

constituting GameFly's direct case against the Postal Service.³ The Postal Service may also question Mr. Glick on GameFly's answers to Postal Service discovery that have been filed prior to the hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:
Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product
Support

Kenneth N. Hollies
James M. Mecone

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-3083
(202) 268-6525, Fax -3084
June 10, 2010

³ *E.g.*, Memorandum of GameFly, Inc. Summarizing Documentary Evidence, PRC Docket No. C2009-1 (April 12, 2010). Mr. Glick is currently the only witness presented by GameFly to support its Complaint alleging discrimination by the Postal Service. The Postal Service reserves the right to cross-examine a currently unidentified GameFly institutional witness on issues related to GameFly's interrogatory answers and interpretations of Postal Service documents put forth in the Memorandum of GameFly, Inc., Summarizing Documentary Evidence. Other pleadings in this docket establish the necessity of the currently unidentified GameFly institutional witness. See, e.g., Motion of the United States Postal Service to Modify Schedule (June 3, 2010).