# DOCKET SECTION

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001 RECEIVED

SEP 30 4 47 PM '97

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 1997

Docket No. R97-1

## RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS ALEXANDROVICH TO INTERROGATORY OF MAJOR MAILERS ASSOCIATION (MMA/USPS-T5-8)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness

Alexandrovich to the following interrogatory of Major Mailers Association: MMA/

USPS-T5-8, filed on September 16, 1997.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Dull

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2990; Fax –5402 September 30, 1997

# DECLARATION

I, Joe Alexandrovich, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: 9/30/97

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

- m. ka

Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268--2990; Fax -5402 September 30, 1997

#### Response of United States Postal Service Witness Alexandrovich to Interrogatories of MMA

**MMA/USPS-T5-8.** In your response to DMA/USPS-T4-27 you indicate that the calculations for the peak load adjustments have been modified in this proceeding from those similar calculations in previous dockets since Docket No. R87-1 "to be consistent with the testimonies of witnesses Bradley, USPS-T14 and Degen, USPS-T12."

- a. Please confirm that the calculations have been modified to reflect the proposed Postal Service's position that labor processing costs are not 100% variable. If you cannot confirm, please explain.
- b. Do you agree that the impact of the peak load cost adjustment is smaller because of the position referred to in paragraph (a)? If not, please explain.
- c. Please explain how an intervenor in this proceeding can derive comparable peak load adjustments for First-Class Mail and Standard (A) mail under the assumption that labor processing costs are 100 percent variable?
- d. Please provide the peak load cost adjustments for First-Class and Standard (A) mail under the assumption that labor processing costs are 100% variable. (footnote omitted)

## **Response to MMA/USPS-T5-8**

- a. Confirmed.
- b. Yes.
- c. My response to OCA/USPS-T12-61 describing the premium pay adjustment provides the information needed. It is the first step which needs to be modified, to recalculate the amount of the non-BMC volume variable night-shift differential and Sunday premium pay to be redistributed, using 100 percent volume variability. Total night shift differential and Sunday premium pay are shown in my Workpaper B-3, W/S 3.0.13, line 5. The percentages of these costs which are volume variable non-BMC mail processing, is given at line 6. As indicated in my

#### Response to MMA/USPS-T5-8 (cont.)

response, these percentages are derived as follows. LR-H-146, page V-14, shows the percentage of clerk and mailhandler direct tallies involving night-shift differential premium pay which is for mail processing at non-BMCs to be 96.31. When multiplied times the average mail processing labor variability for MODS 1 & 2 operations of 76.5 (see witness Degen. USPS-T-12, Table 4) this provides 73.68 percent as shown at page V-14. Using 100 percent in this calculation in place of 76.5 percent results in 96.31 percent. Similarly, the Sunday premium pay percentage in line 6 of W/S 3.0.13 can be modified in the same manner. That is, the calculation shown in LR-H-146, page V-17, shows the percentage of clerk and mailhandler direct tallies involving Sunday premium pay which is for mail processing at non-BMCs to be 92.35. Instead of multiplying times the average mail processing labor variability for MODS 1 & 2 operations of 76.5 (see witness Degen, USPS-T-12, Table 4), multiply by 100 percent. This results in 92.35 percent. Using these percentages for line 6 will result in larger volume variable non-BMC night shift differential and Sunday premium pay in line 7. This is the amount of premium pay which needs to be redistributed as described in my response.

d. 7

This calculation can be done as indicated in subpart c.