## BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

Jan 15 4 21 711 102

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

## RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF DAVID B. POPKIN (DBP/USPS-123(A), 130(D), 138(A-B))

The United States Postal Service hereby provides its response to the following interrogatory of David B. Popkin: DBP/USPS-123(a), 130(d), and 138(a-b), filed on December 26, 2001. Objections were filed for interrogatories DBP/USPS-123(b-d), 124-130(a-c), 131-137, and 138(c-h) on January 7, 2002.

Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2986; Fax –6187 January 15, 2002

# RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF DAVID B. POPKIN

DBP/USPS-123 Please refer to your response to OCA/USPS-236 subpart a attachment page 1. [a] What does the acronym PC stand for?

### **RESPONSE:**

[a] PC stands for Performance Cluster.

## RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF DAVID B. POPKIN

DBP/USPS-130 Please refer to your response to OCA/USPS-236 subpart a attachment pages 8 and 9. [d] Regarding the use of canceling machines at the Sacramento P&DC to process 3811's, [1] Who is the employer of the individual[s] who remove the return receipt cards from the mail piece? [2] Where are the canceling machines physically located? [3] Who is the employer of the individual[s] operating the canceling machines? [4] Does an employee of the addressee observe the return receipt cards from the time they are removed from the mail piece until they are run through the canceling machine? [6] Does an employee of the addressee observe the operation of the canceling machines?

#### **RESPONSE:**

- [d] [1] The Postal Service.
  - [2] The canceling machine is located in the postal processing plant.
  - [3] The Postal Service.
  - [4] No.
  - [5] No.
  - [6] No.

## RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO INTERROGATORIES OF DAVID B. POPKIN

DBP/USPS-138 Please refer to your response to OCA/USPS-236 subpart d attachment page 23. [a] Please provide any other instructions or guidelines that were prepared for use at Hartford other than the attachments of pages 21 to 27. [b] Please confirm, or explain and discuss if you are not able to do so, that my interpretation of the Certified Processing Flow is as follows: [1] All certified mail pieces will be directed to a number of USPS employees [5 in the chart provided] who will scan the article number on those pieces with a Certified Mail label containing a barcode. [2] One [or more] USPS employees will manually enter into the computer the Certified Mail number on those mail pieces that do not have a Certified Mail label with a barcode. [3] A manifest will be printed out which contains the article number of 500 pieces [the final printout of a series may contain less than 500 pieces]. [4] All of the certified mail pieces will then be transferred to a number of USPS employees [5 in the chart provided] who will remove the PS Form 3811's from the mail pieces. [5] Steps 1 through 4 will take place at the Postal Service facility. [6] The mail pieces will be delivered to the addressee. [7] A tray will be presented to the addressee containing the copies of the manifest and PS Form 3811's.

#### **RESPONSE:**

- [a] There were no other instructions or guidelines provided for Hartford.
- [b] Your understanding of the Certified Mail flow as defined for Hartford appears to be correct.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2986; Fax –6187 January 15, 2002