

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20268-0001

EXPERIMENTAL PRIORITY MAIL
FLAT-RATE BOX, 2004

Docket No. MC2004-2

DAVID B. POPKIN
INTERROGATORIES TO THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
[DBP/USPS-T2-20 - 27]

July 13, 2004

I hereby submit interrogatories to the United States Postal Service. The instructions contained in the interrogatories DFC/USPS-1-18 dated May 19, 2001, are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

July 13, 2004 David B. Popkin, PO Box 528, Englewood, NJ 07631-0528

MC20042J

DBP/USPS-T2-20 In your response to DBP/USPS-T2-2, you indicated that a correction to your testimony would be filed shortly. Please advise when it will be made?

DBP/USPS-T2-21 In your response to DBP/USPS-T2-11[c], you indicated that a copies of the envelopes would be filed shortly. Please advise when they would be filed.

DBP/USPS-T2-22 Please refer to your response to DBP/USPS-T1-1. The confusion that took place after June 30, 2002 as discussed in the first paragraph of your response is a separate condition which was ultimately resolved by allowing any of the similarly sized envelopes to be treated as a flat-rate envelope. The condition that I am interested in is the one that relates to the entire rate period ending in June 2002. Since you do not know how widespread any such potential confusion may have been, please redirect this to the United States Postal Service for an institutional response from any qualified individual so long as they are aware of the condition [even if a "STUDY" has not been completed].

DBP/USPS-T2-23 Please refer to your response to DBP/USPS-T1-5 subparts b, c, and d. The response that I am looking for in subpart b is the sources that an unsophisticated mailer would obtain the flat-rate box from the USPS. The response desired was an answer

such as, from a post office window clerk, from a display in the post office lobby, by calling an 800 number, by making a request on the USPS website, etc. Please respond to my request as made in both subparts b, c, and d.

DBP/USPS-T2-24 Please refer to your response to DBP/USPS-T2-14. You indicate that label DDD2 is inaccurate to allow for carrier pickup and is in the process of revision. [a] Why isn't label DDD1 similarly inaccurate? [b] When do you expect that either or both of these labels will be revised?

DBP/USPS-T2-25 Your response to DBP/USPS-T2-17 related to confusion that may have existed on or around June 1, 2002. My interrogatory was for information that may have occurred at any time during the entire period that the rates that were in effect on June 1, 2002 existed. Please respond accordingly.

DBP/USPS-T2-26 Please refer to your response to DBP/USPS-T2-16. Please advise the general make-up of the readership of the Mailers Companion.

DBP/USPS-T2-27 Please refer to your response to OCA/USPS-T2-2 subparts d and e. You state that DMM E010 requires the mailer to provide identification when mailing an article. [a] Which specific subsection in DMM E010 contains this requirement? [b] Does this subsection apply to all classes of mail or is it limited to Overseas Military Mail only? [c] Please explain and provide a responsive answer to the original interrogatory.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon the required participants of record in accordance with Rule 12.

July 13, 2004

David B. Popkin