DOCKET SECTION

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

OCT 6 4 59 PH '97

POSTAL RATE CHAMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 1997

Docket No. R97-1

ERRATA TO TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF WITNESS CRUM

The United States Postal Service hereby files errata to the testimony and exhibits of witness Crum. Revised pages incorporated these minor changes are provided, with each change shown in the attached table. The changes result from the errata to USPS-T-29 filed on October 1, 1997.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2999; Fax –5402 October 6, 1997

Detailed Crosswalk for Errata Filed 10/6/97

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	Change
4	6	10.8 to 10.7
4	11	10.8 to 10.7
4	16	57.7 to 57.6
5	24	27.3 to 27.5
5	25	.8 to .7
6	1	.281 to .282
6	5	31.3 to 31.4
6	17	31.3 to 31.4
7	18	29.2 to 29.4
7	19	44.7 to 45.2
7	20	.364 to .3688
7	22	16.5 to 16.6
7	23	16.5 to 16.6
7	26	45.7 to 46.0
8	4	45.7 to 46.0
8	25	.140 to .139 & .123 to .125
9	4	14.0 to 13.9 & 12.3 to 12.5
Exhibit D	OSCF Id	.049 to .050 & .030 to .031
Exhibit D	OBMC	.187 to .184 & .146 to .143
Exhibit D	DBMC un	.024 to .025 & 0 to .001
Exhibit D	DBMC st	.097 to .098 & .136 to .137 & .110 to .108
Exhibit D	Total	,266 to ,267 & .140 to .139
Exhibit D	OBMC	.248 to .249 & .084 to .085
Exhibit D	DBMC un	.110 to .111 & .016 to .017 & .089 to .091
Exhibit D	Total	.636 to .638 & .123 to .125
Exhibit E	Total mach	.110 to .108
Exhibit E	Total nmo	.089 to .091
Exhibit E	Total bmc	.108 to .107
Exhibit E	Total obmc	.577 to .576
Exhibit F	dump pal	.048 to .049
Exhibit F	dump otr	.046 to .047
Exhibit F	dump owc ,	.108 to .110
Exhibit F	Tend cl	.055 to .056 & .040 to .041
Exhibit F	Sack/tie	.185 to .189 & .049 to .050
Exhibit F	M Savings	.273 to .275
Exhibit F	NM bdld	.172 to .171
Exhibit F	Total	.292 to .294
Exhibit G	page 3	,8 to .7
Exhibit J	dump gylrd	.043 to .044

These errata are based on the changes to USPS-T-29 filed 10/1/97.

1 (at least 4 feet high). Pieces are segregated by container type for efficiency of entry 2 into the parcel sorting machine or the manual handling process respectively. Exhibit 3 D shows the BMC presort related savings including those beginning at the origin 4 BMC where qualifying pieces are entered. Weighting the average costs by the Inter-5 BMC volume proportion of machinable and nonmachinable pieces gives total BMC 6 presort-related savings of 10.7 cents per piece (see Exhibit E). 7 8 C. Summary 9 10 In Exhibit E, BMC presort related savings of 10.7 cents per piece are combined with 11 the DBMC-related acceptance and mail processing cost savings (9.2 cents per 12 piece for acceptance and 37.7 cents per piece for mail processing (see Section II)) 13 which apply to OBMC mail as well as DBMC mail. On the basis of my cost analysis, 14 then, I conclude that origin BMC dropship by the mailer with mandatory BMC presort 15 saves 57.6 cents per piece, at FY 1998 test year cost levels, compared to non-16 OBMC inter-BMC parcels. 17 18 IV. DESTINATION SCF PARCEL POST COST SAVINGS 19 20 Α. Introduction 21 22 I studied the potential cost savings for parcel post pieces dropshipped to the destination sectional center facility (DSCF). When parcels bypass the destination 23 24 BMC, they avoid all the associated handling and sorting costs that would be 25 incurred there. These pieces would also avoid the transportation leg from the BMC to the destination SCF. My testimony describes the mail processing costs saved 26 from the applicable costs for DBMC parcel post if mailers deposit their parcels in 27 28 bulk at the destination SCF. Witness Hatfield (USPS-T-16) describes the 29 transportation-related savings associated with DSCF dropship.

