BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268–0001

RECEIVED

APR 24 4 43 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS YEZER TO INTERROGATORIES OF DOUGLAS F. CARLSON (DFC/USPS-T31-9, 14, 16, 18) (April 24, 2000)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides the responses of witness Yezer to the following interrogatories of Douglas F. Carlson: DFC/USPS-T31-9, 14, 16, 18, filed on April 10, 2000. Objections to interrogatories DFC/USPS-31-8, 10-13, 15, 17 were filed on April 20, 2000.

Each interrogatory is stated verbatim and is followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr. Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

Kenneth N. Hollies

DFC/USPS-T31-9. Please refer to your response to DFC/USPS-T31-2. By "proper incentive to expand services," are you referring specifically to either box services or the number of post-office boxes installed? If not, please explain.

RESPONSE: I am referring to the number of boxes.

DFC/USPS-T31-14. Please define the meaning of "opportunity cost of space" as you used the term in DFC/USPS-T31-2.

RESPONSE: Opportunity cost is the value in an alternative use. Estimated rents indicate the cost to add more space by buying it away from an alternative use.

DFC/USPS-T31-16. Please refer to your response to DFC/USPS-T31-2. Please discuss how imputed rental costs that reflect the opportunity cost of space lead to an economically efficient supply of post-office boxes.

RESPONSE: As noted above, in the absence of externalities, marginal cost pricing maximizes the sum of producer's plus consumer's surplus. This is a standard result in microeconomic theory. If price is set at the opportunity cost of providing space for post-office boxes, then the use of space for post-office boxes competes evenly with other uses of space.

DFC/USPS-T31-18. Please explain your understanding, at the time you prepared your testimony, of the Postal Service's policy toward earmarking or otherwise designating funds derived from higher box fees for financing expansion of box sections.

RESPONSE: I have no detailed knowledge of Postal Service accounting. I have simply maintained that, unless post-office box fees reflect opportunity costs of providing service, Postal Service management will not have the correct fee incentives to expand, contract, or maintain existing levels of service as appropriate.

DECLARATION

Cluthen bu you

I, Anthony M. Yezer, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing answers are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Dated: Apr. 1 24, 2000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Kenneth N. Hollies

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20260–1137 (202) 268–3083 Fax –5402 April 24, 2000