BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

Nev 19 4 37 PM '01

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

REVISED RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS NIETO TO INTERROGATORY OF DOUGLAS F. CARLSON [ERRATA] (DFC/USPS-T26-6)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the revised response of witness Nieto to the following interrogatory of Douglas F. Carlson: DFC/USPS-T26-6, filed on October 30, 2001. The revision clarifies the original response, filed on November 14, 2001, by changing "browser" to "e-mail software" in the second and fifth sentences.

The interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

is A. Pubin

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–2986; Fax –6187 November 19, 2001

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS NIETO TO INTERROGATORY OF DOUGLAS F. CARLSON Revised November 19, 2001

DFC/USPS-T26-6. Please explain exactly how a secure, digitally encrypted e-mail transmission works from the recipient's perspective.

RESPONSE:

From the recipient's viewpoint, nothing is different about the encrypted e-mail message from any other normal e-mail message. The encryption and decryption takes place between the USPS servers and the recipient's e-mail software. Any inappropriate access or attempt to read the e-mail message in transit would be unsuccessful because the message would be encrypted. Additionally, a secure, digitally encrypted e-mail has a legally recognized authentication certificate. The recipient's e-mail software provides a certificate with the e-mail authenticating the transmission as being from the Postal Service and not having been tampered with en route. The encryption and the authentication protect the recipient of the accountable mail piece who provided the signature.

DECLARATION

I, Norma B. Nieto, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Toma B. Muto

Dated: 11/19/01

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Favid H Rubin

David H. Rubin

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 November 19, 2001

-