b>0.37</b>	<b>0.37</b>	100.0%
5-digit	Basic	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.24</b>	145.8%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Single Piece Barcoded	Single Piece Non-barcoded	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.04</b>	75.0%
<b>Library Mail</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)</b>				
Basic	Single Piece	<b>0.35</b>	<b>0.37</b>	94.6%
5-digit	Basic	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.24</b>	137.5%
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Single Piece Barcoded	Single Piece Non-barcoded	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.04</b>	75.0%

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Bound Printed Matter Flats**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>BPM Flats</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Basic Flats	Single Piece Flats	0.409	See Note [3]	
Carrier Route Flats	Basic Flats	0.120	0.150	80.0%
<b>Presorting (dollars / pound)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Basic, Carrier Route Flats	Single Piece Flats			
Zones 1&2	Zones 1&2	0.052	See Note [3]	
Zone 3	Zone 3	0.060	See Note [3]	
Zone 4	Zone 4	0.059	See Note [3]	
Zone 5	Zone 5	0.074	See Note [3]	
Zone 6	Zone 6	0.074	See Note [3]	
Zone 7	Zone 7	0.071	See Note [3]	
Zone 8	Zone 8	0.087	See Note [3]	
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)<sup>[4]</sup></b>				
Single Piece Automatable Flats	Single Piece Nonautomatable Flats	0.030	See Note [4]	
Basic Automatable Flats	Basic Nonautomatable Flats	0.030	See Note [4]	
Carrier Route Automatable Flats	Carrier Route Nonautomatable Flats	0.030	See Note [4]	
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / piece)</b>				
Basic, Carrier Route DBMC Flats	Basic Origin Flats	0.217	\$0.217	100.0%
Basic, Carrier Route DSCF Flats	Basic Origin Flats	0.630	\$0.630	100.0%
Basic, Carrier Route DDU Flats	Basic Origin Flats	0.761	\$0.761	100.0%

**Notes**

- [1] Source of Discounts: Request, Attachment A, Schedule 1415  
Discounts are calculated by subtracting the price in question from the benchmark price.
- [2] Presorting and Pre-barcoding Cost Differences (Per Piece)--Source: ACD 2009  
Drop Ship Cost Differences (Per Piece)--Source: ACD 2009
- [3] The BPM cost model does not estimate cost differences between single piece and presorted BPM. Single piece BPM is a residual category with low volume and adequate data are not available. Previously, rate differences between single piece and presorted BPM were based on an assumption that unit mail processing costs for single piece BPM were twice that of presorted BPM.  
See Docket No R2006-1, USPS-T-38, p. 8.
- [4] Separate estimates of pre-barcoding cost savings are not available for BPM flats. Based on the cost savings for BPM Parcels, the pre-barcoding discount for BPM flats implies a passthrough of 78.9%

Appendix B

**Workshare Discounts and Benchmarks--Bound Printed Matter Parcels**

Type of Worksharing	Benchmark	Discount <sup>[1]</sup>	Avoided Cost <sup>[2]</sup>	Passthrough
<b>BPM Parcels / IPPs</b>				
<b>Presorting (dollars / piece)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Basic Parcels / IPPs	Single Piece Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.636</b>	See Note [3]	
Carrier Route Parcels / IPPs	Basic Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.120</b>	<b>0.150</b>	80.0%
<b>Presorting (dollars / pound)<sup>[3]</sup></b>				
Basic, Carrier Route Parcels / IPPs	Single Piece Parcels / IPPs			
Zones 1&2	Zones 1&2	<b>0.039</b>	See Note [3]	
Zone 3	Zone 3	<b>0.033</b>	See Note [3]	
Zone 4	Zone 4	<b>0.032</b>	See Note [3]	
Zone 5	Zone 5	<b>0.033</b>	See Note [3]	
Zone 6	Zone 6	<b>0.035</b>	See Note [3]	
Zone 7	Zone 7	<b>0.027</b>	See Note [3]	
Zone 8	Zone 8	<b>0.024</b>	See Note [3]	
<b>Pre-barcoding (dollars / piece)</b>				
Single Piece Barcoded Parcels / IPPs	Single Piece Nonbarcoded Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.038</b>	78.9%
Basic Barcoded Parcels / IPPs	Basic Nonbarcoded Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.038</b>	78.9%
Carrier Route Barcoded Parcels / IPPs	Carrier Route Nonbarcoded Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.030</b>	<b>0.038</b>	78.9%
<b>Drop Ship (dollars / piece)</b>				
Basic, Carrier Route DBMC Parcels / IPPs	Basic Origin Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.217</b>	<b>0.217</b>	100.0%
Basic, Carrier Route DSCF Parcels / IPPs	Basic Origin Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.623</b>	<b>0.630</b>	98.9%
Basic, Carrier Route DDU Parcels / IPPs	Basic Origin Parcels / IPPs	<b>0.761</b>	<b>0.761</b>	100.0%