

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20268-0001

EXPERIMENTAL PRIORITY MAIL  
FLAT-RATE BOX, 2004

Docket No. MC2004-2

DAVID B. POPKIN FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORY TO THE UNITED STATES POSTAL  
SERVICE [DBP/USPS-T2 - 31]

August 2, 2004

I hereby submit follow-up interrogatories to the United States Postal Service. The instructions contained in the interrogatories DFC/USPS-1-18 dated May 19, 2001, are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

August 2, 2004

David B. Popkin, PO Box 528, Englewood, NJ 07631-0528

MC20042Q

---

DBP/USPS-T2-31 Please refer to your response to OCA/USPS-T2-25 in which you indicate that a mailer who brought a Priority Mail article, either a flat-rate box or a non-flat-rate box, that already had full postage affixed would still have a \$0.00 PVI label applied when the article was presented at a retail service window. [a] Please confirm, or explain if you are unable to do so, that one of the purposes of this \$0.00 PVI label is to indicate the destination ZIP Code. [b] Please confirm, or explain if you are unable to do so, is that another purpose of this \$0.00 PVI label is to indicate that the mail was presented over a retail service window and therefore will not be returned for security reasons if it is over 16 ounces in weight. [c] Please advise any other uses that are made of the information contained on this label. [d] Please advise whether a \$0.00 PVI label will also be affixed when full postage has already been applied to the article when the article is entered into the system at other than at a retail service window. If necessary, respond appropriately for each of the other different methods of mailing. [e] Does the addition of the \$0.00 PVI label with the destination ZIP Code add to the efficiency of the processing of the article and/or potentially shorten the delivery time? If not, please explain why it is added. If so, please provide the results of any studies that have been conducted.

---

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

---

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon the required participants of record in accordance with Rule 12.

August 2, 2004

David B. Popkin