

BEFORE THE  
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MOELLER  
TO INTERROGATORY OF DIRECT MARKETING ASSOCIATION  
(DMA/USPS-T28-3)

The United States Postal Service hereby files the response of witness Moeller to the following interrogatory of Direct Marketing Association, that was filed on November 7, 2001: DMA/USPS-T28-3.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.  
Chief Counsel  
Ratemaking

---

Michael T. Tidwell  
Attorney

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-2998/ FAX: -5402  
mtidwell@email.usps.gov  
November 21, 2001

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS MOELLER TO  
INTERROGATORIES OF DIRECT MARKETING ASSOCIATION

DMA/USPS-T28-3. On page 14 of your testimony in your discussion of the cost coverage for First-Class Mail Letters and Sealed Parcels, you state that "[a]t first blush, this cost coverage is higher than many traditional measures." By this statement, do you mean that the cost coverage for First-Class Mail Letters and Sealed Parcels as proposed by the Postal Service in this proceeding is higher than has been proposed by the Postal Service and approved by the Commission in omnibus rate proceedings in the recent past? If your answer is other than an unqualified "yes," please define what you mean by "traditional measures" and provide any data that supports your statement.

RESPONSE:

Yes. The numerical figure itself is higher than those proposed or recommended in recent omnibus rate proceedings.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice, I have this day served the foregoing document upon all parties of record in Docket No. R2001-1.

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137  
(202) 268-2998/ FAX: -5402  
mtidwell@email.usps.gov  
November 21, 2001

---

Michael T. Tidwell