

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

EVOLUTIONARY NETWORK DEVELOPMENT
SERVICE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. N2006-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS WILLIAMS
TO QUESTION POSED BY OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE
DURING HEARINGS ON JULY 19, 2006

The United States Postal Service hereby gives notice that it is filing the response of witness David Williams to a question posed during hearings on July 19, 2006, at the following transcript citation: Tr. 3/619-20. The question is paraphrased and followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2998; Fax -5402
July 26, 2006
michael.t.tidwell@usps.gov

**RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS WILLIAMS
TO QUESTION POSED BY THE OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE
AT HEARINGS**

Tr. 3/619-20 ---- QUESTION:

In reference to the Marina CA AMP Post-Implementation Review, is there any indication that the anticipated savings have not occurred from the consolidation?

RESPONSE

The original projected annual Marina AMP savings of \$17.4 million was modified to \$14.6 million, when it was discovered that the original estimate had double-counted some EAS savings. The current PIR estimate of realized annual savings being reviewed by Mr. Galligan is \$16.9 million, which is \$2.3 million above \$14.6 million projection.