DOCKET SECTION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Before The
POSTAL RATE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

RECEIVED

APR 3 | 17 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

OFFICE OF THE CONSUMER ADVOCATE FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES TO UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE WITNESS DAVIS (OCA/USPS-T30-1-5) April 3, 2000

Pursuant to sections 26 and 27 of the Rules of Practice of the Postal Rate Commission, the Office of the Consumer Advocate hereby submits follow-up interrogatories and request for production of documents. Instructions included with OCA interrogatories OCA/USPS-1-14 to the United States Postal Service, dated January 24, 2000, are hereby incorporated by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

TED P. GERARDEN

Director

Office of the Consumer Advocate

SHELLEY S. DREIFUSS Attorney

1333 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20268-0001 (202) 789-6830; Fax (202) 789-6819 OCA/USPS-T-30-1. Please refer to your answer to OCA/USPS-T-39-4a. (redirected from witness Mayo).

- a. You state that costs in C/S-3 and C/S-7 have increased due to methodological changes resulting in higher variability factors for insurance. You then refer to the testimony of witnesses Bozzo, Baron, and Van-Ty-Smith. Please provide specific references to the testimonies that detail these changes.
- b. Please describe the magnitude of these changes and their specific effects on the costs for insurance.

OCA/USPS-T-30-2. Please refer to your answer to OCA/USPS-T-39-4a. (redirected from witness Mayo).

You state that there was "an apparent error in the Docket No. R97-1 cost study for insurance" which understated test year CRA costs. Please provide the correct costs for insurance in that docket.

OCA/USPS-T-30-3. Please refer to your answer to OCA/USPS-T-39-4a. (redirected from witness Mayo). You state that there was "an apparent error in the Docket No. R97-1 cost study for insurance" which understated test year CRA costs.

- a. Please confirm that the Postal Rate Commission used the appropriate level of costs for insurance when recommending fees in Docket No. R97-1. (See Appendix G, Schedule 1.)
- b. Please confirm that the cost coverage for insurance recommended by the Commission was 144.7%.

OCA/USPS-T-30-4. Please refer to your answer to OCA/USPS-T-39-4a. (redirected from witness Mayo).

Docket No. R2000-1

- 3 -

a. Is the methodology that you used for insurance in this docket the same as that used by the Postal Service in Docket No. R97-1? Describe any differences.

b. Is the methodology you used for insurance in this docket the same as that used
 by the Postal Service in Docket No. R90-1? Describe any differences.

OCA/USPS-T-30-5. Please refer to your answer to OCA/USPS-T-39-4a. (redirected from witness Mayo). There you state that "it may be that the decentralization of claims processing has resulted in higher volume variable costs for insurance".

- a. Please explain in detail what "the decentralization of claims processing" entails.
- b. Please explain how this decentralization causes higher costs.
- c. Please explain the rationale for decentralization if it causes costs to increase rather than decrease.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served the foregoing document upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with section 12 of the rules of practice.

Additional Content of the Proceeding In accordance with section 12 of the rules of practice.

Additional Content of the Proceeding In accordance with section 12 of the rules of practice.

Stephanie S. Wallace

Washington, D.C. 20268-0001 April 3, 2000