1 Because the primary task of the destination BMC is to sort machinable parcels to 5-2 digit ZIP Code areas, the proposed destination SCF dropship discount includes a 3 mandatory presort requirement. My analysis assumes pieces must be presorted to 4 5-digits. I also assume machinable parcels are offered by the mailer in sacks with 5 an average of 10 pieces per 5-digit area and nonmachinables are offered in GPMCs 6 (General Purpose Mail Containers) with an average of 25 pieces per 5-digit area. If 7 the presort requirement were removed, pieces would generally have to be shipped 8 back to the BMC for sorting and the benefits of the DSCF dropship would be more 9 than eliminated. 10 11 B. Mail Processing Savings 12 13 Parcel post that is dropshipped by the mailer to the destination SCF avoids any handlings at the destination BMC in addition to all the other savings associated with 14 15 DBMC pieces. To be consistent with the DBMC requirements, DSCF parcels must 16 be limited to mailings with at least 50 pieces. Exhibit F describes the destination 17 BMC mail processing costs avoided by parcel post that is dropshipped to the destination SCF. Exhibit G compares the downstream SCF and delivery unit-18 19 related costs for parcel post moving in the Postal Service mailstream versus the 5digit dropshipped DSCF sacks (for machinables) and GPMCs (for nonmachinables) 20 21 which could qualify for the discount. 22 23 Exhibit F shows the total average mail processing costs avoided at BMCs by DSCFdeposited parcel post to be 27.5 cents per machinable piece and 54.4 cents per 24 nonmachinable piece. Exhibit G shows .7 cents per machinable piece and 19.8 25 26 cents per nonmachinable piece as the additional downstream savings at SCFs and delivery units. Those Exhibit G results are contingent on the assumption that DSCF 27 will not be allowed at those SCFs that are bypassed by the 12.3 percent of parcel 28 29 volume that gets direct transportation from the BMC to the delivery unit.

30

1 Adding the Exhibit F and Exhibit G results gives savings of \$.282 and \$.742 2 respectively for machinables and nonmachinables. Weighting them together by the 3 proportion of DBMC machinable and nonmachinable pieces (.93 and .07 4 respectively - see Exhibit F) gives my total estimated mail processing savings of 5 31.4 cents per piece, compared to non-DSCF DBMC mail, at FY 1998 test year cost 6 levels. This result is sensitive to the volume assumptions per 5-digit sack or GPMC. 7 For example, lowering the average per sack quantity to five would drop machinable 8 savings to 22.4 cents while lowering the average per GPMC quantity to 15 would 9 lower nonmachinable savings to 54.1 cents for a total weighted average of 24.6 10 cents. This simple calculation could be made in Exhibit G by changing the 11 conversion factors and multiplying through for each of the operations. 12 13 C. Summary 14 15 On the basis of my cost analysis, I estimate that DSCF dropshipped parcel post with machinables in 5-digit sacks and nonmachinables in 5-digit GPMCs will save the 16 17 Postal Service an average of 31.4 cents per piece at FY 1998 test year cost levels, 18 compared to non-DSCF DBMC mail. 19 DESTINATION DELIVERY UNIT PARCEL POST COST SAVINGS 20 ٧. 21 22 INTRODUCTION A. 23 I studied the potential cost savings for parcel post deposited by the mailer at the 24 25 destination delivery unit (DDU). When parcels are deposited at the destination delivery unit, they avoid both the destination BMC and the destination SCF. My 26 analysis will estimate the mail processing costs avoided by bypassing these 27 28 facilities. Witness Hatfield (USPS-T-16) describes the transportation-related

