## BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

## RECEIVED SEP 16 10 54 AM '97

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 1997 )

Docket No. R97-1

## OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE INTERROGATORY TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS WILLIAM P.TAYMAN (OCA/USPS-T9-39) September 16, 1997

Pursuant to sections 25 and 26 of the Rules of Practice of the Postal Rate

Commission, the Office of the Consumer Advocate hereby submits interrogatories and

requests for production of documents. Instructions included with OCA interrogatories

1-7 to the United States Postal Service dated July 16, 1997, are hereby incorporated by

reference.

Respectfully submitted,

GAIL WILLETTE Director Office of the Consumer Advocate

Kennett E. Richardson

KENNETH E. RICHARDSON Attorney

OCA/USPS-T9-39. In your response to OCA/USPS-T9-37 you stated that the Postal Service believes no adjustments are necessary to reflect the unexpectedly high FY 1997 earnings of the Postal Service and that, "updating could result in a revenue requirement that is inconsistent with management's goals and objectives and infringes upon management's prerogative to determine financial policy." You further state that, "If updating is considered...it must not be done selectively."

- a. Please state how updating the filing to reflect actual earnings for FY 1997 could result in a revenue requirement that is inconsistent with management's goals and objectives?
- b. What goals and objectives of management are inconsistent with the utilization of actual numbers to establish the appropriate revenue requirement of the Postal Service?
- c. Please state how recognition of the actual FY 1997 earnings would infringe upon management's prerogative to determine financial policy? Does it mean that it is management's prerogative to use earnings in excess of its own recent estimates in a way which is totally disregarded in determining the future revenue needed to meet the costs of the Postal Service?
- d. Are there any other currently known changes in the costs or revenue estimates
  of a magnitude similar to the approximately \$40 million adjustment to the amount
  for the recovery for past year losses resulting from FY 1997 experience?
- e. If the PRC were to determine that updating should be considered, what updates would you recommend so that the updating would not be done selectively?

2

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all

participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the rules of

practice.

an

KENNETH E. RICHARDSON Attorney

Washington, D.C. 20268-0001 September 16, 1997