

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Before Commissioners:

Ruth Y. Goldway, Chairman;
Tony L. Hammond, Vice Chairman;
Mark Acton;
Dan G. Blair; and
Nanci E. Langley

Competitive Product Prices
Global Expedited Package Services 2 (CP2009-50)
Negotiated Services Agreement

Docket Nos. CP2010-60
CP2010-61
CP2010-62
CP2010-63

ORDER APPROVING FOUR ADDITIONAL
GLOBAL EXPEDITED PACKAGE SERVICES 2
NEGOTIATED SERVICE AGREEMENTS

(Issued June 22, 2010)

I. INTRODUCTION

The Postal Service proposes to add four specific Global Expedited Package Services contracts to the Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) product established in Docket No. CP2009-50. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the proposed contracts.

II. BACKGROUND

On June 14, 2010, the Postal Service filed a notice announcing that it has entered into four additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 (GEPS 2) contracts.¹ The Postal Service believes the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to previously submitted GEPS 2 contracts, and are supported by Governors' Decision No. 08-7, attached to the Notice and originally filed in Docket No. CP2008-4. *Id.* at 1-2, Attachment 3. The Notice also explains that Order No. 86, which established GEPS 1 as a product, also authorized functionally equivalent agreements to be included within the product, provided that they meet the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. *Id.* at 1. In Order No. 290, the Commission approved the GEPS 2 product.²

The Postal Service filed the instant contracts pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5. In addition, the Postal Service contends that each contract is in accordance with Order No. 86. The term of each contract is one year from the date the Postal Service notifies the customer that all necessary regulatory approvals have been received. Notice at 2-3. In support of its Notice, the Postal Service filed four attachments as follows:

- Attachments 1A, 1B, 1C and 1D—redacted copies of the four contracts and applicable annexes;
- Attachments 2A, 2B, 2C and 2D—a certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2) for each of the four contracts;
- Attachment 3—a redacted copy of Governors' Decision No. 08–7 which establishes prices and classifications for GEPS contracts, a description of applicable GEPS contracts, formulas for prices, an analysis and certification of the formulas and certification of the Governors' vote; and

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of Four Functionally Equivalent Global Expedited Package Services 2 Negotiated Service Agreements and Application for Non-Public Treatment of Materials Filed Under Seal, June 14, 2010 (Notice).

² Docket No. CP2009–50, Order Granting Clarification and Adding Global Expedited Package Services 2 to the Competitive Product List, August 28, 2009 (Order No. 290).

- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contracts and supporting documents under seal.

The Notice advances reasons why the instant GEPS 2 contracts fit within the Mail Classification Schedule language for GEPS 2. The Postal Service identifies customer-specific information, general contract terms, and other differences that distinguish the instant contracts from the baseline GEPS 2 agreement, all of which are highlighted in the Notice. *Id.* at 3-6. These modifications as described in the Postal Service’s Notice apply to each of the instant contracts.

The Postal Service contends that the instant contracts are functionally equivalent to previously filed GEPS contracts and are substantially similar to that in Docket No. CP2009-50 in terms of the product being offered, the market in which it is offered, and its cost characteristics. *Id.* at 2-3. *See also id.* at 6. (“[T]he relevant cost and market characteristics are similar, if not the same, for these four contracts and the baseline GEPS 2 contract.”)

The Postal Service also contends that its filings demonstrate that each of the new GEPS 2 contracts complies with the requirements of 39 U.S.C. 3633. It requests that the contracts be included within the GEPS 2 product. *Id.* at 7.

In Order No. 472, the Commission gave notice of the docket, appointed a Public Representative, and provided the public with an opportunity to comment.³

III. COMMENTS

Comments were filed by the Public Representative.⁴ No other interested person submitted comments. The Public Representative states that each applicable element of 39 U.S.C. 3633(a) appears to be met by this additional GEPS 2 contract. *Id.* at 1. He

³ Notice and Order Concerning Filing of Four Additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, June 15, 2010 (Order No. 472).

