

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

EVOLUTIONARY NETWORK DEVELOPMENT  
SERVICE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. N2006-1

NOTICE OF FILING OF REVISED RESPONSE OF  
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS SHAH  
TO INTERROGATORY OF AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO  
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS WILLIAMS  
[APWU/USPS-T2-20] [ERRATUM]  
(July 14, 2006)

The United States Postal Service hereby files a revised response of Postal Service witness Shah to APWU/USPS-T2-20, redirected from witness Williams. The first sentence of the response should have read "The END optimization model confirmed the 10 AMPs as potential candidates for consolidation," rather than "The END optimization model identified the 10 AMPs as potential candidates for consolidation." The correction is made in the attached revised response. This revised response supersedes the original response filed on April 25, 2006. The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the revised response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

---

Sheela A. Portonovo

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-3012, Fax -6187

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS SHAH  
TO INTERROGATORY OF AMERICAN POSTAL WORKERS UNION, AFL-CIO  
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS WILLIAMS

Revised July 14, 2004

**APWU/USPS-T2-20** For the 10 AMP projects used for END testing and presented in Library Reference N2006-1/5, did the END optimization models play a role? Please describe the optimization model role separate from the simulation model role for each of these 10 studies.

**RESPONSE:**

The END optimization model confirmed the 10 AMPs as potential candidates for consolidation. The END simulation model was used to test the feasibility of this recommendation.