

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2006

Docket No. R2006-1

NOTICE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE OF  
FILING REVISED RESPONSE OF POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS McCRERY  
TO INTERROGATORY OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION [ERRATUM]  
(MMA/USPS-T42-2)  
(June 26, 2006)

The United States Postal Service hereby gives notice that it is filing a revised response of Postal Service witness McCrery to the above-mentioned interrogatory of Major Mailers Association. The first sentence of the original response should have stated "Please see the response to MMA/USPS-T42-1" rather than "Please see the response to MMA/USPS-T42-2." The attached revised response should be substituted for the original response filed on June 26, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

---

Sheela A. Portonovo

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-3012, FAX -6187

**RESPONSES OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MCCRERY  
TO INTERROGATORIES OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION**

**Revised June 26, 2006**

**MMA/USPS-T42-2**

Please refer to page 2 of your direct testimony where you describe how non-letters are culled out from the raw mail within the Advanced Facer Cancellor System (AFCS). What happens to a mail piece that is less than 6 1/8" tall, less than 1/4" thick and less than 11 1/2 inches long, but weighs up to 3.5 ounces. In other words, will the mail that meets the definition of a letter except for weight still be processed on automated equipment within the letter production line?

**Response:**

Please see the response to MMA/USPS-T42-1. The answer is the same for 3.5 ounces as for 4.0 ounces for mail processed on the AFCS. If the mail satisfies DMM section 201.3.13.4 "Heavy Letter Mail" (see <http://pe.usps.com/text/dmm300/201.htm>), it should process on the DBCS.