

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. R2006-1

REVISED RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO INTERROGATORY OF DAVID B. POPKIN [ERRATA]
(DBP/USPS-471)
(August 30, 2006)

The United States Postal Service hereby files a revised institutional response to interrogatory DBP/USPS-471. The interrogatory suggests that a form used for insured mail was omitted in responding to interrogatory DBP/USPS-124(e). In trying to respond during a busy period of the rate case, the Postal Service provided a form that does not apply to insured mail. In fact, no form is used for the aspect of insured mail about which Mr. Popkin inquires, as the revised response states. This response supersedes the original response filed on August 21, 2006.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2986, Fax -6187

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO INTERROGATORY OF DAVID B. POPKIN

Revised August 30, 2006

DBP/USPS-471. Please refer to your response to Interrogatory DBP/USPS-124 subpart e. Your response did not discuss or provide copies of the forms utilized for the methods by which Insured mailpieces will be accounted for when given to the delivery carriers at a given post office.

RESPONSE:

No forms were provided, because no form is used when the piece is given to the delivery carrier (other than the Form 3849 discussed in the response to DBP/USPS-124(e)).