

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORY OF
ASSOCIATION FOR POSTAL COMMERCE
TO USPS WITNESS LOETSCHER
(POSTCOM/USPS-T-41-5)

Pursuant to Sections 25 and 26 of the rules of practice, the Association for Postal Commerce submits the attached follow-up interrogatory to USPS witness Loetscher: PostCom/USPS-T-41-5.

Respectfully submitted,

Ian Volner fw
Ian D. Volner
N. Frank Wiggins
Venable, Baetjer, Howard & Civiletti, LLP
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005-3917

Counsel for Association for Postal Commerce

December 5, 2001


POSTCOM/USPS-T41-5. Please refer to your response to POSTCOM/USPS-T41-4 where you discuss your method for determining the maximum weight of a flat-shaped Bound Printed Matter (BPM) piece.

- (a) **POSTCOM/USPS-T41-4(b)** asked for a “percentage distribution of Present Distribution flat mail pieces...” (emphasis supplied). Your caption to Table 1 does not indicate that it is limited to flat shaped BPM pieces. Is it? If your answer is anything but an unequivocal affirmative:
- (1) Provide the information requested for BPM flats.
 - (2) Describe, by shape, the population of mail pieces on which Table 1 reports.
- (b) What is the average height and width of a BPM flat? Please also describe your data source.
- (c) What is the average height and width of a flat delivered by the Postal Service regardless of mail subclass? Please also describe your data source.
- (d) Using the density of a standard ream of business paper that you specified in your response to POSTCOM/USPS-T41-4 (0.0267 pounds per cubic inch), what is the weight of a BPM flat with a thickness of $\frac{3}{4}$ " and with the average BPM flat height and width specified in your response to subpart (a) of this interrogatory?
- (e) What percentage of BPM flats weigh more than the weight calculated in your response to subpart (c) of this interrogatory? Please describe your data source and provide all underlying calculations.
- (f) Using the density of a standard ream of business paper that you specified in your response to POSTCOM/USPS-T41-4 (0.0267 pounds per cubic inch), what is the weight of a BPM flat with a thickness of $\frac{3}{4}$ " and with the average height and width specified in your response to subpart (b) of this interrogatory?
- (g) What percentage of BPM flats weigh more than the weight calculated in your response to subpart (e) of this interrogatory? Please describe your data source and provide all underlying calculations.
- (h) Please provide a percentage distribution of BPM flats by one-inch height increment and describe your data source.
- (i) Please provide a percentage distribution of flats regardless of mail subclass by one-inch height increment and describe your data source.

- (j) Please provide a percentage distribution of BPM flats by one-inch width increment and describe your data source.
- (k) Please provide a percentage distribution of flats regardless of mail subclass by one-inch width increment and describe your data source.
- (l) Please provide a percentage distribution of Presort Bound Printed Matter parcels mail pieces by ½-pound increment that is analogous to Table 1 in your response to PostCom/USPS-T41-4b for Presort Bound Printed Matter flat-shaped mail pieces.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the rules of practice.



N. Frank Wiggins
Venable, Baetjer, Howard & Civiletti, LLP
1201 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005-3917
202.962.4957
nfwiggins@venable.com
Counsel to the Association for Postal Commerce

December 5, 2001