

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20268-0001**

**Complaint on First-Class Mail
Service Standards**

Docket No. C2001-3

**DOUGLAS F. CARLSON
INTERROGATORIES TO
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
WITNESS CHARLES M. GANNON
(DFC/USPS-T1-26-30)**

April 1, 2004

Pursuant to sections 25–27 of the *Rules of Practice*, I hereby submit interrogatories to United States Postal Service witness Charles M. Gannon. The instructions accompanying DFC/USPS-GAN-1–2 are incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: April 1, 2004

DOUGLAS F. CARLSON

DFC/USPS-T1-26. Please refer to your testimony at pages 6–8. In which year were the pseudo ADC's in California created?

DFC/USPS-T1-27. Please refer to your testimony at pages 6–8. Please confirm that, even under “dynamic” management of mail sorted and labelled to pseudo ADC's, First-Class Mail sorted and labelled by the originating P&DC to the destination SCF level would have received its initial incoming processing at the P&DC that corresponds to the destination SCF. If you do not confirm, please explain.

DFC/USPS-T1-28. Please refer to your testimony at pages 6–8. Please confirm that the Reno P&DC sorts bar-coded First-Class letter mail destined to California to the AADC level.

DFC/USPS-T1-29. Please refer to your testimony at pages 6–8. Please discuss the level (e.g., ADC or SCF) to which the Reno P&DC sorts non-bar-coded First-Class letter mail destined to Southern California.

DFC/USPS-T1-30. Please refer to your testimony at pages 6–8. When did you learn that First-Class Mail destined to the pseudo ADC's in California is not “dynamically” managed.