

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

ANNUAL COMPLIANCE REPORT, 2009

Docket No. ACR2009

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO  
QUESTION 24 OF CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 4  
(February 25, 2010)

Chairman's Information Request No. 4 was issued on February 5, 2010. Responses were requested by February 16, 2010. Attached is the Postal Service's response to Question 24. The request is stated verbatim, and followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Pricing & Product Support

---

Eric P. Koetting

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-2992, FAX: -5402  
February 25, 2010

**RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO  
CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 4**

24. Please refer to the response to CHIR No. 1, Question 21, which requested that the Postal Service provide source documents for the unit revenue and unit cost figures. In its response, the Postal Service provides a table that displays the development of the unit cost figure (see table below). Please show the derivation of the volume figures (column 1) for each service under Address List Services.

<b>Address List Services</b>			<b><u>Volume</u></b>	<b><u>Unit Cost</u></b>	<b><u>Total Cost</u></b>
			[1]	[2]	[3]
ZIP Coding of Mailing Lists			14	85.03	1,190.46
Correction of Mailing Lists			59,814	0.36	21,274.88
Carrier Sequencing of Address Cards			-	85.03	-
Address Changes for Election Boards			35,265	0.36	12,543.20
<b>Total</b>			<b>95,093</b>		<b>35,008.54</b>

**RESPONSE:**

The volume for ZIP Coding of Mailing Lists was derived by dividing the total annual revenue by the weighted average fee of \$117.792. (A weighted average fee is necessary because there was a fee change in the middle of the fiscal year, and thus two fees were in effect over the course of the year. Each of the two fees is weighted by the number of days it was in effect during the year. Assuming that volume was evenly distributed over the course of the fiscal year, dividing the annual revenue by the weighted average fee generates an estimate of annual volume.)

The volume for Correction of Mailing Lists was derived by dividing the total annual revenue by the weighted average fee of \$ 0.345584. The revenue figure used is a combined revenue for both Correction of Mailing Lists and Sequencing of Address Cards, but we have no way of separating the two services. Based on the volumes

**RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO  
CHAIRMAN'S INFORMATION REQUEST NO. 4**

provided in the 2008 ACR, we chose to assume a volume of zero for Sequencing Address Cards again this year.

The volume for Address Changes for Election Boards was derived by dividing the total annual revenue by the weighted average fee of \$ 0.341168.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document in accordance with Section 12 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure.

---

Eric P. Koetting

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-2992, FAX: -5402  
February 25, 2010