### BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

## RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS KINGSLEY TO INTERROGATORY OF OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE (OCA/USPS-T39-22)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the response of witness Kingsley to the following interrogatory of Office of the Consumer Advocate:

OCA/USPS-T39-22, filed on December 10, 2001.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Joseph K. Moore

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–3078, Fax –5402 December 26, 2001

# RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS KINGSLEY TO INTERROGATORY OF OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE

**OCA/USPS-T39-22** Please refer to your response to OCA/USPS-T39-9(o). Please respond to part o. based upon a rewriting of the last sentence as follows: "Please quantify the effect on the unit cost when such flat-shaped pieces are processed on the [Flat Sorting Machine] FSM 881 and FSM 1000."

#### **RESPONSE:**

Without diminishing the importance of the distinction between First-Class Mail and Standard Mail related to processing tour, I would expect that the effect on unit cost would be minimal when processed on the FSM 881s or FSM 1000s.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all
participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of
Practice.

Joseph K. Moore

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 December 26, 2001