

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED
Jan 10 4 26 PM '02

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

REVISED RESPONSES OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO INTERROGATORIES OF UNITED PARCEL SERVICE REDIRECTED FROM
WITNESS TAYMAN (UPS/USPS-T6-7-8) -- ERRATA

The United States Postal Service hereby provides its responses to the following interrogatories of United Parcel Service: UPS/USPS-T6-7-8, filed on October 10, 2001 and redirected from witness Tayman. The original responses were filed on October 31, 2001. The only change is to correct the numbering of the responses. The responses were originally numbered as UPS/USPS-T6-6 and 7. The new responses are correctly numbered as UPS/USPS-T6-7 and 8.

Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking



Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2990; Fax -5402
January 10, 2002

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO
INTERROGATORY OF THE UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS TAYMAN

UPS/USPS-T6-7 How many Priority Mail Processing Centers were operated by Emery when the contract was cancelled?

- (a) How many of these Priority Mail Processing Centers are now operated by the Postal Service?
 - (i) Describe any operational differences between the Priority Mail Processing Centers as operated by Emery and the facilities as operated by the Postal Service.
 - (ii) Explain any differences between the number of facilities that were operated by Emery and the number operated by the Postal Service.

RESPONSE:

(a) 10.

(b) 10.

(b)(i) The Postal Service has moved some other mail classes into the buildings.

(b)(ii) Not Applicable.

RESPONSE OF THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE TO
INTERROGATORY OF THE UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS TAYMAN

UPS/USPS-T6-8 Does the Postal Service plan to increase or decrease the number of these processing centers? Explain why or why not.

RESPONSE:

No final decision has been made at this time to increase or decrease the number of these processing centers beyond those already in place.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.



Susan M. Duchek

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-2990; Fax -5402
January 10, 2002