

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES  
PURSUANT TO PUBLIC LAW 108-18

Docket No. R2005-1

SECOND NOTICE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE REGARDING  
ERRATA TO THE TESTIMONY OF WITNESS ROBINSON (USPS-T-27)  
[ERRATA]

The United States Postal Service hereby gives notice that it is filing errata to page 24 of the Direct Testimony of Maura Robinson (USPS-T-27) to make minor corrections to the figures appearing in the column in Table 3 designated as "R2005-1 USPS Proposed." A revised page 24 dated June 27<sup>th</sup> is attached and reflects the new figures. This revised page 24 supersedes the version filed on June 10, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.

Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

---

Michael T. Tidwell

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-2998, Fax -5402  
[michael.t.tidwell@usps.gov](mailto:michael.t.tidwell@usps.gov)  
June 27, 2005

**Table 3**  
**Comparison of Markup Indices**

	R2005-1 USPS Proposed	R2001-1 USPS Proposed	R2001-1 PRC Recommended
All Mail and Special Services	1.000	1.000	1.000
First-Class Mail			
Letters	1.450	1.427	1.420
Cards	0.771	0.748	0.658
Priority Mail	0.632	0.940	0.919
Express Mail	1.000	1.645	1.296
Periodicals			
Within County	0.048	0.060	0.004
Outside County	0.099	0.109	0.021
Standard Mail			
Regular and Nonprofit	0.666	0.589	0.542
ECR and NECR	1.610	1.500	1.560
Package Services			
Parcel Post	0.243	0.199	0.218
Bound Printed Matter	0.352	0.361	0.373
Media and Library Mail	0.011	0.190	0.148
Special Services	0.736	0.676	1.019

1 As the both the cost coverages and the markup indices show, most of the relative  
2 relationships resulting from the prior docket are maintained. For instance, the  
3 First-Class Mail Letters subclass, which has a relatively high value of service,  
4 has a high markup index and a high cost coverage as compared to Parcel Post  
5 which has a lower value of service. In these unusual circumstances, and  
6 keeping in mind that the Postal Service would not be changing the currently  
7 effective markup indices or cost coverages absent a need to recover the escrow  
8 costs, we can reasonably conclude that the proposed cost coverages are  
9 consistent with the Commission's recommendations in Docket No. R2001-1.