

**BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

**Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 2005**

**Docket No. R2005-1**

**DOUGLAS F. CARLSON  
FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES  
TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS  
MARC D. McCRERY  
(DFC/USPS-T29-27-31)**

**July 1, 2005**

Pursuant to sections 25–27 of the *Rules of Practice*, I hereby submit follow-up interrogatories to United States Postal Service witness Marc D. McCrery.

The instructions contained in my interrogatories DFC/USPS-1–19 are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 1, 2005

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

**DFC/USPS-T29-27.** Please refer to your response to DFC/USPS-T29-12. Why does postal automation initially spray a bar code corresponding to the old address on mail at the origin P&DC that is identified as a candidate for forwarding?

**DFC/USPS-T29-28.** Please refer to your response to DFC/USPS-T29-13.

- a. If a site is listed as a Phase 1 PARS site, has this site necessarily implemented PARS for the originating, outgoing mailstream, or may this site be using PARS only for mail that is returned to the P&DC from post offices and other delivery units?
- b. At Phase 1 PARS sites, might PARS for originating, outgoing mail be implemented on some but not all machines, such as certain MLOCR's but not others?

**DFC/USPS-T29-29.** Please refer to your response to DFC/USPS-T29-17. Are the "buckets" the same type of container as the bins into which the machine deposits sorted mail? Does a person need to feed the flats from these buckets into the machine after the images are resolved?

**DFC/USPS-T29-30.** Please refer to your response to DFC/USPS-T29-20. Does the San Francisco BMC fall into either one of the two categories of BMC's described in your response?

**DFC/USPS-T29-31.** Please refer to your response to MMA/USPS-T21-25(c).

- a. Please explain when foreign coding began and when it ended.
- b. Was foreign coding ever accomplished by MLOCR's without the assistance of the RBCS?