

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

**Complaint on First-Class Mail
Service Standards**

Docket No. C2001-3

**DOUGLAS F. CARLSON
FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES TO
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
WITNESS CHARLES M. GANNON
(DFC/USPS-T1-31-33)**

April 12, 2004

Pursuant to sections 25–27 of the *Rules of Practice*, I hereby submit follow-up interrogatories to United States Postal Service witness Charles M. Gannon. The instructions accompanying DFC/USPS-GAN-1–2 are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 12, 2004

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

DFC/USPS-T1-31. Please refer to your testimony at pages 2–6 and your responses to DFC/USPS-GAN-58(d) and 64 and DFC/USPS-T1-10. Please confirm that the arrival time of the truck for two-day First-Class Mail from Reno to ADC Twin Valley CA is not consistent with the national model. If you do not confirm, please explain.

DFC/USPS-T1-32. Please refer to your testimony at pages 2–6 and your responses to DFC/USPS-GAN-58(d) and 64 and DFC/USPS-T1-10. Please confirm that the arrival time of the truck for two-day First-Class Mail from San Jose to ADC San Diego CA is not consistent with the national model. If you do not confirm, please explain.

DFC/USPS-T1-33. Please confirm that two-day delivery for First-Class Mail transported from the origin P&DC to the destination ADC by truck is possible even if the truck is scheduled to arrive at the destination ADC later than the latest ETA allowed by the national model. If you do not confirm, please explain.