

DOCKET SECTION  
BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

SEP 26 2 00 PM '97

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY


\_\_\_\_\_  
POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 1997 )  
\_\_\_\_\_)

Docket No. R97-1

**THE AMERICAN BUSINESS PRESS' FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORY  
TO USPS WITNESS MODEN  
(ABP/USPS-T4-20)**

Pursuant to Sections 25 and 26 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, the American Business Press hereby submits the attached interrogatory to USPS Witness Moden, following up on his response to ABP/USPS-T26-6, redirected from Witness Seckar. If the designated witness is unable to respond to this interrogatory, we request a response by some other qualified witness.

Respectfully submitted,



David R. Straus, Esq.

Stephen M. Feldman, Esq.

THOMPSON COBURN

700 14<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W., Suite 900

Washington, D.C. 20005

Counsel for the American  
Business Press

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing documents in accordance with Section 12 of the Commission's Rules of Practice.

  
David R. Straus

Stephen M. Feldman

Dated: September 26, 1997

FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORY  
OF AMERICAN BUSINESS PRESS (ABP)  
TO USPS WITNESS MODEN  
(ABP/USPS-T4-20)

ABP/USPS-T4-20

As a follow-up to your response to ABP/USPS-T26-6(b), redirected from Witness Seckar, do you agree that the deployment of the FSM1000 if equipped with a barcode reader could reduce the makeup differences between flats that are now automated and flats that are now not automated but would be automated and machinable because of deployment of the FSM 1000? Please explain an affirmative or a negative answer..