29

30

savings associated with DDU dropship

1 MAIL PROCESSING SAVINGS 2 3 Parcel post that is dropshipped by the mailer to the destination delivery unit avoids 4 all handlings at both the destination BMC and destination SCF in addition to all the 5 other savings associated with DBMC parcels. My analysis will estimate these mail 6 processing cost savings relative to non-DDU DBMC parcels. To be compatible with 7 the assumptions of the DBMC analysis, the pieces must be delivered in bulk with at 8 least the same total minimum volume per mailing as DBMC (currently 50 pieces). 9 Qualifying mailings would be limited to Postal Service designated delivery units to 10 avoid costly rehandling and rerouting that might eliminate the savings. 11 12 Exhibit F describes the destination BMC mail processing costs avoided by DDU 13 entered parcel post. Weighting the savings by the DBMC volume of machinable 14 and nonmachinable pieces gives a total savings of 29.4 cents per piece. I use 15 information from the parcel post models presented by witness Daniel (USPS-T-29) 16 to estimate the additional savings at SCFs through unloading at delivery units of 17 DDU-deposited parcels. Page 3 of Appendix V, USPS-T-29 shows the total 18 downstream postal network costs to be 14.4 cents per piece (.1097+.034) for 19 machinable parcels while page 4 shows the nonmachinable costs to be 45.2 cents 20 per piece (.3688+.0828). Weighting these by the DBMC volume share of 21 machinable and nonmachinable pieces (.930 and .070 respectively - see Exhibit F) 22 gives the average modeled postal costs at downstream facilities of 16.6 cents per 23 piece. Since these are the modeled facility costs that DDU mail avoids, 16.6 cents 24 per piece is also my estimate of savings. Adding this to the avoided mail 25 processing costs at BMCs gives the total DDU deposited parcel post mail

В.

26

processing savings of 46.0 cents per piece.

1	C.	SUMMARY
2		
3	On t	ne basis of my cost analysis, I estimate that DDU-dropshipped parcel post wil
4	save	the Postal Service an average of 46.0 cents per piece at FY 1998 test year
5	cost	levels, compared to non-DDU DBMC mail.
6		
7	VI.	BMC PRESORT PARCEL POST COST SAVINGS
8		
9	A.	INTRODUCTION
10		
11	The	Postal Service is proposing a discount for bulk entered Inter-BMC parcel post
12	pres	orted to the destination BMC. BMC presort parcel post avoids sorting at the
13	origi	n BMC and can be moved through the facility in bulk and routed to its
14	desti	ination BMC.
15		
16	В.	MAIL PROCESSING SAVINGS
17		
18	To q	ualify for the BMC Presort discount as proposed, mailers can deposit their
19	parc	els at any designated facility. My analysis does assume that machinable
20	piec	es will be deposited in sufficiently (at least 75 percent) full large cardboard
21	boxe	es often referred to as "gaylords" and that nonmachinable pieces will be
22	depo	osited on sufficiently full pallets (at least 4 feet high). I compare the postal
23	netw	ork mail processing costs to the costs of qualifying BMC Presort parcels to
24	shov	v the savings for the presorted pieces. Exhibit D shows machinable BMC
25	Pres	ort savings to be \$.139 and nonmachinable BMC Presort savings to be \$.125

