

Before the
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Postal Rate and Fee Changes)

Docket No. R2005-1

OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE
INTERROGATORY TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
WITNESS MICHAEL D. BRADLEY (OCA/USPS-T14-26-27)
June 1, 2005

Pursuant to Rules 25 through 28 of the Rules of Practice of the Postal Rate Commission, the Office of the Consumer Advocate hereby submits interrogatories and requests for production of documents. Instructions included with OCA interrogatory OCA/USPS-T1-1, dated April 12, 2005, are hereby incorporated by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

SHELLEY S. DREIFUSS
Director
Office of the Consumer Advocate

EMMETT RAND COSTICH
Attorney

1333 H Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20268-0001
(202) 789-6833; Fax (202) 789-6819
E-mail: costicher@prc.gov

OCA/USPS-T14-26. Please refer to your testimony USPS-T-14. One of the variables you use is the number of delivery points.

- a. Is the number of the delivery points the potential number of delivery points? If your answer is affirmative, please furnish the number of actual delivery points by route day. If these data are not available, please explain.
- b. If your answer is that the delivery points are the number of actual delivery points, please furnish the number of potential delivery points by route day. If these data are not available, please explain.

OCA/USPS-T14-27. In your testimony, USPS-T-14, you present two versions of a quadratic function as well as consideration of the translog function. A variety of other flexible functions are available in the literature--e.g., Fourier Flexible Function, Generalized Leontief--as well as the nonflexible Cobb Douglas function.

- a. Did you consider these or any other alternative functional forms? Please explain your answer, indicating your reasons.
- b. If or as you considered alternative functional forms, would you have used any test statistics as an aid in choosing your functional form? Please explain.