DOCKET SECTION

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

RECEIVED Oct 16 4 44 PM '97

POSTAL RATE OCHHISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Docket No. R97-1

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 1997

1.1

REVISED RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS PATELUNAS TO INTERROGATORY OF NASHUA PHOTO INC., DISTRICT PHOTO INC., MYSTIC COLOR LAB AND SEATTLE FILMWORKS, INC. (NDMS/USPS-T15-1)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness

Patelunas to the following interrogatory of Nashua Photo Inc., District Photo Inc.,

Mystic Color Lab, and Seattle Filmworks, Inc.: NDMS/USPS-T15-1, filed on

September 17, 1997. The original response was filed on September 29, 1997. The

attachment to the response has not been revised; it is attached again for the

convenience of participants.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

() and

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268--2990; Fax -5402 October 16, 1997

Response of United States Postal Service Witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of Nashua Photo, District Photo, Mystic Color Lab and Seattle Filmworks (Revised 10/16/97)

11

NDMS/USPS-T15-1

Please refer to your response NDMS/USPS-T33-24 (redirected to you from witness Sharkey), and to LR-H-12, page 100, referred to in your answer. The column "Incremental FY 98" shows an entry on the ninth row for \$100,000 thousand described as Priority Redesign (98) and charged to Account 53599/Comp 142. In the same column, on the penultimate row before "Subtotal Trans. Programs" is another entry for \$100,000 thousand, also labeled Priority Redesign and charged to Account 53131/Comp 143. The subtotal for transportation programs, \$252,447 thousand, would appear to include a total of \$200,000 thousand in FY 98 for Priority Mail Redesign.

a. Are the two \$100,00 thousand entries for "Priority Mail Redesign" duplicative?

b. What do Account 53599/Comp 142 and Account 53131/Comp 143 stand for? Are they for air or surface transportation? If either component is for air transportation, please explain what it represents; e.g., expansion of the Eagle Network, special "charter" flights not part of the Eagle Network to transport Priority Mail, etc.

c. Please confirm that the subtotal for Transportation Programs in FY 98 includes \$200,000 thousand for Priority Mail Redesign. If you do not confirm, or if the two figures cited above are not additive, please explain.

d. Your answer notes that LR-H-12 includes "a cost reduction in air transportation costs due to Priority Mail Redesign." That does not explain the \$50,164 thousand increase in Priority Mail air transportation costs between the Base Year and Test Year Before Rates. In fact, when the cost reduction of \$82 million is taken into account, other unexplained factors are causing an increase of \$132,164 thousand in air transportation costs for Priority Mail, which is an astounding increase of 34.5 percent over base year air transportation costs. Please explain what is causing both the ground and air transport costs for Priority Mail to increase so sharply.

NDMS/USPS-T15-1 Response:

a. No, one of the \$100,000 is Highway service costs for component 143 and the

other \$100,000 is Domestic Air service costs for component 142.

b. In the Postal Service's cost model, "Comp 142" stands for component 142, which

is Domestic Air transportation and "Comp 143" stands for component 143, which is

Highway transportation. Component 142 is air and component 143 is surface. These

Response of United States Postal Service Witness Patelunas to Interrogatories of Nashua Photo, District Photo, Mystic Color Lab and Seattle Filmworks (Revised 10/16/97)

NDMS/USPS-T15-1 Response continued:

costs are further described in USPS Library References H-1 (Section 14.1.1) and H-9 (Pages 123-125).

c. Part c. is confirmed.

d. Please refer to Attachment I to this response. Lines 1 - 19 in columns (2-5) show the cost changes that appear in the rollforward model from Base Year 1996 through Test Year 1998 Before Rates. Column (1) reflects the correction discussed in my second revised response to UPS/USPS-T33-36 redirected from Witness Sharkey. Line 21 of columns (1-5) is the total change between the base year and the test year. Line 22 of columns (1-5) is the percentage change; it is line 21 divided into line 1. Columns (6-10) show the individual impacts in terms of the total change. For example, line 3 of column (6) shows the 9.52% of the total change that was the result of the FY 1996 to FY 1997 cost level effect in the rollforward model.