1	C.	SUMMARY
2		
3	Based	d on my analysis and assuming the specifications described above, BMC
4	Preso	rt saves 13.9 cents for machinable pieces and 12.5 cents for nonmachinable
5	piece	s at FY 1998 test year cost levels.
6		
7	VII.	BOUND PRINTED MATTER CARRIER ROUTE PRESORT COST SAVINGS
8		
9	A.	BACKGROUND
10		
11	In Do	cket No. R84-1 the Postal Service proposed a discount for bulk Bound Printed
12	Matte	r presorted to individual carrier routes and box sections based on an analysis
13	by wit	ness Madison (USPS-T-16). Though no new cost studies were completed, the
14	carrie	r route discount increased in both Docket No. R90-1 and Docket No. R94-1.
15	The c	surrent discount is 6.3 cents per piece.
16		
17	B.	MAIL PROCESSING SAVINGS
18		
19	•	nalysis uses a similar format and much of the basic data from witness
20		son's study. I have updated the wage rates and piggyback factors, adjusted for
21	•	ostal service volume variability assumptions, and revised the methodology
22	based	d on operational changes which have occurred. Exhibit H describes the
23		
	_	sis and shows the estimated savings for carrier route presorted Bound Printed
24	_	sis and shows the estimated savings for carrier route presorted Bound Printed or to be \$.067.
25	Matte	r to be \$.067.
25 26	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25 26 27	Matte	summary
25 26 27 28	Matte C.	SUMMARY d on my analysis, I estimate that the mail processing savings of carrier route
25 26 27	Matte C. Based presc	summary

Revised 10/97 Exhibit D Page 1 of 1

BMC PRESORT PARCEL POST COST SAVINGS

MACHINABLE PARCEL POST

<u>Operation</u>	Nonpresorted Cost/piece (1)	BMC Presorted Cost/piece (2)	Difference (Savings)
Origin SCF Load	\$ 0.050	\$ 0.019	\$ 0.031
Origin BMC Unload Origin BMC Origin BMC Load DBMC Unload DBMC Sort	\$ 0.027 \$ 0.184 \$ 0.022 \$ 0.025 \$ 0.098	\$ 0.024 \$ 0.041 \$ 0.022 \$ 0.024 \$_0.137	\$ 0.003 \$ 0.143 \$ 0.001 BMC Savings \$ (0.039) = \$.108
Total	\$ 0.406	\$ 0.267	\$ 0.139

NONMACHINABLE PARCEL POST

Operation	Nonpresorted	BMC Presorted	Difference
	Cost/piece	Cost/piece	(Savings)
Origin SCF Load	\$ 0.109	\$ 0.075	\$ 0.034
Origin BMC Unload	\$ 0.068	\$ 0.094	\$ (0.026)
Origin BMC	\$ 0.249	\$ 0.164	\$ 0.085
Origin BMC Load	\$ 0.101	\$ 0.086	\$ 0.015 BMC Savings
DBMC Unload	\$ 0.111	\$ 0.094	\$ 0.017 = \$.091
Total	\$ 0.638	\$ 0.513	\$ 0.125

^{1.} USPS-T-29, Appendix V, page 3.

^{2.} Exhibit J.

Exhibit E Page 1 of 1

COSTS AVOIDED BY DEPOSITING INTER-BMC PARCELS AT THE ORIGIN BMC WITH PRESORT TO THE DESTINATION BMC

DBMC Savings

Mail Processing Acceptance	(see Section IIC of Testimony) (see Section IIB of Testimony)	\$ \$	0.377 0.092			
BMC Related Savings						
A. Total Machinable	\$	0.108	(1)			
B. Total Nonmachinable Savings			0.091	(1)		
III. Total BMC Presort Related Savings				(2)		
Total OBMC Mail Processing Savings (I + II + III)						

- 1. Exhibit D
- 2. Machinable and nonmachinable savings weighted by Inter-BMC volume proportions .108*.913 [.913=60,462,052/66,257,981] + .089*.087 [.087=5,795,914/66,257,981] (LR-H-135)

