

Before the  
POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001

Competitive Product Prices  
Express Mail & Priority Mail  
Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8

Docket No. MC2009-33

Competitive Product Prices  
Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8  
(MC2009-33)  
Negotiated Service Agreement

Docket No. CP2009-44

PUBLIC REPRESENTATIVE COMMENTS IN RESPONSE TO  
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE REQUEST TO ADD EXPRESS MAIL &  
PRIORITY MAIL CONTRACT 8 TO COMPETITIVE PRODUCT LIST AND NOTICE OF  
ESTABLISHMENT OF RATES AND CLASS NOT OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

(July 15, 2009)

In response to Order No. 241,<sup>1</sup> the Public Representative hereby comments on the July 2 Request of the United States Postal Service to add Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 to the Competitive Product List, including the July 6 revised filing in these Dockets, providing attachments inadvertently omitted (Request).

The Request comports with title 39 stipulations and the relevant Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure. It appears, therefore to be beneficial to the general public.

---

<sup>1</sup> Notice and Order Concerning Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 Negotiated Service Agreement, July 7, 2009.

## **Discussion**

The Public Representative has accessed and reviewed all materials the United States Postal Service submitted under seal in this matter, documentation in its original (not redacted) version. The Request (and its accompanying documentation) is persuasive. Each element of 39 USC 3633(a) appears to be met by this Express Mail and Priority Mail Contract 8. The Postal Service's recording and filing of the Governors' Decision (regarding the pricing and classification of the instant contract) comports with the requirements of 39 USC 3632(b)(3).

For a competitive products pricing schedule *not of general applicability*,<sup>2</sup> the Postal Service must demonstrate that the contract will comply with 39 USC 3633(a): It will not allow market dominant products to subsidize competitive products, it will ensure that each competitive product covers its attributable costs; and enable competitive products as a whole to cover their costs (contributing a minimum of 5.5 percent to the Postal Service's total institutional costs). In the Governors' June 30 Decision 09-11 (at 2), the Governors assert that these conditions are met.

## **Accountability and Confidentiality**

The Postal Service Request contains a rationale for maintaining confidentiality concerning pricing, processes which enable discounted pricing, the attendant formulae and other contractual terms which are matters of commercial sensitivity.<sup>3</sup> Here, it would

---

<sup>2</sup> See 39 CFR 3015.5-7.

<sup>3</sup> Postal Service Request, at 2-3.

appear that the Postal Service has concisely justified the extent of confidentiality appropriate in this matter, providing a brief explanation for maintaining the confidentiality of each aspect of the matters remaining under seal. It appears that the Postal Service has made a good faith effort to supply enough pertinent details to demonstrate the need for material under seal to be protected.

### **The Agreement**

The agreement features a number of provisions that are mutually beneficial to the parties, and beneficial to the general public. Components of this three-year contract which suggest positive results:

- Prices are set to increase for the second and third years of the contract.
- The contract partner is a high- volume mailer entering mailpieces in bulk.
- The contract partner agrees to use PC Postage to pay for Express and Priority Mail pieces covered by this NSA.

The pricing formula approved in Governors' Decision 09-10 should assure that there is no subsidization of this EMS and Priority Mail negotiated service agreement by market dominant products.

### **Conclusion**

The Public Representative submits that the present Express Mail & Priority Mail Contract 8 agreement complies with title 39. The costing data submitted by the Postal Service indicates that it will not allow market dominant products to subsidize competitive products; it will ensure that each competitive product covers its attributable costs; and enable competitive products as a whole to cover their costs (contributing a minimum of 5.5 percent to the Postal Service's total institutional costs). The Postal Service request also fulfills all relevant requirements for Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure.

The Public Representative respectfully offers the preceding Comments for the Commission's consideration.

---

Paul L. Harrington  
Public Representative

901 New York Ave., NW Suite 200  
Washington, D.C. 20268-0001  
(202) 789-6867; Fax (202) 789-6883  
e-mail: [paul.harrington@prc.gov](mailto:paul.harrington@prc.gov)