

Before The  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED  
SEP 8 4 57 PM '97  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 1997

Docket No. R97-1

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE  
WITNESS MODEN TO INTERROGATORIES OF  
NASHUA PHOTO INC, ET AL.  
(NDMS/USPS-T4-16-18)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness Moden to the following interrogatories of Nashua Photo Inc, et al.: NDMS/USPS-T4-16-18, filed on August 26, 1997.

Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking



Scott L Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
(202) 268-2999; Fax: -5402  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
September 8, 1997

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MODEN  
TO INTERROGATORIES OF NASHUA PHOTO INC., DISTRICT PHOTO INC

NDMS/USPS-T4-16.

- a. What are the principal causes, or the principal sources of, Remote Barcoding System Rejects?
- b. To what extent are the dimensions of letter envelopes a cause of Remote Barcoding System Rejects?

**Response:**

- a. Generally, the principal causes of RBCS rejects are related to poor readability of the address and/or insufficient address information.
- b. Generally, the dimensions of the letter envelopes are not principle causes of RBCS rejects. Letters with non-automation compatible dimensions are routinely culled for manual processing and are not processed on RBCS.

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MODEN  
TO INTERROGATORIES OF NASHUA PHOTO INC., DISTRICT PHOTO INC

NDMS/USPS-T4-18

Your testimony at p. 6 describes the Advanced Facer Cancellor System (AFCS). What are the minimum and maximum dimensions of mailpieces that can be processed routinely on the Postal Service's AFCSs?

**Response:**

The minimum and maximum dimensions that were referenced for the MLOCR in NDMS/USPS-T4-17 are also applicable for the AFCS.

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MODEN  
TO INTERROGATORIES OF NASHUA PHOTO INC., DISTRICT PHOTO INC

NDMS/USPS-T4-18

Your testimony at p. 6 describes the Advanced Facer Cancellor System (AFCS). What are the minimum and maximum dimensions of mailpieces that can be processed routinely on the Postal Service's AFCSs?

**Response:**

The minimum and maximum dimensions that were referenced for the MLOCR in NDMS/USPS-T4-17 *are also applicable for the AFCS.*

**DECLARATION**

I, Ralph J. Moden, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Ralph J. Moden

Dated: 9/8/97

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Scott L. Reiter", written over a horizontal line.

Scott L Reiter

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
September 8, 1997