

BEFORE THE
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

STATION AND BRANCH OPTIMIZATION AND
CONSOLIDATION INITIATIVE, 2009

Docket No. N2009-1

COMPELLED RESPONSE OF
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MATALIK TO
AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION INTERROGATORY APWU/USPS-T2-8
(September 21, 2009)

In accordance with Presiding Officer's Ruling No. N20009-1/4, the United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness Matalik to the following interrogatory of the American Postal Workers Union: APWU/USPS-T2-8. The interrogatory is stated verbatim and followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:
Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Pricing and Product Support

Michael T. Tidwell
Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2998; Fax -5402
michael.t.tidwell@usps.gov

**RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MATALIK
TO INTERROGATORY OF AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION**

APWU/USPS-T2-8

For each of the station and branch closures FY2005-FY2008 reported to the PRC in this docket, state whether or not a Contract Postal Unit (CPU) existed in the area served by the station or branch and, where such a CPU did exist, provide a copy of the contract for the period in which the station or branch closed.

RESPONSE

I have determined that there is a Contract Postal Unit in the same 5-digit ZIP Code of one of the stations referenced in revised Table 1 of my testimony: the East Liverpool OH station, which was closed in FY 2005. In accordance with Presiding Officer's Ruling N2009-1/4, the contract is not being provided.