

**BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001**

RECEIVED
Nov 6 2 34 PM '01
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY


POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2001

Docket No. R2001-1

**INTERROGATORIES OF
ASSOCIATION FOR POSTAL COMMERCE
TO USPS WITNESS MOELLER
(POSTCOM/USPS-T-32-8)**

Pursuant to Sections 25 and 26 of the rules of practice, the Association for Postal Commerce submits the attached interrogatories to USPS witness Moeller: PostCom /USPS-T-32-8.

Respectfully submitted,



Ian D. Volner
N. Frank Wiggins
Venable, Baetjer, Howard & Civiletti, LLP
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005-3917

Counsel for Association for Postal Commerce

November 6, 2001

POSTCOM/USPS-T32-8. In your answer to Postcom/USPS T32-4, you note that "the statement referred to in the question was merely noting that even the piece with the lowest cost differential was being surcharged at less than 100% pass-through." Did you consider using different surcharges for different categories of surchargeable mail?

- (a) If your answer is negative, on consideration of this possibility would you continue to take the approach that you have and if so, why?
- (b) If your answer is affirmative, why did you decline to adopt different surcharges?

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the rules of practice.



N. Frank Wiggins
Venable, Baetjer, Howard & Civiletti, LLP
1201 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20005-3917
202.962.4957
nfwiggins@venable.com
Counsel for Association for Postal Commerce

November 6, 2001