Exhibit F Page 1 of 2

DESTINATION BMC MAIL PROCESSING COSTS AVOIDED BY PARCEL POST DEPOSITED AT DESTINATION SCFs OR DELIVERY UNITS

A. MACHINABLE PARCEL POST (Costs for Nonqualifying Mail)

Operation	Prob. of Handling(1)	TY 1998 Cost per Handling(2)	Costs(3)
Unload Bedload	0.962	\$ 0.049	0.047
Unload Pallet	0.003	0.033	0.000
Unioad OTR	0.008	0.019	0.000
Unload Gaylord	0.026	0.024	0.001
Unload OWC	0.002	0.044	0.000
Dump Pallet	0.003	0.049	0.000
Dump OTR	0.008	0.047	0.000
Dump Gaylord	0.026	0.043	0.001
Dump OWC	0.002	0.110	0.000
Label Cost	1.000	0.005	0.005
Primary Sort	1.000	0.058	0.058
Secondary Sort	0.830	0.036	0.030
Tend CL	0.733	. 0.056	0.041
Sack and Tie	0.267	0.189	0.050
Load OTRs - loose	0.603	0.037	0.022
Load OTRs w/ sacks	0.029	0.031	0.001
Load OWC	0.130	0.087	0.011
Bedload Sacks	0.238	0.029	0.007
Savings			\$ 0.275

B. NONMACHINABLE PARCEL POST (Costs for Nonqualifying Mail)

<u>Operation</u>	Prob. of Handling(1)	•	Cost per Handling(2)	=	Costs(3)
Unload Bedload	0.986		0.188		0.185
Unload NMOs on Pallet	800.0		0.111		0.001
Unload NMOs in OTR	0.007		0.047		0.000
Sort	1.000		0.249		0.249
Bedioad from IHC	0.129		0.171		0.022
Load NMOs in OTR	0.536		0.094		0.051
Load NMOs on Pallet	0.310		0.101		0.031
Load NMOs in OWC	0.025		0.222		0.006
Savings					\$ 0.544

Total Mail Processing Savings at BMCs

\$ 0.294 (4)

Exhibit F Page 2 of 2

- 1. Probability that an average piece will receive a particular handling. (Library Reference H-131 and USPS-T-29)
- 2. Estimated test year attributable costs of complete handling for particular piece. (USPS-T-29, Appendix V, pages 3 & 4.)
- 3. Avoided costs of the average piece. (2*3)
- 4. Machinable and nonmachinable savings weighted by DBMC volume proportions .275*.930 [.930=89,624,307/96,381,277] + .544*.070 [.070=6,756,973/96,381,277] (LR-H-135)

Exhibit G Page 3 of 3

III. POSTAL NETWORK COSTS MINUS CANDIDATE MAIL COSTS

Machinable parcel post: \$.159 - \$.152 = \$.007 = .7 cents
Nonmachinable parcel post: \$.498 - \$.300 = \$.198 = 19.8 cents

Exhibit J Page 1 of 2

BMC PRESORTED PARCEL POST COST PER PIECE

Machinable BMC Presort Cost Summary

		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
	# of hand.	units/hr	conv. fact.	PB fact.	\$ per op.	<u>Cost</u>
Origin SCF						
Load Gaylord	1.0000	23.9	104.5	1.84	0.019	0.019
Origin BMC						
Unload Gaylord	1.0000	21.9	104.5	2.13	0.024	0.024
Crsdk Gaylord	1.0000	12.6	104.5	2.13	0.041	0.041
Load Gaylord	1.0000	23.9	104.5	2.13	0.022	0.022
Destination BMC						
Unload Gaylord	1.0000	21.9	104.5	2.13	0.024	0.024
Dump Gaylord	1.0000	11.7	104.5	2.13	0.044	0.044
D. Primary (Key)	1.0000	895.6	1.0	2.03	0.058	0.058
Label	1.0000				0.005	0.005
Secondary (scan)	0.8300	1433.3	1.0	2.03	0.036	0.030

- 1. Test Year 1998 Wage Rate (LR-H-146) = \$ 25.445
- 2. Productivity. USPS-T-29, Appendix V, page 15.
- 3. Conversion Factor. USPS-T-29, Appendix V, page 15.
- 4. Piggyback Factor. USPS-T-29, Appendix V, page 16.
- 5. Wage rate * piggyback factor / (producitivity * conversion factor).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Scott L. Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 October 6, 1997