

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED  
SEP 16 10 53 AM '97  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 1997 )

Docket No. R97-1

OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE  
INTERROGATORIES TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
WITNESS DAVID R. FRONK  
(OCA/USPS-T32-137-138)  
September 16, 1997

Pursuant to sections 25 and 26 of the Rules of Practice of the Postal Rate Commission, the Office of the Consumer Advocate hereby submits interrogatories and requests for production of documents. Instructions included with OCA interrogatories 1-7 to the United States Postal Service dated July 16, 1997, are hereby incorporated by reference. Further, any reference to "CEM" in this set of interrogatories means the Courtesy Envelope Mail proposal set forth in Docket No. MC95-1.

Respectfully submitted,



GAIL WILLETTE

Director

Office of the Consumer Advocate



SHELLEY DREIFUSS

Attorney

OCA/USPS-T32-137. Would a CEM option, as recommended by the Commission in Docket No. MC95-1, with the CEM rate set at the same level as Prepaid Reply Mail and Qualified Business Reply Mail, be unworkable? Please discuss in full.

OCA/USPS-T32-138. Would a CEM option, with the CEM rate set at the same level as Prepaid Reply Mail and Qualified Business Reply Mail, be inconsistent with general Postal Service objectives and policies? Please discuss in full.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the rules of practice.

  
SHELLEY DREIFUSS  
Attorney

Washington, D.C. 20268-0001  
September 16, 1997