⁴ Public Representative Comments in Response to United States Postal Service Filing of Four Additional Global Expedited Package Services 2 Negotiated Service Agreements, June 22, 2010 (Public Representative Comments).

also affirms that the Postal Service's filing complies with applicable Commission rules. *Id.*

The Public Representative observes that the instant contracts have incidental differences from prior GEPS 2 contracts because of negotiation with individual mailers. He relates that the modifications to the instant contracts are not significant enough to alter their functional equivalence with prior GEPS 2 contracts. *Id.* at 2. The Public Representative states that his review of the materials under seal indicates that the instant contracts comply with the pricing formula established in Governors' Decision No. 08-7, are functionally equivalent to the other contracts within the GEPS 2 (CP2009-50) classification, and are appropriately established as a competitive product. *Id.* at 2-3.

The Public Representative states that each contract appears to comply with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), should not lead to the subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products, should cover its attributable costs, and should have a positive net contribution to institutional costs. *Id.*

He concludes that the instant contracts' terms are beneficial to the Postal Service and the general public. *Id.* at 3.

IV. COMMISSION ANALYSIS

The Postal Service proposes to add four contracts under the GEPS 2 product that was created in Docket No. CP2009-50.

First, the Commission reviews the contracts to ensure that they are substantially equivalent to the pre-existing contracts classified as part of the GEPS 2 product and thus belong as part of that product. Second, the Commission must ensure that the contracts at issue in this proceeding satisfy the requirements of rules 3015.5 and 3015.7, and 39 U.S.C. 3633.

Functional equivalence. The Postal Service states that the instant contracts differ from the contract in Docket No. CP2009-50 in two ways: (1) customer-specific information, e.g., customer's name, address, representative, signatory and provisions

clarifying tender locations, minimum revenue and/or volume commitment and related penalties; and (2) revisions in general terms which address treatment of confidential information, reference updates, optional acceptance of non-qualifying mail including postage and penalties, availability of pickup service, definitions, title changes, postage due and penalties for improper tender, and fraud. Notice at 3-6. There are also other modifications which the Postal Service characterizes as minor and include reference to mail exclusions, website changes, and editing of provisions for clarity. *Id.* at 6. The modifications appear to be minor edits.

The instant contracts appear to be similar to that filed in Docket No. CP2009-50. They differ in some minor respects relative to customer-specific information and revisions that add negotiated terms or clarify specific provisions. These differences notwithstanding, the Commission concludes that the instant contracts may be included in the GEPS 2 product.

Cost considerations. The Commission reviews competitive products to ensure that they meet the applicable requirements of rules 3015.5 and 3015.7, and 39 U.S.C. 3633. The Commission has reviewed the financial analyses provided under seal that accompanies the agreements as well as the comments filed in this proceeding.

Based on the information provided, the Commission finds that the contracts submitted should cover their attributable costs (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(2)), should not lead to the subsidization of competitive products by market dominant products (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(1)), and should have a positive effect on competitive products' contribution to institutional costs (39 U.S.C. 3633(a)(3)). Thus, a preliminary review of the proposed contracts indicates that they comport with the provisions applicable to rates for competitive products.

Other considerations. Each of the instant contracts states that the Postal Service will notify the mailer of its effective date within 30 days after receiving all necessary regulatory approvals and will remain in effect for one year from the effective date. The Postal Service shall notify the Commission of the effective dates of each of these

contracts. If any of the contracts terminate earlier than scheduled, the Postal Service shall inform the Commission prior to the new termination date.

In addition, within 30 days of the expiration of each contract, the Postal Service shall file cost, volumes, and revenues disaggregated by weight and country group associated with that contract, including any penalties paid.

In conclusion, the Commission finds that the contracts submitted in Docket Nos. CP2010-60, CP2010-61, CP2010-62, CP2010-63, and CP2010-64 are appropriately included within the GEPS 2 product.

V. ORDERING PARAGRAPHS

It is Ordered:

1. The contracts filed in Docket Nos. CP2010-60, CP2010-61, CP2010-62, CP2010-63, and CP2010-64 are included within the product Global Expedited Package Services 2 (CP2009-50).
2. The Postal Service shall notify the Commission of the effective date of each contract and update the Commission if any of the termination dates change as discussed in this Order.
3. Within 30 days of the expiration of each contract, the Postal Service shall file cost, volumes, and revenues disaggregated by weight and country group associated with that contract, including any penalties paid.

By the Commission.

Shoshana M. Grove
Secretary