

BEFORE THE
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED
APR 17 5 16 PM '00
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

CORRECTED RESPONSE TO UPS/USPS-T1-34, REDIRECTED TO THE UNITED
STATES POSTAL SERVICE [ERRATUM]
(April 17, 2000)

The United States Postal Service hereby provides a corrected response to
UPS/USPS-T1-34, filed on April 6, 2000.

The interrogatory is stated in full followed by the response.

Respectfully submitted,

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

By its attorneys:

Daniel J. Foucheaux, Jr.
Chief Counsel, Ratemaking

K N Hollies

Kenneth N. Hollies

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing document upon all
participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the Rules of
Practice.

K N Hollies

Kenneth N. Hollies

475 L'Enfant Plaza West, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20260-1137
(202) 268-3083 Fax -5402
April 17, 2000

RESPONSE OF UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
TO INTERROGATORIES OF UNITED PARCEL SERVICE
(REDIRECTED FROM WITNESS XIE)

UPS/USPS-T1-34. For the TRACS Highway Subsystem (USPS-LR-I-52) explain the following details of the data collection process:
(a) How does the process ensure that expedited mail is retained for sampling?
(b) Do containers contain markings, labels, or other indications indicating whether they contain expedited or non-expedited mail?
(c) Given that a TRACS test can take considerable time, what steps are taken to avoid delaying the movement of time critical products
(d) Have any audits been conducted to determine whether mail movement is evading the TRACS inspection procedure? If so, provide copies of the management reports describing the outcome of such audits.

RESPONSE.

- a) Answered by Witness Xie.
- b) Answered by Witness Xie.
- c) Answered by Witness Xie.
- d) Yes. See Postal Service response to UPS/USPS-T1-33.

Revised 4/17/00