RECEIVED

Mar 29 4 27 PM '00

POSTAL RATE COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE DECRETARY

BEFORE THE POSTAL RATE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20268-0001

Postal Rate and Fee Changes, 2000)	Docket No. R2000-1
)	

AMERICAN BANKERS ASSOCIATION AND NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PRESORT MAILERS JOINT FOLLOW-UP INTERROGATORIES TO USPS WITNESS DANIEL (ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-24-28)

(March 29, 2000)

Pursuant to Sections 25 and 26 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, the American Bankers Association (ABA) and the National Association of Presort Mailers (NAPM) hereby submit these joint follow-up interrogatories and requests for the production of documents. These interrogatories follow-up on Daniel responses to ABA&NAPM joint interrogatories, which responses were filed on Friday, March 22, 2000. The instructions included with ABA&NAPM interrogatories ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-1-24 are hereby incorporated by reference.

ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-24

Please refer to your response to ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-2. Please confirm that using your corrected figure of .223 for the second ounce of FCM single piece, the "marginal cost difference" increases between the first and second ounce. Do you agree that for the first through the third ounce, First Class workshared letter mail in a standard business sized envelope and Standard A advertising mail in an identical envelope are identical insofar as BCS or MLOCR processing is concerned?

ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-25

Please refer to your response to ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-7. Do you have greater confidence in sample data that <u>do not</u> exhibit heteroskedasticity, and would you agree that your data plot in section 2, page 1 of 2 in LR-I-91, titled "Presort Letters Test Year Unit Costs by Detailed (1/2 ounce) Weight Increments" exhibits hetroskedasticity?

ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-26

Please refer to your response to ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-9. a. Was the IOCS sample materially reduced before the base year in R94-1, after the start of mail processing automation in 1988?

ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-27

Please refer to your response to ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-11. Please confirm that your "marginal cost difference" of \$0.43: (i) includes costs attributable to the first ounce beyond the \$0.20 cost you list for the first ounce, which is based on an average weight of only 0.4 ounces and (ii) substantially overestimates the costs associated with the second ounce per se, that is the costs associated with weight strictly above 1 ounce.

ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-28

Please refer to your response to ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-12. Would you agree that the R – squared values you presented in response to ABA&NAPM/USPS-T28-4. b. are significantly lower for First Class (single piece and presort) than for Standard A (Regular and ECR? In your Table 1, would you agree that your number \$0.69 in the 3 to 4 ounce range appears as an outlier, an outlier seen in even more dramatic form in your half ounce tables, a \$0.959 average total unit cost for a letter weighing 3.8 ounces?

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN BANKERS ASSOCIATION NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PRESORT MAILERS

By:

Henry A. Hart, Esq. Reed Smith Shaw & McClay LLP 1301 K Street N.W. Suite 1100 - East Tower Washington, DC 20005 Ph: 202-414-9225

Ph: 202-414-9225 Fax: 202-414-9299

Counsel for National Association of Presort Mailers

March 29, 2000

Washington, D.C.

Irving D. Warden Assoc. General Counsel American Bankers Association 1120 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

Ph: 202-663-5035 Fax: 202-828-4548

Counsel for American Bankers Association

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date caused the foregoing document to be served upon all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with Section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Henry A. Hart

1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20005

Ph: (202) 414-9225 fax: (202) 414-9299

email: hhart@rssm.com

March 29, 2000