As can be seen on line 22 of column (1), the total change in Priority Mail Air Transportation costs from the base year to the test year is 31.4% Most of the increase is the result of the other programs in Test Year 1998, of which, \$100,000 is Priority Mail Redesign. Likewise, most of the 104.4% increase for Priority Mail Highway Transportation costs from the base year to the test year is the result of Priority Mail Redesign.

.

noitehoo		

Percent Change of Total				Absolute Total Change]			
letoT	Water	lisЯ	үвживіН	ліА	leto T	Water	lie A	к емцвің	Ąi		
(01)	(6)	(8)	(<u>/</u>)	(9)	(ç)	(•)	(2)	(Z)	(t)	<=nmuloJ	
					226,355	430	908'Z	139,622	783,497	SOOM96	enij I
%8E.11	%10.0	%10'0	% †8 `l	%79`6	\$ 20'31 \$	1	33	106'¥	52'\$52	CL	3 7
%90°7Z	%20.0	%∳[`0	%£0 ⁻ 2	%88.01	72,256	88	368	18,757	23'013	MV N	•
%00`0	%00`0	%00'0	%00'0	%00 0	0	0	0	0	0	٨N	5
%00`0	%00 0	%00`0	%00`0	%00`0	0	0	0	0	0	QA	9
%\$6` <i>L</i> -	%00`0	%00`0	%00`0	%\$6` <i>L</i> -	812,12-	0	0 ·	0	812,12-	SS	L
%E8. Þ I	%00 [°] 0	%00'0	% <i>L</i> 8.2	15.27%	669'68	0	0	128,8	32 748	ОЬ	8
4 2:35%	%£0.0	%91.0	%27.11	33'12%	998'2 * 9	203	3'202	151,071	4 13'25e	Я Э Я76	01 6
%82` † -	%10.0	%£0`0	%68'0	%12.8-	434 -11'434	41 1	26	2,382	529,51-	СГ	11 11
%85.≯I	%10.0	%80`0	%96°£	% † 9'01	046,85	32	202	10'203	58'143	N	13
%00`0	%00`0	%00`0	%00`0	%00`0	0	0	0	0	0	٨N	* L
%00`0	%00 0	%00`0	%00`0	%00`0	0	0	0	0	0	QA	51
%Z£`Z£-	%00`0	%00`0	%Z6`0-	%0 † `1E-	905,38-	0	0	-5'483	-83,843	SCR	91
%0 <i>L</i> `9L	%00`0	%00`0	%9Z.6£	%9 1 .75	161,701	0	0	162'+01	000,001	dO	81 71
%ZE`S#1 %LO`O %17`O %EO`99 '	% \ †`0	%20.38	%18.8Z	735,50T	843	3'201	585,404	7 06'809	80A88	0Z 61	
		267,002	811	S 69	142'285	1204021	Change	12			
					%£7.08	27.44%	%LL`#Z	% † * 0	31.40%	% Cµsuđe	3 5

Cols (2-4) Lines (11-20) USPS-T15 WP-F A-9W 81T-292U (01-1) asnil (1-1) aloo Sources:

 $(-1) = S_{1} = S_{1}$

Cols (1-5) Line 22 = Line 21 / Line 3 Cols (1-5) Line 21 = Line 1 - 61 and

Cols (6-10) = relevant change portion / total change

Col (1) Lines (11-20) reflecting Attachment I, second revised response to UPS/USPS-T33-36 redir. from Witness Sharkey

DECLARATION

I, Richard Patelunas, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers to interrogatories are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

10 U.S.

ΤT

Richard

Dated: 10/16/97

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

ih

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2990; Fax –5402 October 16, 1997

< г

111